

**The Michigan Gamma Chapter of Tau Beta Pi
Presents:**

**Project Reports for the
2022–2023
Annual Chapter Survey**

The Project Reports for the 2022-2023 Chapter Survey are being presented by the Michigan Gamma Chapter of Tau Beta Pi. Michigan Gamma has had a successful academic year, completing over 150 projects of varying sizes that had an impact on the chapter, university, and community.

This past year, one of the chapter's main goals was to make the most of returning to in-person events, particularly its signature events. Michigan Gamma partnered with the Society of Women Engineers to organize the university's fall Career Fair in person for the first time in two years. It was a great success, with over 350 companies attending, and more than five thousand students introducing themselves to potential employers over the three-day event. The chapter also brought back in-person events like MindSET, Merit Badge Day, and Cub Scout Day, which were well-received and provided children with a break from Zoom meetings. Many lessons were learned from these events, and Michigan Gamma has a bright future ahead.

The chapter also introduced new initiatives to foster strong friendships within the chapter and reach out to other organizations within the College of Engineering and the university. A series of tutoring events for the Naval ROTC program expanded the chapter's reach beyond its traditional interactions with the university community. Members were encouraged to bring friends to events such as the Euchre Tournament and Game Night, providing opportunities to get to know each other better. The chapter held mixers with other organizations like Eta Kappa Nu and the Society of Women Engineers.

Michigan Gamma also increased its involvement in the national and regional TBP community. The chapter attended an in-person convention in Knoxville, TN, for the first time in two years, bringing back knowledge about the constitutional amendment that the chapter voted on during one of the voting meetings. The Winter 2023 officer corps attended the District 7 Chapter Conference and learned about the ins and outs of running a chapter and working with the national organization. The chapter continued to hold weekly meetings throughout the year, some for the entire chapter (General), others for active members (Actives), and a few dedicated to improving the chapter (New Initiatives).

The Michigan Gamma chapter experienced growth in membership and projects, both new and old, over the past year. The chapter is proud to report its accomplishments and hopes they are worthy of your consideration.

Sincerely,
Daniel Falvo
MI-Γ Secretary 2022–2023

Overview

This section lists all of the projects performed by the Michigan Gamma Chapter of Tau Beta Pi for the most recent school year. The projects presented here were categorized into five separate groups:

1. Professional: Projects which were performed to enhance the engineering skills and job opportunities for students as well as offer opportunities for students to interact with company representatives.
2. Community: Projects which were performed primarily as a service to the community and undertaken to enhance a spirit of liberal culture within the chapter.
3. University: Projects which were performed primarily as a service to the University and its students.
4. Chapter: Projects which were performed to aid to smooth operation of the chapter, stimulate the interaction between other chapters in the nation, or stimulate social interaction of our members within the college, with each other, and with other societies.
5. Honors: Projects which were performed to honor outstanding achievement within our chapter and the University.

Each project occupies at least one sheet, the Chapter Project Summary. The summary was derived from the standard Project Report provided by the national organization. There is one summary sheet for each project; however, some projects were repeated in different weeks or semesters. For simplicity, some of the sections above were split into the fall and winter semester for the school year. Unfortunately, for some projects a complete list of participants was not available due to the large number of members.

Contents

I Chapter	6
National Convention (F22)	7
Fall 2022 Mailout	11
IM Sand Volleyball	13
Officer Meetings (F22)	15
Advisory Board Meetings (Fall and Winter)	17
Electee Interviews (Actives) F22	19
Officer 1-on-1 Meetings	21
Electee Interviews (Electees) F22	23
Zeroth Actives	25
Electee Packet	27
Electee Exam and Peer Interviews	29
Electee Teams F22	31
First Grad Electee Team Meeting	33
Alternate First General Meeting	35
Sand Volleyball	38
Alternate First Grad Electee Team Meeting	40
New Initiatives F22	42
First Actives, Fall 2022	46
Cornerstone (F22 and W23)	49
Grad Electee Interview (Actives) F22	51
Grad Electee Interview (Electees) F22	53
Project Lead Recruiting	55
Photo Competition	57
Second Grad Electee Team Meeting	59
Grad Social: Hiking	61
Boba Social (F22)	63
Buffalo Wild Wings (F22)	65
Wiard's Orchards	68
Second General, Fall 2022	72
Engineering Futures (F22)	75
Halloween Party	78
Grad Meet to Eat (or Drink) Series: Moge Tee!	81
Ice Skating	83
Fall 2022 Officer Social	85

Grad Meet to Eat (or Drink) Series: Condado Tacos!	88
Fourth General, Fall 2022	90
CSD Information/Training Session (F22)	93
World Cup Watch Party	96
Resume Peer-Review Workshop	98
Initiation F22	100
Grad Social: Movie Night	103
Fall 2022 - Winter 2023 Officer Transition Meeting	105
Officer Meetings - Electee Attendance	107
Electee Teams W23	109
Officer Meetings (W23)	111
Electee Interview (Electees) W23	113
Electee Interview (Actives) W23	115
Event Preparation for MindSet3	117
Engineering Futures (W23)	119
Buffalo Wild Wings (W23)	122
Valentine's Day Party	125
TBPals	127
Office Hours	130
TBPhotocompetition	132
Grad Electee Interviews (Electees) W23	134
Boba Social (W23)	136
Second General, Winter 2023	139
Graduate School Panel	142
Winter 2023 Officer Social	144
Mock Interviews	146
Grad Electee Interviews (Actives) W23	148
TByogaParty	150
Grad Social - Bar Crawl	152
Third General, Winter 2023	154
Ice Skating	157
Grad Electee Team meeting 1 - WN 2023	159
TBPals	161
Exam Week Study Halls	164
Professional Headshots	166
Fourth General, Winter 2023	168
Fifth General, Winter 23	171
TBP Feud Credit	174
Euchre Tournament	176
Second Grad Electee Team Meeting	178
District 7 Conference	180
Video Game Night	183
TheBracketPool	185
Third Actives, Winter 2023	187
F22 Elections	190

March Madness Final Watch Party	194
Game Night	196
Welcome Spring Hike (Grads Only)	198
Initiation W23	200
Winter 2023 - Fall 2023 Officer Transition Meeting	202
Alternate Initiation	204
II Community	206
TBP/SWE Career Fair	207
Hughes Info Session	211
One-on-One Group Tutoring F22	213
Merit Badge Day	215
Cub Scouts Day (F22)	218
Grad Social - Bar Crawl	222
Grad Social: Bubble Tea Social	224
MindSET Boat Maker	226
Second Active, Fall 2022	228
Professional Headshots	231
Third Grad Electee Team Meeting	233
Knitwits (Fleece Fest)	235
Rubber Band Car	237
Third General, Fall 2022	239
Jimu Robot Event for Derby Girls in STEM Club	242
Nature Area Preservation Stewardship Day	244
Natural Area Preservation Day - Bird Hills	246
Third Actives, Fall 2022	248
Lunar Lander	251
Board Game Night	254
NAP Stewardship Event at Bandeemer Park	256
W23 Elections	258
Fourth Grad Electee Team Meeting	262
Fifth General, Fall 22	264
First Actives, Winter 2023	267
One-on-One Tutoring W23	271
Cub Scouts Day (W23)	273
MindSET: Water Bottle Rockets	276
Merit Badge Day	278
NROTC Group Tutoring W23	280
Tuesday Breakfast Parties W23	282
TBP Honors Brunch Committee	285
New Initiatives W23	287
University of Michigan Science Olympiad Volunteers	290
Merit Badge Day/Banquet Planning Activities	293
Humane Society of Huron Valley TNR Cat Shelters	295

Career Fair Logo Submissions	297
Knitwits I	299
Second Actives, WInter 2023	301
FIRST Robotics Volunteering - Belleville	304
FIRST Robotics Volunteering - Saline	306
Knitwits II	308
Tau Beta Pi(e) Day!	310
Zooniverse I	312
CSD Information/Training Session (W23)	314
Natural Area Preservation Day - Furstenberg	316
Natural Area Preservation Day - Braun Nature Area	318
MindSET: Boat Maker	320
Natural Area Preservation Day - Hansen Nature Area	322
University of Michigan Science Olympiad Region 9 Tournament Volunteers	324
Knitwits III	326
Natural Area Preservation Day - Folkstone	328
Natural Area Preservation Day - Lakewood	330
III Honors/Awards	332
The Fall 2022 Semesterly Banquet	333
Outstanding Electee	335
Electee of the Week (F22)	336
The W23 Semesterly Banquet	338
Thomas S. Rice Award Interviews	341
Electee of the Week (W23)	343
Outstanding Electee and Electee Team	345
IV University	348
Fall Engineering Career Fair Volunteering	349
First General, Fall 22	352
Cantina Night (F22)	355
NROTC Group Tutoring F22	358
The Breakfast Party	360
Nature Area Preservation Day	363
Leaders and Honors Awards Brunch	365
Winter 2023 Mailout	367
First General, Winter 23	369
Alternative First General	372
Engineering Games (hosted by SWE)	374
Maize And Blue Cupboard Food Distribution	376
Cantina Night (W23)	378

Part I

Chapter

National Convention (F22)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/29/22–10/01/22 (Planning started: 07/13/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 2 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Zack Goldston (zwgold)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Zack Goldston (zwgold)	Active	0.00
Ben King (kingbe)	Active	0.00

II. **General Description:** The chapter's voting member and one guest attendee went to the national convention to discuss and vote on the running of Tau Beta Pi at the national level. The business of the national organization was conducted (largely by the voting delegate—the president). Other attendees participated in chapter exchanges and engineering futures and professional development sessions.

III. **Target Audience:** Chapter

IV. **Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G:** Participation in Convention is vital to the success of Tau Beta Pi as a strong national organization.

V. **Organization and Administration**

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 5 Participating: 0.0

VI. **Cost and Personnel Requirements**

1. General Comments: Returning to in-person events after the virtual “Unvention” was a welcome change. Getting to know the inner working of the national organization was nice.

2. Items Needed: The cost of the event was handled by the national organization for the voting delegate and the chapter endowment for the guest.

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. **Problems Encountered:** None

VIII. **Recommendations:** Having a larger group attending would be incredible, especially with the number of questions related to the constitutional amendment during the Winter.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Highly enjoyable event.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Getting to know the inner working of the national organization was nice.
4. Opportunity to improve: Brining more people next time.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 1: Our voting and non-voting delegates at the national convention in Knoxville.



Figure 2: Our non-voting delegate, Ben King, stands next to MI G alumni Mike Hand. We are, however, still unsure of which is which.

Fall 2022 Mailout

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 09/02/22 (Planning started: 08/01/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 15 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)

Zack Goldston (zwgold)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	2.00
Kate Giammalvo	(kgiamm)	Active	2.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	2.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	2.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	2.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	2.00
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	2.00
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	2.00

II. **General Description:** The incoming officer corps created brochures containing informational materials about Tau Beta Pi. These letters were then mailed to eligible undergraduate and graduate students as well as eligible undergraduate students' parents. These letters also contained a personal invitation from the president inviting eligible students to join the Michigan Gamma chapter of Tau Beta Pi.

III. **Target Audience:** Chapter

IV. **Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G:** This meeting is held by the officers to reach out to prospective candidates and get them involved in the electing process.

V. **Organization and Administration**

1. Contact Information

Name: Zachary Goldston
Title: President
Email: tbp.president@umich.edu

2. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 2 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The event went very well! Officers showed up to our “off-campus office”, stuffed envelopes, and have a good time. While we had some hiccups with the labels and letters, we were able to make real headway on our mailout process.
2. Items Needed: We utilized the standard set of mailout supplies (envelopes, letters, etc) as well as food.
3. Total Cost: \$250

VII. Problems Encountered: New FERPA restrictions from the University made it challenging to acquire our list of putative candidates.

VIII. Recommendations: Streamline process for acquiring candidate names ahead of time.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall, it went very well. The officers had a good time socializing and making our mailout material.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Seeing each other after a long summer!
4. Opportunity to improve: Streamline the process for acquiring candidate names ahead of time.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

IM Sand Volleyball

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 09/07/22 (Planning started: 08/26/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 5 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Colin Davidson (codavids)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	1.00
Ansh Patel	(anshsp)	Active	1.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	1.00
Jason Qian	(jaqian)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: Members formed a Tau Beta Pi Sand Volleyball team competing in the university's IM League on Tuesday nights at 5:45 PM during the regular season (Sept. 18-Oct. 7).

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: This event builds community within the chapter and help members socialize in a relaxed environment.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Colin Davidson

Email: codavids@umich.edu

Phone#: 269-312-2389

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 3 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: As someone who enjoys recreational sports, I had plenty of fun, but I will try to use an objective lens during the analysis. Sending a google form early on is probably a good idea. Register as early as possible to ensure the desired day/time is acquired. IM leagues change often from semester to semester so check the website for which sports are available in which seasons. Register for the recreational leagues, not competitive.

2. Items Needed: The IM league provides volleyballs, so there are no required items. Bringing a bottle of water may be a good idea. Additionally, the sand courts can cause players to become sandy, so I would recommend wearing clothes you do not mind getting dirty.
3. Total Cost: \$40

VII. Problems Encountered: The biggest issue was gauging interest level. A google form was sent out before the semester began and I was going to have two teams based on the number of responses. However, when it came to actual registration, some people decided not to participate or just could not make that time work. Since an IM league happens over multiple weeks, people have to make a larger commitment than a single day event. It became a balancing act because I wanted people to participate but too many people on a single team will dilute playing time and lower the overall experience.

VIII. Recommendations: I would recommend having only one team even though it may limit the number of players. It is much easier this way.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Personally, I had a great time playing in the IM league with our team. It was a blast. However, it is hard for me to rationalize why an IM event is any better than a regular sand volleyball game amongst Tau Bates. With a regular event, we can have more people participate and do not need to worry about scheduling difficulties over multiple weeks. If the goal is to maximize enjoyment throughout the chapter, I would caution against marketing the IM league as an all inclusive social event. In fact, this semester we did both. We had a IM volleyball league during September in which I also scheduled a regular sand volleyball event. Essentially, I would view the IM league as a small scale, specialized event for a smaller target audience.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Volleyball has a lower skill barrier to entry so it can be fun for many people. You also get to know your teammates well!
4. Opportunity to improve: My main recommendation is to define what the goal of the event should be. In my opinion, an IM event should not be presented as a chapter social in the normal sense of the word. Instead, it would act as a small activity among interested parties. Thus, the event will likely only take place when a specific individual has a strong desire to play.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Officer Meetings (F22)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/03/22–12/11/22 (Planning started: 08/27/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Zack Goldston (zgold)

Name (unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
----------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: The chapter's officer corps met weekly on Sundays to discuss plans for the upcoming week, communicate recent developments in the chapter's operations, and plan for the rest of the semester.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: The chapter's officer corps met weekly on Sundays to discuss plans for the upcoming week, communicate recent developments in the chapter's operations, and plan for the rest of the semester.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: N/A Varies by participant

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Officer Meetings are a good way of keeping officers active and encouraging collaboration.

2. Items Needed: None

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Continue

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Good practice

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1

3. Best Part: Facilitated discussion about important questions.

4. Opportunity to improve: Planning to return to in-person officer meetings next semester.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Advisory Board Meetings (Fall and Winter)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/03/22–12/18/22 (Planning started: 08/27/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Zack Goldston (zgold)

Name (unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
------------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: The Advisory Board held monthly meetings as needed prior to the officer corps meetings. During these meetings, members discussed business and long-term planning for the chapter. Occurred on: 9/18, 10/23, 10/25, 11/6, 11/27, 12/18.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: The Advisory Board held monthly meetings as needed prior to the officer corps meetings. During these meetings, members discussed business and long-term planning for the chapter.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 6 Participating: N/A Varies by participant

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Consistent advisory board meetings are useful for the well-running of the chapter.

2. Items Needed: None

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Continue having consistent advisory board meetings.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: This is a good practice

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1

3. Best Part: The ability for members to tackle long-term goals.

4. Opportunity to improve: Perhaps make these more public to encourage consciousness among the membership.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Electee Interviews (Actives) F22

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 10/12/22, 10/13/22, 10/14/22 (Planning started: 08/29/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 22 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Ben King (kingbe)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Ibrahim Alnassar	(alnassar)	Active	0.50
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	0.50
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	2.50
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	0.50
Kate Giammalvo	(kgiamm)	Active	0.00
Camryn Graham	(camryng)	Active	0.50
Delaney Hammond	(delhammo)	Active	1.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	1.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	1.50
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	0.50
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	0.50
Julia Mocny	(mocnyj)	Active	0.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	5.50
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	0.50
John Pye	(jepye)	Active	0.00
Eli Rotman	(erotman)	Active	1.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	0.00
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	0.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: Character Interviews were used to determine whether or not electees demonstrate exemplary character. Interviews consisted of one half hour interview consisting of an ethics case study and discussion of the electees' character essays.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Character evaluation of prospective members

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Email: tbp.vicepresident@umich.edu

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 5 Participating: 17.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The character interviews are meant to evaluate the character of individual electees trying to join the chapter to determine if they have character worthy of joining TBP.
2. Items Needed: Business casual dress for interviewers laptop to look at interviewee's questions
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Some people stop electing but don't tell anyone, so then there are no-shows at the interviews.

VIII. Recommendations: In-person!!

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: The interviews work very well overall.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Get to meet the electees in a smaller setting.
4. Opportunity to improve: It's just hard to coordinate the interviews and hours assigned of that many people, but the website makes it fairly easy.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Officer 1-on-1 Meetings

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/05/22–01/01/23 (Planning started: 08/30/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 2 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Zack Goldston (zgold)

Riley Garliauskas (garliari)

Name (unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
----------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: These meetings were used at the beginning of both semesters to have the president and treasurer review the plans for each officer. This allowed all parties to understand the limitations and opportunities available during the coming semester.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: This is a valuable opportunity to get feedback and ideas from officers in a low-pressure environment. It also helps the officer corps grow and evolve. This helps the chapter run more smoothly and develops new ideas for improvement.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: N/A Varies by participant

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Let officers know toward the beginning of the term that these are going to happen and invite them to send you invites (for officers that you expect to need more help - because they're new or less motivated - it may be worth scheduling those yourself to ensure they happen in a timely manner). Google calendar has a good facility for event invitation, just make your availability visible.

2. Items Needed: None

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Some officers over-planed and others under-planed.

VIII. Recommendations: Have these all in the first 2-3 weeks, and schedule some yourself if needed. It's also helpful to solicit feedback from officers who are leaving the officer corps and what their departing suggestions or thoughts are.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: These were helpful opportunities for new and old officers alike to touch base with the president and to provide informal input. It helped me be more informed on what people wanted to get out of the semester and to see where possible issues may appear.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Getting a lot of good feedback from different officers.
4. Opportunity to improve: Get more participation earlier and then compile all the suggestions so you can act on key ones.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Electee Interviews (Electees) F22

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 10/12/22, 10/13/22, 10/14/22 (Planning started: 09/01/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 2 Electees: 34

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Ben King (kingbe)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Electee	0.50
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	0.50
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	0.50
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Electee	0.50
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Electee	0.50
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Electee	0.50
Aidan Goetsch	(agoettsc)	Electee	0.50
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Electee	0.50
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Electee	0.50
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	0.50
Adam Jones	(adamjnes)	Electee	0.50
Jongha Kim	(jonghak)	Electee	0.50
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Electee	0.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Electee	0.50
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	0.50
Sami Lukpat	(lukpats)	Electee	0.50
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Electee	0.50
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Electee	0.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	0.50
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	0.50
Kari Naga	(knaga)	Electee	0.50
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Electee	0.50
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Electee	0.50
Piush Sarkar	(sarkarp)	Electee	0.50
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	0.50
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Electee	0.50
Hannah Soderstrom	(hsoder)	Electee	0.50
Matthew Solar	(msolar)	Electee	0.50
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	0.50
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Electee	0.50

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Richard Wang	(riwa)	Electee	0.50
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Electee	0.50
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Electee	0.50
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Electee	0.50

II. General Description: Character Interviews were used to determine whether or not electees demonstrate exemplary character. Interviews consisted of one half hour interview consisting of an ethics case study and discussion of the electees' character essays.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Character evaluation of prospective members.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 8 Participating: 15.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The interviews seemed to go over very smoothly. First time in-person since COVID.
2. Items Needed: laptop, sheet with interview questions on it
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: People who signed up late to conduct an interview didn't have access to the questions because I emailed them the night before to interviewers.

VIII. Recommendations: Recommend to include a sheet of the questions in the interview room on the day of.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Went very well overall.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Meeting new electees.
4. Opportunity to improve: See above.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Zeroth Actives

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/06/22, 09/07/22 (Planning started: 09/03/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 30 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)
 Daniel Falvo (dfalvo)
 Ben King (kingbe)
 Zack Goldston (zgold)
 Alex Kalams (akalams)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Colton Barry	(bcolton)	Active	1.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	0.00
Jon Chen	(asclkose)	Active	1.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	1.00
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	1.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	1.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Changyu Deng	(dengcy)	Active	1.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.00
Zack Goldston	(zgold)	Active	2.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	1.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	1.00
Judy Liu	(lyuzhou)	Active	0.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	1.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	1.00
Abby Overbeck	(aoverbec)	Active	1.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	1.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	1.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	2.00
Jason Qian	(jaqian)	Active	1.00
Eli Rotman	(erotman)	Active	0.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	2.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.00
Ben Spector	(spectorb)	Active	1.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	1.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
☒ Pauline Wang	(wanpau)	Active	1.00
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	1.00
Jiale Tan	(jialetan)	Non-Member	2.00

II. General Description: The Unofficial Zeroth Actives meeting was held to welcome Active members to the chapter, bring them new opportunities for leadership and have a good time. Electees were not permitted to attend as only active members are allowed to conduct and vote on chapter business. Dinner was provided.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Meeting for the active membership of TBP

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This event was of two purposes: to complete the Mailout process, and to encourage active members to get involved in the chapter.
2. Items Needed: Pizza, Fedex printed labels, names and emails for eligible students from the registrar
3. Total Cost: \$179

VII. Problems Encountered: Fedex printed the Mailout letter labels on the wrong side of pre-made label sheets, requiring a second round of printing.

VIII. Recommendations: Be clear in the future to members if a meeting is designed to be a work meeting instead of a normal actives meeting.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Effectively completed Mailout, but was only potentially successful in encouraging attendance.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Effectively completed Mailout
4. Opportunity to improve: Ensure good communication about goals and required time investment if such an event were to happen again.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Electee Packet

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/13/22–12/06/22 (Planning started: 09/06/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Ben King (kingbe)

Name (unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
----------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: This packet was given to eligible candidates at our first meeting. This packet contained all of the information necessary to join the Michigan Gamma chapter of TBP, and served as a hard copy that electees can reference throughout the electing process.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: This packet was given to eligible candidates at our first meeting. This packet contained all of the information necessary to join the Michigan Gamma chapter of TBP, and served as a hard copy that electees can reference throughout the electing process.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 6 Participating: N/A Varies by participant

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Highly useful document, as electees will often forget about the requirements that they need to meet to become a full member. In addition, the challenges and trivia questions are a good way for electees to learn about the chapter.

2. Items Needed: Paper

3. Total Cost: \$284

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Continue doing this

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Very effective practice

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: The caricatures of the officers that were attached!
4. Opportunity to improve: Continue innovating new types of questions
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Electee Exam and Peer Interviews

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/13/22–12/06/22 (Planning started: 09/06/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Ben King (kingbe)

Name (unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
------------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: The Electee Exam and Peer Interviews were requirements for election to membership in the Michigan Gamma chapter. Respectively, these detailed the history of Tau Beat Pi, introduced members to the Michigan Gamma chapter, and help them to meet current members, other electees, and officers.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: The Electee Exam and Peer Interviews were requirements for election to membership in the Michigan Gamma chapter. Respectively, these detailed the history of Tau Beat Pi, introduced members to the Michigan Gamma chapter, and help them to meet current members, other electees, and officers.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 3 Participating: N/A Varies by participant

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Highly useful document, as electees will often forget about the requirements that they need to meet to become a full member. In addition, the challenges and trivia questions are a good way for electees to learn about the chapter.

2. Items Needed: Paper

3. Total Cost: \$284

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Continue doing this

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Very effective practice
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: The caricatures of the officers that were attached!
4. Opportunity to improve: Continue innovating new types of questions
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Electee Teams F22

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/13/22–12/06/22 (Planning started: 09/06/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Ben King (kingbe)

Name (unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
------------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: Electees were separated into groups led by two active members. This semester, we had 5 teams with about 10 electees each. These teams work together to complete challenges at each of the general meetings, meet independently outside of meetings, and compete with one another for the Electee Cup.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Electees were separated into groups led by two active members. This semester, we had 6 teams with about 10 electees each. These teams work together to complete challenges at each of the general meetings, meet independently outside of meetings, and compete with one another for the Electee Cup.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: N/A Varies by participant

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This is a fun practice.

2. Items Needed: none.

3. Total Cost: \$500

VII. Problems Encountered: Electee team leads had to be recruited during first general

VIII. Recommendations: Continue this practice - ensure that we have a good number of team leads that are enthusiastic.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Good practice

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: The powerpoint party and the yell
4. Opportunity to improve: Try new games
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

First Grad Electee Team Meeting

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/14/22, 09/15/22 (Planning started: 09/07/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 3 Electees: 4

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Obada Albaghadi	(obada)	Electee	1.00
Joao Casares	(casares)	Active	1.00
Girish Chandar Ganesan	(girishg)	Electee	2.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	1.00
Chun-Wei Kong	(chunwei)	Active	1.00
Sean Liang	(lhhsean)	Electee	1.00
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	1.00

II. General Description: This event was an opportunity for Grad Electees to meet each other and learn more about the electing process. They were also taught how to navigate through the website for important event sign-ups, track their progress of electing, and access other important documents and information.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: This event is for the graduate electees to get them to know each other, tell them about the TBP requirements to become a member. Additionally, the electees were taught how to navigate through the website- creating a profile, finding important forms and documents, signing-up for events, track their progress, etc.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The meeting took place in-person in DOW 2166 and also over Zoom from 7:30 PM to 8:30 PM. About 5 electees joined in person and only 1 joined over zoom.

2. Items Needed: Class room and a Zoom meeting link.

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Technical- None. Participation was low. It may be due to confusion with the Alternate First Gen on the same day or the meeting happening on a weekday (middle of the week- Wednesday).

VIII. Recommendations: Advertise this event during First General so that maximum people plan ahead and attend this meeting.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Those who attended created their profiles on spot and learned how to sign-up for events and navigate through the website in general. They were also taught how to use the security code at the end of the meetings.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Got lost of leftover food and all attendees were very happy and surprised.
4. Opportunity to improve: Planning ahead so that electees can block their schedule for this first common meeting. Not conduct on the same day as the Alternate First Gen.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Alternate First General Meeting

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/14/22, 09/15/22 (Planning started: 09/07/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 5 Electees: 15

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)
Ben King (kingbe)
Zack Goldston (zwgold)
Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Electee	2.00
Haimiti Atila	(hatila)	Electee	2.00
Vishnu Giri	(vigiri)	Electee	2.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	2.00
✉Kritika Iyer	(kritiyer)	Active	2.00
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Electee	2.00
Taeksang Kim	(stozy)	Electee	2.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.00
Reese Liebman	(liebmanr)	Electee	2.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	2.00
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Electee	2.00
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Electee	2.00
Alexander Prater	(ajprater)	Electee	2.00
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Electee	2.00
Vasu Ramanujam	(vasur)	Electee	2.00
Matthew Solar	(msolar)	Electee	2.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	2.00
Richard Wang	(riwa)	Electee	2.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Electee	2.00

II. General Description: At alternate first general, prospective members were invited to attend a meeting to learn more about the honors and benefits of joining Tau Beta Pi. At the meeting, officers introduced themselves to the attendees, the vice presidents went over the joining requirements, and then attendees broke for dinner to better meet actives and officers in attendance. This meeting was mandatory for those interested in joining Tau Beta Pi.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: This event is an alternate to the first general meeting for those students who were not able to attend the first general meeting as scheduled on September 9th, 2022. In this meeting, the invited students were briefed about the work that TBP does, the perks and benefits of being a part of this esteemed honor society, and a little about the requirements the invitees would have to fulfill in order to elect as a member during this term.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: There was a good number of students that attended this alternate meeting suggesting that a lot of interested students weren't able to make it to the first meeting. About 25 students attended it out of which 15 created a profile and are continuing (in addition to those who attended the actual first general meeting and those who contacted us later).
2. Items Needed: We booked a room, it was EECS 1200. A presentation was shown to everyone.
3. Total Cost: \$200

VII. Problems Encountered: The event went fairly smooth. We had more online participation than in-person. As a result, there was abundant food. The grad vice president had the first grad electee team meeting right after this event, so the remaining food was consumed in that meeting.

VIII. Recommendations: Not to schedule the first grad electee team meeting right after the alternate first general. Those who attended the alternate first general did not have a profile and could not manage time to attend another event right after. For food, I believe there is no way of knowing in advance how many students will turn up, so maybe find a way to estimate better.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: The event is required as there will always be some interested students who will not be able to attend the first general meeting.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: We got many students through this event who actually signed up finally and are going through the electing process.
4. Opportunity to improve: Maybe indicating two dates (first gen and alt first gen) in the invitation will help estimate the number better and will also give the invitees an option to attend either one of the meeting and plan better (instead of emailing them again with the information about the alternate first general meeting). This way they will know in advance that there is another (same) meeting and they will mark their calendars accordingly. We can always send them reminders for the alternate first general.

5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Sand Volleyball

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 09/16/22 (Planning started: 09/09/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 6 Electees: 1

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Colin Davidson (codavids)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	1.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	1.00
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	1.00
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: Members formed a Tau Beta Pi Sand Volleyball team competing in the university's IM League on Tuesday nights at 10pm during the regular season (Sept. 18-Oct. 7).

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: This event helped to foster community and relationship development within the chapter.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Colin Davidson

Email: codavids@umich.edu

Phone#: 269-312-2389

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Sand volleyball is pretty easy to organize. Besides the date and time (usually Thursday or Friday evenings) there are two other details to sort out: 1. Location
2. Food/No food The main sand courts are Elbel Field on central campus and the Grove

on North campus. Maybe I am biased by living on central but I think Elbel is a little better because there are two courts. In either case, I would suggest arriving early to claim a court for TBP. Additionally, check the IM sports schedule to ensure there are no games happening during your time slot. As far as food goes, this is entirely up to the project leader. With no food, sand volleyball can be completely free. Typically, the event has a maximum of 12 people so food will not be super expensive. Jimmy Johns catering is a simple option.

2. Items Needed: Someone needs to bring a volleyball. If no one has a personal ball then you can rent one from a rec sports building.
3. Total Cost: \$62

VII. Problems Encountered: The main problem this semester was having seven total players. Ideally, you would want 12 players to play 6 on 6. The lack of participation can be partially explained by issues with mailout for Fall 2022. Many electees were slow to signing up on the website, so a smaller pool of members were available to play. Even with seven players, we still had plenty of fun. At its core, the social event is meant to be casual and light hearted, so it is easy to make the best of it. In this case, ordering food worked out great as a secondary benefit.

VIII. Recommendations: Personally, I recommend playing at Elbel Field. In terms of student residency, probably a little more than half of Tau Bates live on central, but this might not always be the case for the specific sample of people who sign up. As mentioned above, arrive early to claim the volleyball courts. They are first come first serve. And, check the IM sports website for their schedule (this may not be super user friendly but the information is there).

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Sand volleyball is designed to be a smaller event with a max of 12 people. This does limit the capacity. Maybe having only 7 people suggests a lack of interest in the event, although I don't personally believe this. I've had positive experiences with volleyball and think it should continue.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Volleyball is casual and has a low skill barrier to entry. It's a great way to meet new people and build relationships within TBP. This is best achieved with a mix of actives and electees.
4. Opportunity to improve: It would be cool to see a scaled up version of sand volleyball. Having 24 people with 4 teams of six and a bunch of food, etc would be very interesting. This would require a bit more leg work and maybe reserving the courts somehow.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Alternate First Grad Electee Team Meeting

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 09/19/22 (Planning started: 09/11/22)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 2

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name (unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
xhulja biraku (xhulja)	Electee	1.00
Taeksang Kim (stozy)	Electee	1.00

II. General Description: This event was an opportunity for Grad Electees to meet each other and learn more about the electing process. They were also taught how to navigate through the website for important event sign-ups, track their progress of electing, and access other important documents and information. This event was for electees that could not attend the First Grad Electee Team Meeting.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: To enthuse the potential electees about the work we do in TBP. They were summarized about the navigation on the website, the requirements they need to fulfill to elect in TBP.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: 4 students joined, however, 2 left due to bad connectivity over zoom.

2. Items Needed: Zoom meeting.

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Internet connectivity from 2 students' ends. However, they were reached out individually and are electing this semester.

VIII. Recommendations: Conduct this meeting only if more participation is expected in certain circumstances. The actual first grad electee team meeting was attended by a few and many reached out to conduct another one.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Conduct this meeting only if more participation is expected in certain circumstances. The actual first grad electee team meeting was attended by a few and many reached out to conduct another one.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Fun interactions with the candidates.
4. Opportunity to improve: None.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

New Initiatives F22

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/27/22, 10/11/22, 11/08/22, 11/09/22 (Planning started: 09/13/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 33 Electees: 29

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Matt Kukucka (mkukucka)
Colin Davidson (codavids)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dillon Agrawal	(dagra)	Electee	1.00
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Electee	2.00
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	1.00
Aiden Ascioti	(aascioti)	Active	1.00
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	1.00
Chirag Bangera	(cbangera)	Active	1.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	1.00
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Electee	3.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.00
Jon Chen	(asclkose)	Active	1.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	3.00
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	2.00
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	2.00
Toland Corum	(corumto)	Electee	2.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	3.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Electee	3.00
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Electee	2.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	2.00
Aidan Goetttsch	(agoettsc)	Electee	1.00
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Electee	2.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Electee	1.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	2.00
Maddi Kelberman	(mkelb)	Active	2.00
Taeksang Kim	(stozy)	Electee	3.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	1.00
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Electee	2.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	3.00
Reese Liebman	(liebmanr)	Electee	1.00
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	2.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumd)	Active	1.00
Steven Mamolo	(smamolo)	Active	3.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	3.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	3.00
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Electee	1.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	1.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.00
Nathan Montgomery	(montgomn)	Active	1.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	2.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	2.00
Kari Naga	(knaga)	Electee	2.00
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Electee	3.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	1.00
John Pye	(jepye)	Active	1.00
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Electee	1.00
Swame Ramesh	(rswame)	Electee	2.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	2.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	2.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Electee	3.00
Jessy Simmer	(jessmer)	Active	1.00
Anshul Singhal	(ansinghl)	Active	2.00
Ben Spector	(spectorb)	Active	3.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	3.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	1.00
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	3.00
Frank Wang	(frnkwang)	Active	1.00
Yuhao Wang	(yuhaow)	Active	2.00
Zach Whalen	(whalenz)	Active	1.00
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Electee	1.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Electee	1.00

II. General Description: Meetings held throughout the semester that were used to discuss various areas of the chapter that require discussion or improvement. Members voiced opinions on what they see for the future of MI-Gamma as well as how the chapter can start making changes now.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Generating ideas and suggestions to help develop the chapter.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 3 Participating: 3.0 (Total Duration for 3 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Felt like a productive event, plenty of thoughts were contributed concerning the topics.
2. Items Needed: Food and water
3. Total Cost: \$464

VII. Problems Encountered: No pressing issues

VIII. Recommendations: For the time being, keep the event hybrid for accessibility.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Determined the need for a new website and evaluated our options if we need a new revenue source.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Engaging directly with the chapter.
4. Opportunity to improve: Consider how to make the meeting more engaging, it seems like there is a lot of down time throughout.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 3: Members discussed potential improvements to the chapter in small groups.

First Actives, Fall 2022

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/20/22, 09/21/22 (Planning started: 09/13/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 56 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Ben King (kingbe)
Zack Goldston (zwmgold)
Varun Goyal (varungo)
Alex Kalams (akalams)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Ibrahim Alnassar	(alnassar)	Active	1.50
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzif)	Active	1.50
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.50
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	1.50
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	1.50
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	1.50
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.50
Changyu Deng	(dengcy)	Active	1.50
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.50
Tom Gao	(ziminggg)	Active	1.50
Zack Goldston	(zwmgold)	Active	1.50
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	1.50
Camryn Graham	(camryng)	Active	1.50
Niloy Gupta	(niloy)	Active	1.50
Delaney Hammond	(delhammo)	Active	1.50
✉Kritika Iyer	(kritiyer)	Active	1.50
Xunbi Ji	(xunbij)	Active	1.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	1.50
Maddi Kelberman	(mkelb)	Active	1.50
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	1.50
Jennifer Kosek	(jkosek)	Active	1.50
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	1.50
Judy Liu	(lyuzhou)	Active	1.50
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	1.50
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumdr)	Active	1.50
Steven Mamolo	(smamolo)	Active	1.50
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	1.50
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.50

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	1.50
Julia Mocny	(mocnyj)	Active	1.50
Syahidah Mohd Khairi	(syahidah)	Active	1.50
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.50
Abby Overbeck	(aoverbec)	Active	1.50
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	1.50
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	1.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.50
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	1.50
ANGELA Peterson	(alpeter)	Active	1.50
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	1.50
Andrew Plotner	(aplotner)	Active	1.50
John Pye	(jepye)	Active	1.50
Jason Qian	(jaqian)	Active	1.50
Eli Rotman	(erotman)	Active	1.50
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	1.50
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.50
Ben Spector	(spectorb)	Active	1.50
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	1.50
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	1.50
Michael Tsai	(mtnof)	Active	1.50
Frank Wang	(frnkwang)	Active	1.50
✉ Pauline Wang	(wanpau)	Active	1.50
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	1.50
Yuhao Wang	(yuhaow)	Active	1.50
Zikun (ZEE-KWhen) Wang	(zikunw)	Active	1.50
Zach Whalen	(whalenz)	Active	1.50
Kody Whisnant	(kgwhis)	Active	1.50

II. General Description: First Actives was the first voting meeting of the semester. Electees were not permitted to attend as only active members are allowed to conduct and vote on chapter business. Dinner was provided.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: First active meeting to get active members excited for the upcoming semester. Discussed electees and how to conduct electee interviews.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Alex Kalams

Email: akalams@umich.edu

Phone#: 615-522-4483

2. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This meeting was an excellent start to the semester. I got to meet many TBP members that I had not seen since the previous semester. As membership officer, this meeting was also my first time ordering food for a larger TBP event, and I am happy to say that the food was a success.
2. Items Needed: Officer Updates Electee Interview Guide
3. Total Cost: \$770

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: None

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: All officers were organized and ready to give announcements during the meeting. I personally felt that I did a good job bringing food in early enough so that we were prepared to feed everyone as they arrived.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Seeing members of TBP
4. Opportunity to improve: None
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Cornerstone (F22 and W23)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/20/22–12/06/23 (Planning started: 09/13/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Daniel Pert (dpert)

Name (unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
----------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: “The Cornerstone” newsletter was produced for the five general meetings and three active meetings of the semester. Each issue included a letter from the editor, biweekly updates, reminders for electees and members, supplementary information for the general meetings, and a section containing games, puzzles, and memes.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: “The Cornerstone” newsletter was produced for the five general meetings and three active meetings of the semester. Each issue included a letter from the editor, biweekly updates, reminders for electees and members, supplementary information for the general meetings, and a section containing games, puzzles, and memes.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 16 Participating: N/A Varies by participant

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The cornerstone is a constant positive during general and voting meetings. It is sometimes a way to encourage members to get involved by submitting articles, or it can be an easy way to set the tone of a meeting. Especially useful during character voting meetings.

2. Items Needed: Printing at FedEX

3. Total Cost: \$200

VII. Problems Encountered: The historian cannot always pick up the printed papers themselves.

VIII. Recommendations: Make sure that someone involved with preparing for the meeting drives to FedEX and picks up the Cornerstone.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Good practice
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: The games are very popular, especially when meetings go long
4. Opportunity to improve: Encourage more people sending in articles.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Grad Electee Interview (Actives) F22

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 10/12/22, 10/13/22, 10/14/22, 10/19/22 (Planning started: 09/15/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 9 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Brittany Rupp (ruppb)

Ben King (kingbe)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzif)	Active	0.50
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	1.50
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	1.50
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	1.00
Maddi Kelberman	(mkelb)	Active	0.50
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumd)	Active	0.50
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	0.50
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	0.50

II. General Description: Character Interviews were used to determine whether or not electees demonstrate exemplary character. Interviews consisted of one half hour interview consisting of an ethics case study and discussion of the electees' character essays.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Interview process to evaluate the character of the candidate.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 3.0 (Total Duration for 2 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: It was a half an hour interview for a grad electee. This interview slot was separately scheduled due to the unavailability of the candidate during the regular interview dates. Interview was taken over zoom.

2. Items Needed: Interviewer sheet with questions and character evaluation case study. Candidate questionnaire responses. The interviewers were asked to dress in business casuals.

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None.

VIII. Recommendations: None.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: The candidate showed no concerns regarding their character and were recommended by the interviewer.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Interaction with the candidate.
4. Opportunity to improve: None.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Grad Electee Interview (Electees) F22

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 10/12/22, 10/13/22, 10/14/22, 10/19/22 (Planning started: 09/15/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 3 Electees: 7

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Brittany Rupp (ruppb)

Ben King (kingbe)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Obada Albaghadi	(obada)	Electee	0.50
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	0.50
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Electee	0.50
Vishnu Giri	(vigiri)	Electee	0.50
Taeksang Kim	(stozy)	Electee	0.50
Swame Ramesh	(rswame)	Electee	0.50
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	0.50

II. General Description: Character Interviews were used to determine whether or not electees demonstrate exemplary character. Interviews consisted of one half hour interview consisting of an ethics case study and discussion of the electees' character essays.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Interview process to evaluate the character of the candidate.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 3.0 (Total Duration for 2 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: It was a half an hour interview for a grad electee. This interview slot was separately scheduled due to the unavailability of the candidate during the regular interview dates. Interview was taken over zoom.

2. Items Needed: The candidates were asked to dress in business formals.

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None.

VIII. Recommendations: None.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: The candidate showed no concerns regarding their character and were recommended by the interviewer.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Interaction with the candidate.
4. Opportunity to improve: None.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Project Lead Recruiting

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 10/07/22 (Planning started: 09/15/22)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 4 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Jed Pienkny (jpienkny)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.50
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.50
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.50

II. General Description: All members curious about being a project lead or chair were invited to attend a session discussing the pros, with food provided!

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Getting project leads.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: No one showed up. In retrospect it should have counted for a service hour so people came but it didn't feel deserved at the time.

2. Items Needed: Food

3. Total Cost: \$50

VII. Problems Encountered: No one came. It should have been advertised more and earlier.

VIII. Recommendations: More advertising of the event.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Mid.

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3

3. Best Part: Eating food with the people that did come.

4. Opportunity to improve: Making it a more formal thing and roping more electees into the process.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Photo Competition

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 11/01/22 (Planning started: 09/20/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 10 Electees: 8

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Penny Springel (pennyspr)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	0.50
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	0.50
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.50
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Electee	0.25
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.50
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	0.25
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	0.25
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumdr)	Active	1.50
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	1.50
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.50
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Electee	1.50
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Electee	1.25
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	1.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Electee	1.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	0.50
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	0.75

II. General Description: Members were encouraged to take photos of fellow Tau Betes throughout the semester and submit them to the publicity officer. The best photos were then presented at the end of the semester banquet.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: The photo competition facilitates the documentation of TBP events through photos by encouraging members to take them and send them to us with incentives. Documentation is vital for TBP to preserve information for the future and share it with others, both within the chapter and beyond.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Penny Springel
Title: Publicity Officer
Email: pennyspr@umich.edu
Phone#: 248-212-8104

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 4 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The photo competition went well this semester! We got 20 submissions, which from what I heard from last semester's publicity officer is quite high!
2. Items Needed: Any device with internet access to look through the google forms and submissions.
3. Total Cost: \$50

VII. Problems Encountered: I found that I didn't know how to create the google form needed for the submission, nor did I know how to create a short link for the photo competition. I still don't know quite how to do google forms as I reused an old photo competition form, but I did figure out how to make short links and will be updating my transition document with those.

VIII. Recommendations: Continue the photo competition as is, but perhaps offer additional awards, or maybe an award partially through the semester to encourage earlier submissions as well?

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: 35 photos submitted in 20 uses of the google form. From what I've heard, this is higher than most semesters, so I believe it would be important to start advertising early and often so everyone knows about the photo competition and can start submitting their photos to it.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Getting to see all the fun photos everyone submitted at the end of the semester.
4. Opportunity to improve: Include information about getting everything set up early in the transition document so the next publicity officer can do it without trouble
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Second Grad Electee Team Meeting

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 10/01/22 (Planning started: 09/24/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 1

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Girish Chandar Ganesan (girishg)	Electee	1.00

II. General Description: This event was the second opportunity for Grad Electees to meet each other and learn more about the electing process.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Required Team Meeting

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Varun Goyal

Email: varungo@umich.edu

Phone#: 734-881-0370

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This was a grad electee team meeting. About 5 students were there and we went to Panera bread, talked, got to know each other.

2. Items Needed: Food

3. Total Cost: \$65

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: It was a good event. We all had fun and I explained some of the requirements, future events, and met F21 GVP as well. I would say continue this event.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: It was a good event. We all had fun and I explained some of the requirements, future events, and met F21 GVP as well. I would say continue this event.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: We shared some of our stories from courses and life as a grad student.
4. Opportunity to improve: None.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Grad Social: Hiking

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 10/15/22 (Planning started: 09/24/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 7 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniquname)

Kanishka Panda (pandak)

Ayan Majumder (aymajumd)

Name	(uniquname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	1.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	1.00
Maddi Kelberman	(mkelb)	Active	1.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumd)	Active	1.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	1.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	1.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: Grad students were invited to an easy-moderate level hiking trail in Kensington Metropark. We hiked by the Kent Lake starting from Maple Beach to Turtlehead Picnic Area and back. It was a 5 mile trail that was about 2 hours with beautiful views of Kent Lake with Fall colors. Lunch was provided.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: A social event for grad actives and electees.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 4 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: We went to the Kensington Park in Milford to see the Fall colors as we hiked. On our way back, we had lunch at Pita Way in Milford.

2. Items Needed: Carpool, water bottles, and energy bars.

3. Total Cost: \$210

VII. Problems Encountered: None. The hike went smooth, everyone enjoyed and had fun.

VIII. Recommendations: Keeping the distance within 30-40 minutes drive is ideal. More than that will probably increase the carpooling (fuel) cost for the driver.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: This is a great social event and was almost full. The limit was 10 and 7 students showed up after one last minute cancellation. The weather was both warm and cold at times.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Fall colors.
4. Opportunity to improve: In the Winter semester, I would recommend organizing it in the last month (April, before 5th general) when the cold weather has departed. In the Fall semester, it is upon the organizer, but my recommendation is having it by mid-October (best time for Fall colors) or September when it's not too cold.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 4: Some grad student members and electees getting to know each other on a beautiful fall day.

Boba Social (F22)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 09/29/22 (Planning started: 09/25/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 10 Electees: 7

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Colin Davidson (codavids)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Colton Barry	(bcolton)	Active	1.00
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Electee	1.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.00
Changyu Deng	(dengcy)	Active	1.00
Tom Gao	(zimingg)	Active	1.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	1.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	1.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Electee	1.00
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	1.00
Trisha Pal	(tpal)	Electee	1.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	1.00
Jason Qian	(jaqian)	Active	1.00
Amy Ruan	(awruan)	Active	1.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Electee	1.00
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Electee	1.00

II. General Description: Members were invited to join others for some bubble tea. Boba socials were fun, relaxing ways to meet people within the chapter. Electees were especially welcome!

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Provide a platform for expanding the network of engineering connections, especially for younger members.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Colin Davidson
Email: codavids@umich.edu
Phone#: 269-312-2389

2. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This is an easy event to organize. Primary concern is date/time and location.
2. Items Needed: None
3. Total Cost: \$86

VII. **Problems Encountered:** It is easiest to pay for the drinks all in one order. However, not everyone arrives on time when the event begins. Thus, you may have to close the tab (they won't want to keep it open for too long) before someone arrives.

VIII. **Recommendations:** Boba Socials are small enough to work well as a weekday event. Thursdays are a good option.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Event was a success. I was able to meet a decent number of electees, which is the goal of these smaller socials. It is not meant to be super flashy but it succeeds at what it aims to accomplish.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: The opportunity to meet electees.
4. Opportunity to improve: We just hung out at Tea Ninja and talked for the hour. It's possible to go somewhere afterwards like the diag or even play some cards.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Buffalo Wild Wings (F22)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 10/06/22 (Planning started: 09/29/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 9 Electees: 6

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Colin Davidson (codavids)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Daphne Agapiou	(dagapiou)	Active	2.00
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Electee	2.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	2.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Electee	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	2.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	2.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Electee	2.00
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.00
Jason Qian	(jaqian)	Active	2.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	2.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	2.00
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Electee	2.00
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	2.00

II. General Description: Members met at Buffalo Wild Wings on State Street for wings and camaraderie! Electees brought their Electee Exams and Peer Interviews to complete during the socializing.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Providing a casual setting for TBPeople, both actives and electees, to chat and get to know each other while enjoying free wings.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Colin Davidson
Email: codavids@umich.edu
Phone#: 269-312-2389

2. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 2 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This event is usually held on Thursday afternoons for the BOGO Boneless Wings deal – this is great for getting lots of different flavors. This worked great for this semester, we were able to get lots of wings and still stay under budget. In terms of set up, we had 3 high top tables set aside for us, but due to people's arrival times, we mostly ended up moving some chairs to fit at 2 so that there wasn't one table with very few people. This actually ended up working a little better with ordering and food distribution, but it was also a little crowded – not too huge of a problem overall though.
2. Items Needed: None
3. Total Cost: \$342

VII. **Problems Encountered:** No major issues occurred. Not everyone who signed up actually attended.

VIII. **Recommendations:** I would recommend calling ahead to let BWW know you will have a large group in attendance. They don't allow reservations but it is good practice. You should have enough room for two big tables. Menu: diversify I would also suggest choosing a time a little before dinner so that it's a little less busy.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: I would describe this event as satisfactory. Nothing flashy but it is a good time for those who show up.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Free food. Enough said.
4. Opportunity to improve: It is kind of hard to say what could improve the event. At the end of the day, the group of Tau Bates is chatting while eating wings.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 5: Members enjoy a break from classes for wings, friends, and sports.

Wiard's Orchards

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 10/22/22 (Planning started: 10/01/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 14 Electees: 8

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)

Colin Davidson (codavids)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Electee	9.00
Sean Anderson	(seanaaa)	Active	9.00
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	9.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	9.00
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	9.00
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	9.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	9.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	9.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	9.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	9.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	9.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	9.00
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	9.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	9.00
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Electee	9.00
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Electee	9.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	9.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	9.00
Anshul Singhal	(ansinghl)	Active	9.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	9.00
Agatha Ta-Goetz	(tata)	Active	9.00
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	9.00
Taylor Forrest	(tayjayfo)	Non-Member	1.00

II. General Description: Wiard's Country Fair and Orchards provided a great environment for the autumn setting with a corn maze, pumpkins, and a hay ride. The cider mill provided tasty apple cider and donuts available for purchase.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Provide the opportunity for members to enjoy the fall season and meet some new people

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Colin Davidson
Email: codavids@umich.edu
Phone#: 269-312-2389

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 4 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

- 1. General Comments:** Wiard's Orchards has a country fair on weekends during October. While primarily targeting a younger audience, there are multiple fun attractions to see during the event: corn maze, hay ride, pumpkin patch, etc. Of course, you also have the cider mill selling donuts, apple cider, and desserts.
- 2. Items Needed:** Need to purchase online tickets
- 3. Total Cost:** \$543

VII. Problems Encountered: The main headache is coordinating carpool and tickets prior to the event. Some of those who sign up on the website may no longer be able to attend or even forget about the event. It is important to confirm attendance on the week of the event.

VIII. Recommendations: Purchase tickets online at least a week in advance. There are discounts for group orders. In Fall 2022, we had 25 people attend. Use the carpool option on the website to designate drivers and riders. During the week leading up to the event, confirm that those who signed up are actually attending. This is especially important for drivers. Ideally, enough people have a car and it will not be an issue. Use slack to coordinate carpooling. You don't need to assign carpooling groups yourself, so have them work it out themselves via slack. You may want to wait as long as possible to give people their tickets. When purchased online, you will receive a large pdf of every ticket. Contact David Martel who has access to a script that can filter out individual tickets based on their uniqname from the large pdf. Wait until you have confirmed everyone and are at capacity to send out tickets.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

- 1. Comments:** I think the event was executed well. Once at Wiard's Orchard, there does not need to be an actual plan. You can simply let people form groups naturally and enjoy themselves on their own accord.
- 2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst):** 2
- 3. Best Part:** Fall atmosphere, donuts, cider, hilarious hay ride. Take your pick.
- 4. Opportunity to improve:** In Fall 2022, the event lasted 3 hours. Most people seemed ready to leave after 2-2.5 hours. Consider shortening the event.

5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 6: One of the Chapter's Advisors, David Martel (left), and his wife joined in since it was such a nice day!



Figure 7: A member was enjoying a Fall day and trying not to get lost in the maze.

Second General, Fall 2022

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 10/04/22, 10/05/22 (Planning started: 10/03/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 30 Electees: 40

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Daniel Falvo (dfalvo)
Ben King (kingbe)
Zack Goldston (zgold)
Varun Goyal (varungo)
Alex Kalams (akalams)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dillon Agrawal	(dagra)	Electee	2.00
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Electee	2.00
Obada Albaghadi	(obada)	Electee	2.00
Ibrahim Alnassar	(alnassar)	Active	2.00
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	2.00
Haimiti Atila	(hatila)	Electee	2.00
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	2.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	2.00
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Electee	2.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	2.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	2.00
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	2.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	2.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Electee	2.00
Changyu Deng	(dengcy)	Active	2.00
Zach Eichenberger	(zeichen)	Electee	2.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	2.00
Vishnu Giri	(vigiri)	Electee	2.00
Aidan Goettsch	(agoettsc)	Electee	2.00
Zack Goldston	(zgold)	Active	2.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	2.00
Camryn Graham	(camryng)	Active	2.00
Brian Haimowitz	(bhaimow)	Electee	2.00
Delaney Hammond	(delhammo)	Active	2.00
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Electee	2.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Electee	2.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	2.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	2.00
Taeksang Kim	(stozy)	Electee	2.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.00
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Electee	2.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	2.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Electee	2.00
Peijing Li	(peijli)	Active	2.00
Reese Liebman	(liebmanr)	Electee	2.00
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	2.00
Sami Lukpat	(lukpats)	Electee	2.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.00
Syahidah Mohd Khairi	(syahidah)	Active	2.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	2.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	2.00
Kari Naga	(knga)	Electee	2.00
Suyash Naik	(sunaik)	Electee	2.00
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	2.00
Trisha Pal	(tpal)	Electee	2.00
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Electee	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.00
Alexander Prater	(ajprater)	Electee	2.00
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Electee	2.00
Eli Rotman	(erotman)	Active	2.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	2.00
Piush Sarkar	(sarkarp)	Electee	2.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Electee	4.00
Anjali Sivakumar	(anjalids)	Active	2.00
Hannah Soderstrom	(hsoder)	Electee	2.00
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	2.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	2.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	2.00
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Electee	2.00
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	2.00
Frank Wang	(frnkwang)	Active	2.00
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	2.00
Richard Wang	(riwa)	Electee	2.00
Kody Whisnant	(kgwhis)	Active	2.00
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Electee	2.00
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Electee	4.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Electee	2.00

II. General Description: This meeting was mandatory for all prospective Tau Beta Pi members. The meeting opened with dinner and announcements. The electees were then introduced to their electee teams and participated in an activity to determine their team name. Dinner was provided.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Second General meeting for new electees. Electees got a chance to meet their electee teams for the first time.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Alex Kalams

Email: akalams@umich.edu

Phone#: 615-522-4483

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Electees got a chance to play Gartic Phone and create their teams. I was personally impressed by the officers who stepped up and became electee team leads so we could field more teams this semester.

2. Items Needed: Electee Interview Announcement Electee Team Assignments Electee Team Gartic Phone

3. Total Cost: \$780

VII. Problems Encountered: Jamaican Jerk Pit gave us food in large serving trays. Although the food was delicious, it was a bit difficult to decide how much people should get. We ended up having a lot of extras but it was hard to divvy them out since there was not enough individualized packages.

VIII. Recommendations: In the future, we should try to order food that can be easily packaged. It makes clean up much easier and we don't have to worry about telling people how much food to get.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Other than minor food problems, this meeting was a success. I'm excited to see the electees' progress this semester.

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2

3. Best Part: Watching the electees bond with other electees and actives was super fun. I am excited to watch their progress as the semester progresses.

4. Opportunity to improve: Avoid Jamaican Jerk Pit in the future for larger events. They don't have the staff to individually package meals for 50+ people.

5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Engineering Futures (F22)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 10/12/22, 10/19/22, 10/28/22, 11/04/22, 11/11/22 (Planning started: 10/08/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 18 Electees: 26

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Ansh Patel (anshp)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dillon Agrawal	(dagra)	Electee	2.00
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Electee	2.00
Obada Albaghdadi	(obada)	Electee	1.00
Ibrahim Alnassar	(alnassar)	Active	1.00
Aiden Ascioti	(aasciotti)	Active	2.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	3.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.00
Nick Carr	(nickcarr)	Active	1.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	1.00
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	1.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Electee	1.00
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Electee	2.00
Aidan Goettsch	(agoettsc)	Electee	2.00
Delaney Hammond	(delhammo)	Active	2.00
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Electee	2.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	2.00
Jongha Kim	(jonghak)	Electee	1.00
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Electee	2.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Electee	2.00
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	1.00
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Electee	2.00
Julia Mocny	(mocnyj)	Active	1.00
Syahidah Mohd Khairi	(syahidah)	Active	3.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	1.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	1.00
Kari Naga	(knga)	Electee	2.00
Emma Nigrelli	(enigrell)	Active	1.00
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Electee	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	3.00
Shweta Pati	(patis)	Active	1.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	1.00
Swame Ramesh	(rswame)	Electee	2.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	2.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	1.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Electee	1.00
Hannah Soderstrom	(hsoder)	Electee	1.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	1.00
Frank Wang	(frnkwang)	Active	1.00
Richard Wang	(riwa)	Electee	1.00
Zach Whalen	(whalenz)	Active	1.00
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Electee	2.00
John Yu	(johnzryu)	Active	1.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Electee	2.00

II. General Description: Engineering Futures was an event series from the TBP National Organization that focuses on different career-oriented topics. Members were invited to attend and learn from professionals about various professional engineering skills.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Professional Development

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 0 Participating: 5.0 (Total Duration for 5 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Event series from the TBP National Convention that focuses on different career-oriented topics. Each session had its own topic.

2. Items Needed: N/A

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Add to website early in the semester and advertise at chapter meetings

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Lots of positive feedback from members because these events are very insightful and also easy to attend.

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1

3. Best Part: N/A

4. Opportunity to improve: N/A
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Halloween Party

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 10/27/22, 10/28/22 (Planning started: 10/16/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 8 Electees: 5

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Colin Davidson (codavids)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Daphne Agapiou	(dagapiou)	Active	1.50
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.50
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.50
Vishnu Giri	(vigiri)	Electee	1.50
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.50
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	1.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	1.50
Jason Qian	(jaqian)	Active	1.50
Amy Ruan	(awruan)	Active	1.50
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	1.50
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	1.50
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	1.50
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	1.50

II. General Description: Members enjoyed the spookiest holiday around by celebrating at our annual Halloween Party! There were spooky decorations, a mini pumpkin carving contest, and apple cider. Costumes were encouraged and pizza was provided.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Enjoy a festive celebration!

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Colin Davidson

Email: codavids@umich.edu

Phone#: 269-312-2389

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 12 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: A Halloween Party was common pre-Covid. One of the goals this year was to get back in the swing of things and build on the foundation for future semesters.
2. Items Needed: Food: Pizza, cookies, cupcakes, apple cider Decorations: We have two main boxes located in the FXB cage (must acquire a key). I would suggest taking a look to see what is there and what else you may want. Generally, we have lights, spider webs, leaves, police tape, skeletons, etc. Pumpkins: We carved pie pumpkins as one of the activities. Got a deal of 2 pie pumpkins for \$4 at Meijer. Miscellaneous: Need a bunch of small items too. Tape, napkins, plates, cups, etc.
3. Total Cost: \$315

VII. **Problems Encountered:** Decorating takes a long time. The more hands the better. Consider making a service event for decoration prior to the actual party/mixer.

VIII. **Recommendations:** Select a room multiple weeks in advance, location is important. In Fall 2022, we used EECS 1303 and this worked pretty well.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall, I think it was a success and accomplished exactly what it set out to do. We had food, music, pumpkin carving, and cool decorations. Lots of good pictures.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: The atmosphere and funny moments. Decoration was on point.
4. Opportunity to improve: A Halloween party has the potential to be a bigger event. This could become an interorg collab with SWE or HKN as a way to scale up. Maybe could do a better job promoting the event to raise attendance.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 8: A room prepped and ready for a fun Halloween celebration.

Grad Meet to Eat (or Drink) Series: Moge Tee!

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 10/23/22 (Planning started: 10/17/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 3 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Hrishi Danawe (hgdanawe)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Yash Cherivirala (yaswanth)	Active	1.00
Hrishi Danawe (hgdanawe)	Active	1.00
Varun Goyal (varungo)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: This was the first event in “Grad Meet to Eat (or Drink) Series”. Graduate students got to meet each other and enjoy a nice relaxing evening. This event was at Moge Tee - Ann Arbor who serve a range of awesome bubble teas.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: A social event for the graduate students.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The event went well, however the participation was low with only 3 students attending the event.

2. Items Needed: None

3. Total Cost: \$26

VII. Problems Encountered: Low participation from the grad students.

VIII. Recommendations: Posting up the the event at least 9-10 days earlier the scheduled date to have maximum participation and also posting about it on the slack groups for both actives and electees.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Good event for socializing and interaction between the grad actives and electees.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Bubble tea.
4. Opportunity to improve: Posting the event at least 9-10 days prior to the scheduled event date.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Ice Skating

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 11/06/22, 11/27/22 (Planning started: 10/18/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 6 Electees: 5

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Matt Kukucka (mkukucka)
Colin Davidson (codavids)

Name	(unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Electee	2.00
Obada Albaghadi	(obada)	Electee	1.50
Sean Anderson	(seanaa)	Active	2.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Vishnu Giri	(vigiri)	Electee	1.50
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	3.50
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	2.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	3.50
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	2.00
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Electee	3.50
☒ Pauline Wang	(wanpau)	Active	2.00

II. General Description: Members were invited to skate with their fellow Tau Bates at Yost Ice Arena! This free social event gave members the opportunity to have fun and chat together.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Chapter bonding and socializing.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 3.5 (Total Duration for 2 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Big success, had a great time getting to know the members of the chapter while skating.

2. Items Needed: No items required

3. Total Cost: \$57

VII. Problems Encountered: No problems encountered

VIII. Recommendations: Try to get everyone there at least 15 minutes early so you don't have to wait in line. Also, give the register the TBP shortcode for easy payment.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: A successful event – easy to put on and had positive feedback.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Learning to skate together and the shenanigans on ice.
4. Opportunity to improve: No suggestions – felt very easy to organize and execute.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 9: Our members enjoy learning a new skill and each other's company.

Fall 2022 Officer Social

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 11/18/22, 11/19/22 (Planning started: 10/31/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 11 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Ben King (kingbe)

Zack Goldston (zwgold)

Richard Wang (wangrh)

Name	(unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	3.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	3.00
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	3.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	3.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	3.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	3.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	3.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	3.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	3.00
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	3.00
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	3.00

II. General Description: Our semesterly officer bonding event. In Fall 2022, we all met at Pinball Pete's for some arcade games, followed by a run for food.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: The Officer Social/Bonding gives the officer corp a chance to relax their current duties and spend some time with each other, getting to know one another and enjoy fun games and food.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Zachary Goldston

Title: President

Email: zwgold@umich.edu

Phone#: 216-570-9354

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: All of us played a variety of different games, some that gave tickets, others that did not. It was a ton of fun, and everyone seemed to enjoy the time spent with other officers.
2. Items Needed: N/A
3. Total Cost: \$250

VII. Problems Encountered: No noticeable problems were encountered.

VIII. Recommendations: Keep continuing officer bonding events

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Everyone had an amazing time, and would definitely want to keep doing in the future
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Pooling all of our tickets to get 2 pinball balls
4. Opportunity to improve: Trying new activities in Ann Arbor, or even going out towards Lansing/out of the city. (Would require more planning with carpools)
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 10: Two officers from F22 enjoying a well-deserved break at our semesterly bonding activity.

Grad Meet to Eat (or Drink) Series: Condado Tacos!

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 11/13/22 (Planning started: 11/07/22)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 5 Electees: 1

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Hrishi Danawe (hgdanawe)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	1.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	1.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	1.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumdr)	Active	1.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	1.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: This was the second event in “Grad Meet to Eat (or Drink) Series”. Graduate students got to meet each other and enjoy a nice relaxing Sunday afternoon. This event was at Condado Tacos - Ann Arbor who serve amazing tacos.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Graduate students social event.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: It was a fun event to socialize and eat among graduate students- both electees and actives.

2. Items Needed: None.

3. Total Cost: \$143

VII. Problems Encountered: None.

VIII. Recommendations: To continue the event series. The first was a bubble tea and the second (this event) was a social with food. It was fun, everyone shared their stories and enjoyed.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Suggest continuing the event. Participation was good on a Sunday afternoon.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Food and interesting stories everyone shared.
4. Opportunity to improve: Post the event on the website earlier.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 11: Grad students got to know each other during a break from school.

Fourth General, Fall 2022

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 11/22/22, 11/23/22 (Planning started: 11/13/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 27 Electees: 37

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Ben King (kingbe)
 Zack Goldston (zgold)
 Varun Goyal (varungo)
 Alex Kalams (akalams)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dillon Agrawal	(dagra)	Electee	2.00
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Electee	2.00
Obada Albaghdadi	(obada)	Electee	2.00
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	2.00
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	2.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	2.00
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Electee	2.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	2.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	2.00
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	2.00
Toland Corum	(corumto)	Electee	2.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	2.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Electee	2.00
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Electee	2.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	2.00
Vishnu Giri	(vigiri)	Electee	2.00
Aidan Goetsch	(agoettsc)	Electee	2.00
Zack Goldston	(zgold)	Active	2.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	2.00
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Electee	2.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Electee	2.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	2.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	2.00
Taeksang Kim	(stozy)	Electee	2.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.00
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Electee	2.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Electee	2.00
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	2.00
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	2.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumdr)	Active	2.00
Steven Mamolo	(smamolo)	Active	2.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.00
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Electee	2.00
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Electee	2.00
Julia Mocny	(mocnyj)	Active	2.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	2.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	2.00
Kari Naga	(knga)	Electee	2.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	2.00
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Electee	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	2.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	2.00
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Electee	2.00
Swame Ramesh	(rswame)	Electee	2.00
Piush Sarkar	(sarkarp)	Electee	2.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	2.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	2.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Electee	2.00
Anjali Sivakumar	(anjalids)	Active	2.00
Hannah Soderstrom	(hsoder)	Electee	4.00
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	2.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	2.00
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Electee	2.00
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	2.00
Pit Vollmers	(pitv)	Active	2.00
Frank Wang	(frnkwang)	Active	2.00
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	2.00
Richard Wang	(riwa)	Electee	2.00
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Electee	2.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Electee	2.00

II. General Description: This meeting was mandatory for all prospective Tau Beta Pi members.

The meeting opened with dinner and announcements. The electees gave the presentations they came up with in their groups, Dinner was provided.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Officers describe their position's roles for electees looking to run for positions Electee Pictionary

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Alex Kalams
Email: akalams@umich.edu
Phone#: 615-522-4483

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Pictionary activity was a success that we want to continue in the future Lots of electees were encourage to run for positions based on election turnout
2. Items Needed: Officer roles and responsibilities rundown Officer updates
3. Total Cost: \$500

VII. Problems Encountered: - Encountered some technical difficulties with Pictionary setup that was quickly resolved

VIII. Recommendations: Use Jam Board for future Pictionary activities

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: This meeting was a success.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: - Pictionary was super fun despite the tech problems
4. Opportunity to improve: Test run new electee activities before meetings.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

CSD Information/Training Session (F22)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 11/18/22 (Planning started: 11/15/22)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 8 Electees: 17

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)

Riley Garliauskas (garliari)

Colin Davidson (codavids)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	1.00
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Electee	1.00
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	1.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	15.00
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Electee	1.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	0.00
Aidan Goettsch	(agoettsc)	Electee	1.00
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Electee	1.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	1.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	1.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Electee	1.00
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	1.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	10.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	5.00
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Electee	1.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	2.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	1.00
Kari Naga	(knga)	Electee	1.00
Emma Nigrelli	(enigrell)	Active	1.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Electee	1.00
Hannah Soderstrom	(hsoder)	Electee	1.00
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	1.00
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Electee	1.00
Richard Wang	(riwa)	Electee	1.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Electee	1.00

II. General Description: This meeting provided general information about CSD: schedules, expectations, activities, etc. We outlined best practices on dealing with younger scouts and

advice to make the volunteering responsibilities as straightforward as possible.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Prepared volunteers to work at Cub Scouts Day by giving them a presentation with background information needed to successfully work at the event.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Riley Garliauskas
Email: garliari@umich.edu
Phone#: 248-880-0530

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 4 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Provided much better training than in past semesters for Cub Scouts Day, but still has room for several optimizations.
2. Items Needed: Webcam for Zoom demonstration, sample module kits (all existing)
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Meeting ran long and lost the attention of some attendees. Lessons from this training session were not implemented successfully at the event by some of the volunteers; there was still an issue of lack of enthusiasm, care, and customer service from some of those who attended. The Zoom format was highly ineffective, and those who joined virtually did not participate at all.

VIII. Recommendations: Hold the event in-person only and provide food. Increase interactivity, providing opportunities for volunteers to ask questions, voice their concerns, and practice. Speed up the presentation and spend less time fixated on small details.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: A solid idea to fill an area of great need, but has room for improvement in future semesters. The relative lack of success this year doesn't indicate a lack of potential going forward.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 4
3. Best Part: A few volunteers learned something useful and demonstrated understanding of rules and policies covered in the training while working the event, even if it wasn't the majority of volunteers. Module leaders did an excellent job participating and putting the ideas from the session into practice.
4. Opportunity to improve: Refine the presentation to focus more on the needs of volunteers. Think of the event from the perspective of those who it aims to serve (volunteers) rather than spending the majority of the time on only what the organizers find important.

5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

World Cup Watch Party

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 11/29/22 (Planning started: 11/27/22)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 6 Electees: 8

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Riley Garliauskas (garliari)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	4.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	4.00
Toland Corum	(corumto)	Electee	4.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Electee	4.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	4.00
Aidan Goetsch	(agoettsc)	Electee	4.00
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	4.00
Taeksang Kim	(stozy)	Electee	4.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.00
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Electee	4.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	4.00
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Electee	4.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Electee	4.00
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Electee	4.00

II. General Description: Members hung out in the bullpen before elections and watched the USA take on Iran for a spot in the World Cup knockout stage! Snacks were provided.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Looked to build a sense of community among the chapter's members and improve our image with other student organizations. Allowed several electees to socialize with active members and officers, helping them become more comfortable with the chapter. Was held in the bullpen next to other student organizations' offices, whose members also enjoyed the fun environment we created and most likely had a positive view of TBP as a result.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Riley Garliauskas
Title: External Vice President
Email: garliari@umich.edu
Phone#: 248-880-0530

2. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 2 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Took advantage of an event of high interest happening at a convenient time, but this opportunity only comes around once every four years. We won't get the chance to repeat this with a World Cup match for a while, but it could still be useful to have watch parties for events that a large portion of the chapter find interesting (Olympics, Michigan-related events, etc.)
2. Items Needed: TV (provided by HKN to all bullpen organizations) Roku (provided by me)
Assorted snacks (leftover from Cub Scouts Day)
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. **Problems Encountered:** There was not a lot of space in the bullpen and we were loud, so if anyone from another organization needed to get work done it could have been an issue. There is also no wired internet connection in the bullpen, and the wireless connection was hard to hook up to the Roku.

VIII. **Recommendations:** Consider obtaining a classroom in the future depending on the size of the event. Also put this in the budget so we can get better food than reusing old snacks from Cub Scouts Day.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Everyone left happy with the event and knowing several more of their fellow Tau Bates than when they came in. Despite the technical issues at first, the game was easy to watch and looked good, and the snacks could have been better but members still enjoyed them. Overall, a successful event.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: This took place just before elections, which allowed electees great opportunities to ask questions to the officers and candidates attending in a relaxed, social environment.
4. Opportunity to improve: If the event can be planned more in advance, it would help it look more out together (providing a room, food, etc.). That was difficult with this event because it wasn't known whether it would be an important game until a couple days before, but for other watch parties, it might be possible to plan more.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Resume Peer-Review Workshop

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 12/02/22 (Planning started: 11/29/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 5 Electees: 4

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Ansh Patel (anshp)

Julia Mocny (mocnyj)

Name	(unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	1.00
Toland Corum	(corumto)	Electee	1.00
✉️ Angela Deng	(yuanqid)	Active	1.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Electee	1.00
Julia Mocny	(mocnyj)	Active	1.00
John Pye	(jepye)	Active	1.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	1.00
Zikun (ZEE-KWhen) Wang	(zikunw)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: Members were invited to a workshop for improving their resumes. Strategies such as peer review, action statements and expert review were used.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Professional development session

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: First gave a short presentation about resumes and then students went into breakout rooms to complete two peer reviews

2. Items Needed: None

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Keep the presentation relatively short because most of the value will come from the peer reviews since there are so many different ways to write a good resume

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Event went very well. Presentation was short and informative and students had plenty of time for peer reviews. Everything went smoothly.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Student likely got the most benefit out of the peer reviews
4. Opportunity to improve: To improve, I would look into getting some older TBP members to peer review since they have more experience. Also, I have been to events for other clubs before where recruiters from a certain company (e.g. General Motors) come and look over resumes. This would be a great opportunity for TBP members.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Initiation F22

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 12/10/22 (Planning started: 12/04/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 11 Electees: 34

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Ben King (kingbe)
Zack Goldston (zgold)
Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dillon Agrawal	(dagra)	Electee	2.50
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Electee	2.50
Obada Albaghadi	(obada)	Electee	2.50
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	2.50
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	2.50
Michael Benson	(mlbenson)	Active	2.50
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Electee	2.50
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	2.50
Toland Corum	(corumto)	Electee	2.50
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Electee	2.50
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Electee	2.50
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.50
Aidan Goettsch	(agoettsc)	Electee	2.50
Zack Goldston	(zgold)	Active	2.50
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	2.50
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Electee	2.50
Adviqa Jhingran	(adviqaj)	Electee	2.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	2.50
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.50
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Electee	2.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Electee	2.50
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	2.50
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	2.50
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Electee	2.50
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Electee	2.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	2.50
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	2.50
Kari Naga	(knga)	Electee	2.50
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Electee	2.50

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.50
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	2.50
Tara Radvand	(tararad)	Active	2.50
Swame Ramesh	(rswame)	Electee	2.50
Piush Sarkar	(sarkarp)	Electee	2.50
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	2.50
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Electee	2.50
Hannah Soderstrom	(hsoder)	Electee	2.50
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	2.50
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Electee	2.50
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	2.50
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	2.50
Richard Wang	(riwa)	Electee	2.50
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Electee	2.50
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Electee	2.50
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Electee	2.50

II. General Description: Initiation ritual ceremony where successful candidates become new members of Tau Beta Pi. In-person attendance on this date was required. There was another event offered for those who could not attend in person.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Initiation of successful new electees is required to bring new members into the chapter, after receiving approval from HQ.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 3 Participating: 2.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Successful event, where all new members were initiated either in-person or at the alternate initiation date.
2. Items Needed: Initiation supplies - includes robes, "candles", initiation script, light boxes (with bent symbol and true Moto).
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: No technical difficulties

VIII. Recommendations: Continue doing the good work leading up to this event. Be sure to verify all materials are available before the day of.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Successful event, try to make the new members feel comfortable and included as they sign the roll book and receive their certificate.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Smooth operation due to practice.
4. Opportunity to improve: Ensure that all technical aspects are prepped and working before letting electees in.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Grad Social: Movie Night

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 12/09/22 (Planning started: 12/05/22)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 2 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Varun Goyal (varungo)	Active	1.00
Ayan Majumder (aymajumd)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: Members met to watch a film together and enjoy each other's company. Mainly attended by graduate students but undergraduates were also welcome.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Social event for the graduate students.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 3 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The movie night social was fun and we watched the latest Marvel movie "The Black Panther: Wakanda Forever".

2. Items Needed: Carpool

3. Total Cost: \$51

VII. Problems Encountered: None.

VIII. Recommendations: Putting up the social almost 2 weeks before the event on the website will ensure more participation.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall a great event, many showed interest however the event being during the end of the semester, most students were occupied with end semester exam preparations. I suggest holding it sometime in the middle of the semester.

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Tribute to Chadwick Boseman in the movie.
4. Opportunity to improve: Holding the event far from the mid semester or end semester exams will likely ensure good participation.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Fall 2022 - Winter 2023 Officer Transition Meeting

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 12/11/22, 12/12/22 (Planning started: 12/07/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 17 Electees: 6

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Zack Goldston (zgold)

Riley Garliauskas (garliari)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Michael Benson	(mlbenson)	Active	1.50
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.50
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.50
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Electee	1.50
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.50
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.50
Kate Giammalvo	(kgiamm)	Active	1.50
Zack Goldston	(zgold)	Active	1.50
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	1.50
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Electee	1.50
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	1.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	1.50
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	1.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Electee	1.50
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	1.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.50
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	1.50
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	1.50
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.50
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.50
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	1.50
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	1.50

II. General Description: This meeting served as the transition meeting from the F22 to W23 officer corp. Only new and old officers were invited.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Gave outgoing and returning officers an opportu-

nity to share knowledge with incoming officers, who had the opportunity to ask questions and clarify their goals for the next semester.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: In-person meeting this semester, consistent with the Winter 2022 - Fall 2022 transition meeting. There was a Zoom option used by only 4 or 5 attendees compared to over a dozen who attended in person.
2. Items Needed: Pizza for over a dozen in-person attendees.
3. Total Cost: \$80

VII. Problems Encountered: Zoom participation was somewhat limited, and the experience on Zoom was most likely not great compared to what was gained from those who attended in person. However, there were no issues with communication in the hybrid format, just the quality of the communication.

VIII. Recommendations: Encourage full in-person involvement in the future. Have the K-12 officer position decided beforehand so this new officer can attend the meeting as well (something that was not done in time this year, so only the K-12 committee knows this officer and the social experience is not the same for this officer compared to the others).

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall very successful meeting. Everyone had ample opportunities to speak and be heard by a large group, and these thoughts were all collected in detail for future reference.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Meeting notes were taken the entire time by the secretary and these notes were made available to all officers. Many people referenced these notes after the meeting was over to review the insight that was shared during the meeting, and it can continue to be used as a resource throughout next semester and beyond.
4. Opportunity to improve: The meeting would benefit from slightly more structure to make sure everyone is aware of the goals and format going in, but people had no issues figuring anything out. It could just be even better if people were given more opportunities to prepare thoughtful statements.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Officer Meetings - Electee Attendance

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 01/08/23, 01/09/23, 01/15/23, 01/16/23, 01/22/23, 01/23/23, 01/29/23, 01/30/23, 02/05/23, 02/06/23, 02/11/23, 02/19/23, 02/20/23, 03/12/23, 03/19/23, 03/26/23, 04/02/23 (Planning started: 12/21/22)
2. Project was new?: No
3. Number of participants:
Active Members: 5 Electees: 7
4. Names of participants:
Project Leader(s) (unqname)
Riley Garliauskas (garliari)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	3.00
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	6.00
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	1.00
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	2.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	1.00
Jack Phillips	(jackjhp)	Electee	1.00
Nick Simon	(npsimon)	Electee	1.00
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	1.00
Fulei Wuchu	(fwuchu)	Electee	7.00
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	1.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Active	1.00

II. **General Description:** The chapter's officer corps met weekly on Sundays to discuss plans for the upcoming week, communicate recent developments in the chapter's operations, and plan for the rest of the semester.

III. **Target Audience:** Chapter

IV. **Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G:** Also allowed active members and electees to learn more about how the chapter's leadership operates and earn service hours.

V. **Organization and Administration**

1. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 10 Participating: 11.0 (Event Duration)

VI. **Cost and Personnel Requirements**

1. General Comments: An unfulfilling event this semester that has great potential to be a bridge to future leadership if used effectively with meaningful interaction.

2. Items Needed: Room reservation in the Baer Room and a recurring Zoom link.
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Electee engagement was very poor; I highly doubt anyone learned anything or even listened to the meeting.

VIII. Recommendations: Do not offer Zoom attendance for credit; this severely harms engagement.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Would be good if in-person attendance were mandatory, but the opportunity to create meaningful interaction was wasted by the pressure to be inclusive to Zoom attendees.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 4
3. Best Part: Seeing people who are genuinely passionate about future involvement in the chapter learn more from watching current officers operate.
4. Opportunity to improve: Mandate in-person attendance for service hours, even if the Zoom link remains available for no credit.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Electee Teams W23

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 01/01/23–04/15/23 (Planning started: 12/29/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)

Name (unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
----------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: Electees were separated into groups led by two active members. This semester, we had 5 teams with about 10 electees each. These teams work together to complete challenges at each of the general meetings, meet independently outside of meetings, and compete with one another for the Electee Cup.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: These teams acted as a good introduction to TBP, and a way to encourage new members to meet active members.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 3 Participating: N/A Varies by participant

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This is a fun practice.

2. Items Needed: Funding for some food the teams could use.

3. Total Cost: \$500

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Continue this practice - ensure that we have a good number of team leads that are enthusiastic.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Good practice

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1

3. Best Part: The powerpoint party and success of the Family Feud game

4. Opportunity to improve: Try new games
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Officer Meetings (W23)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 01/01/23–04/16/23 (Planning started: 12/30/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 16 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Riley Garliauskas (garliari)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	0.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	0.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Active	0.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	0.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	0.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	0.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	0.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	0.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	0.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	0.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	0.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	0.00
Tara Radvand	(tararad)	Active	0.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	0.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	0.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Active	0.00

II. General Description: The chapter's officer corps met weekly on Sundays to discuss plans for the upcoming week, communicate recent developments in the chapter's operations, and plan for the rest of the semester.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Officer meetings allow the chapter to continue to run smoothly through advance planning of events and meetings. The semester president wrote agendas for the meetings and led the meetings. Current projects, corporate opportunities, and new initiatives were discussed

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 30 Participating: 0.0

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Officers wrote on the agenda ahead of time and then discussed their points at the meetings. Discussion time was reserved at the end of each meeting for any points that needed to be debated on or discussed in-depth. Advisors and officers attended meetings, some more actively than others
2. Items Needed: Room reservation
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Continue using the same template every week in google docs. Post meeting minutes to the website

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Great for planning ahead and keeping all officers on the same page
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Great discussion and debate, no one was shy to share their ideas
4. Opportunity to improve: Planning to meet on central campus next semester
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Electee Interview (Electees) W23

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 02/01/23, 02/02/23, 02/03/23 (Planning started: 01/01/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 7 Electees: 19

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)
Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	0.50
Nathan Biggs	(biggsn)	Electee	0.50
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Electee	0.50
John Ciurla	(jciurla)	Electee	0.50
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	0.50
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	0.50
Nikki France	(nfrance)	Active	0.50
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	0.50
Nate Giessner	(nategies)	Electee	0.50
Jack Gremel	(jagremel)	Electee	0.50
Peijing Li	(peijli)	Active	0.50
Jason Liang	(jasonlia)	Electee	0.50
Amy Liu	(amyzliu)	Electee	0.50
Meghna Mahesh	(meghnam)	Electee	0.50
Joshua Ning	(joshning)	Electee	0.50
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	0.50
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	0.50
Jack Phillips	(jackjhp)	Electee	0.50
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Active	0.50
Molly Rodgers	(merodger)	Electee	0.50
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	0.50
Nick Simon	(npsimon)	Electee	0.50
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	0.50
Patrick White	(patwh)	Electee	0.50

II. General Description: Character Interviews were used to determine whether or not electees demonstrate exemplary character. Interviews consisted of one half hour interview consisting of an ethics case study and discussion of the electees' character essays.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Interviewing candidates to elect to ensure that they have good character so that they may continue the electing process. This allows for more members to be initiated into the chapter, specifically who represent the values of the chapter.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 10 Participating: 9.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Pretty successful overall, all electees were paired with at least one active member. Almost all interviews went smoothly, with actives submitting interview followups very quickly.
2. Items Needed: 2 rooms in the Dude connector (1120A, 1120D)
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Room got locked accidentally. Fixed within 10-15 minutes by emailing the staff in charge of the connector rooms, who sent someone out to unlock the rooms. One electee did not show up to the interview, was reached out accordingly and waiting for a reply.

VIII. Recommendations: Consider adding or removing days, make sure to stay in contact with the staff of the Dude

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Pretty successful overall. All electees were paired with at least one active member. Almost all interviews went smoothly, with actives submitting interview followups very quickly.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Seeing all of the electees sign up for slots and reading the feedback from actives!
4. Opportunity to improve: adding/subtracting days with estimates of the number of electees electing, but having three days is helpful with working around electees' and actives' schedules.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Electee Interview (Actives) W23

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 02/01/23, 02/02/23, 02/03/23 (Planning started: 01/01/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 28 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)
Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dillon Agrawal	(dagra)	Active	0.50
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	0.50
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	0.50
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Active	1.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.50
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	1.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	0.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	0.50
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	0.50
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	0.50
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	0.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	0.50
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	0.50
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	0.50
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	0.50
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	0.50
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	0.50
Jason Qian	(jaqian)	Active	1.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	0.50
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	2.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	0.50
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	0.50
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	0.50
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Active	0.50
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Active	0.50

II. General Description: Character Interviews were used to determine whether or not electees demonstrate exemplary character. Interviews consisted of one half hour interview consisting of an ethics case study and discussion of the electees' character essays.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Interviewing candidates to elect to ensure that they have good character so that they may continue the electing process. This allows for more members to be initiated into the chapter, specifically who represent the values of the chapter.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 10 Participating: 14.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Pretty successful overall, all electees were paired with at least one active member. Almost all interviews went smoothly, with actives submitting interview followups very quickly.
2. Items Needed: 2 rooms in the Dude connector (1120A, 1120D)
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Room got locked accidentally. Fixed within 10-15 minutes by emailing the staff in charge of the connector rooms, who sent someone out to unlock the rooms. One electee did not show up to the interview, was reached out accordingly and waiting for a reply.

VIII. Recommendations: Consider adding or removing days, make sure to stay in contact with the staff of the Dude

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Pretty successful overall. All electees were paired with at least one active member. Almost all interviews went smoothly, with actives submitting interview followups very quickly.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Seeing all of the electees sign up for slots and reading the feedback from actives!
4. Opportunity to improve: adding/subtracting days with estimates of the number of electees electing, but having three days is helpful with working around electees' and actives' schedules.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Event Preparation for MindSet3

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 04/02/23 (Planning started: 01/02/23)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 4 Electees: 2

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Tara Radvand (tararad)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	3.00
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	3.00
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	3.00
Emma Nigrelli	(enigrell)	Active	3.00
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	3.00
Tara Radvand	(tararad)	Active	3.00

II. **General Description:** Members were invited to prepare a hands-on activity for 3rd-5th graders to learn some basics of lean manufacturing and the industrial engineering field. The main focus was on promoting active learning, meaning that volunteers and leaders were to walk children through finding the correct answer by asking questions.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. **Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G:** Preparing volunteers for a new MindSet event that aims to familiarize school students with the Industrial Engineering discipline, Lean Operations, Manufacturing, and process improvement. Preparing volunteers for MindSet events improves students' learning and reduces volunteers' anxiety about the event. Additionally, volunteers receive training about potential conflicts and how they can resolve them in the actual event.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Tara Radvand

Title: K-12 Officer

Email: tararad@umich.edu

Phone#: 765-714-9792

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 3 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This event helped volunteers understand how the simulation game works. Also, they sent me their ideas about ways to improve the game in the after-session follow-up email.
2. Items Needed: N/A
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Nothing.

VIII. Recommendations: I recommend preparing volunteers for events, especially in events with multiple rounds and complicated ones. I also encourage project leaders to ask for volunteers' ideas about making the event more fun and informative before the actual event. This results in a better student learning experience while increasing a sense of inclusion for volunteers.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: It was a successful training followed by a follow-up email asking for volunteers' ideas. It was helpful because we have trained volunteers now and have a forecast for the number of volunteers who can attend the event both in the summer and the academic year.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Getting to know our wonderful volunteers was the best part.
4. Opportunity to improve: Expansion to all MindSet events.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Engineering Futures (W23)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 02/21/23, 02/22/23, 02/23/23, 03/02/23, 03/07/23, 03/16/23, 04/12/23, 04/13/23, 04/20/23 (Planning started: 01/04/23)
2. Project was new?: No
3. Number of participants:
Active Members: 29 Electees: 17
4. Names of participants:
Project Leader(s) (uniquname)
Ansh Patel (anshp)

Name	(uniquname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	1.00
Aiden Ascioti	(aascioti)	Active	2.00
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Active	1.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzifz)	Active	2.00
Aakash Bharat	(aakashvb)	Active	1.00
Nathan Biggs	(biggsn)	Electee	2.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.00
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Electee	2.00
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Active	1.00
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	1.00
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Active	1.00
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	2.00
Nikki France	(nfrance)	Active	2.00
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	1.00
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	1.00
Jack Gremel	(jagremel)	Electee	2.00
Delaney Hammond	(delhammo)	Active	1.00
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	4.00
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Electee	1.00
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Active	1.00
Maddi Kelberman	(mkelb)	Active	1.00
Jennifer Kosek	(jkosek)	Active	1.00
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	1.00
Peijing Li	(peijli)	Active	1.00
Amy Liu	(amyliu)	Electee	2.00
Meghna Mahesh	(meghnam)	Electee	2.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	1.00
Syahidah Mohd Khairi	(syahidah)	Active	2.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Joshua Ning	(joshning)	Electee	2.00
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	1.00
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	2.00
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	1.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	1.00
Jack Phillips	(jackjhp)	Electee	1.00
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Active	2.00
Molly Rodgers	(merodger)	Electee	2.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	1.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	1.00
Nick Simon	(npsimon)	Electee	2.00
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	1.00
Fulei Wuchu	(fwuchu)	Electee	3.00
John Yu	(johnzryu)	Active	1.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Active	2.00

II. General Description: Engineering Futures was an event series from the TBP National Organization that focuses on different career-oriented topics. Members were invited to attend and learn from professionals about various professional engineering skills.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Provides attendees with guidance on relevant topics to engineering academia and careers.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 0 Participating: 8.0 (Total Duration for 8 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Good event series, the topics are interesting but maybe not always particularly engaging.

2. Items Needed: N/A

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Offer EF Virtual as a resource for members but don't offer PD credit for it. Hard to keep track of who is showing up and impossible to keep track of whether or not people are actually engaging.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Good overall, recommend we continue these as extra events and bring back in-person engineering futures events to MI-G.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Topics are relevant to our lives as engineering students so that is good to see
4. Opportunity to improve: More engaging sessions - could do more workshop style stuff rather than the lecture style.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Buffalo Wild Wings (W23)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 01/19/23, 02/16/23, 02/17/23 (Planning started: 01/11/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 30 Electees: 8

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Karen Jin (karenjin)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Daphne Agapiou	(dagapiou)	Active	2.00
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Active	2.00
Chirag Bangera	(cbangera)	Active	4.00
Joao Casares	(casares)	Electee	2.00
Jon Chen	(asclkose)	Active	4.00
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	4.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	4.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	4.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Girish Chandar Ganesan	(girishg)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	4.00
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	2.00
Aidan Goettsch	(agoettsc)	Active	2.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	2.00
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Electee	2.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	4.00
Chun-Wei Kong	(chunwei)	Electee	2.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	2.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	4.00
Peijing Li	(peijli)	Active	2.00
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Active	2.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumdr)	Active	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	4.00
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Active	4.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	4.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	2.00
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	2.00
Ruben Orsolle	(rorsolle)	Electee	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	4.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Amy Ruan	(awruan)	Active	2.00
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	4.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	2.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	2.00
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	2.00
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Active	2.00
Zikun (ZEE-KWhen) Wang	(zikunw)	Active	2.00
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	2.00

II. General Description: Members meet at Buffalo Wild Wings on State Street for wings and camaraderie! Electees brought their Electee Exams and Peer Interviews to complete during the socializing.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Providing a casual setting for TBPeople, both actives and electees, to chat and get to know each other while enjoying free wings.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Karen Jin

Title: Activities Officer

Email: karenjin@umich.edu

Phone#: 978-727-6695

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 2.0 (Total Duration for 2 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This event is usually held on Thursday afternoons for the BOGO Boneless Wings deal – this is great for getting lots of different flavors. This worked great for this semester, we were able to get lots of wings and still stay under budget. In terms of set up, we had 3 high top tables set aside for us, but due to people's arrival times, we mostly ended up moving some chairs to fit at 2 so that there wasn't one table with very few people. This actually ended up working a little better with ordering and food distribution, but it was also a little crowded – not too huge of a problem overall though.

2. Items Needed: None

3. Total Cost: \$400

VII. Problems Encountered: There weren't many waiters at the time we showed up, so it took a while to be able to place the first order and food didn't come for 10-15 minutes after most people arrived. After the first round of food, most of the ordering went pretty smoothly.

VIII. Recommendations: Definitely call ahead to make them aware of a large party. BWW doesn't take reservations, but the second time I called, the person I talked to said they would make a note to be sure they were prepared for us. I would also suggest choosing a time a little before dinner so that it's a little less busy.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. **Comments:** Overall I would say it was a successful event! Not too much planning or other arrangements needed but always highly anticipated and greatly enjoyed by everyone who's able to make it.
2. **Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst):** 2
3. **Best Part:** Trying lots of different sauces – plus an executive team (mini) blazin wings challenge :)
4. **Opportunity to improve:** Not much to change that's in our control (i.e. staffing). It could be a good idea to include a poll in the sign up for ordering preferences to get a better idea of what kind of wings/how many of each to include in the initial order.
5. **Do you recommend continuing?:** Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 12: Members enjoy a break from classes. This time, we filled up two tables!

Valentine's Day Party

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 02/10/23 (Planning started: 01/12/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 17 Electees: 2

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Karen Jin (karenjin)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Daphne Agapiou	(dagapiou)	Active	1.50
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Active	1.50
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	1.50
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.50
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Active	1.50
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	1.50
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	1.50
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.50
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	1.50
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	1.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	1.50
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.50
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	1.50
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.50
Molly Rodgers	(merodger)	Electee	1.50
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.50
Frank Wang	(frnkwang)	Active	1.50

II. General Description: We celebrated Valentine's Day with a paint night party! All supplies were provided along with pizza and snacks :)

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Celebrate Valentine's Day with friends and provide an opportunity for Tau Bates to showcase their creative side.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Karen Jin
Title: Activities Officer
Email: karenjin@umich.edu
Phone#: 978-727-6695

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 5 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: TBP historically hosted an annual Valentine's Party with the Society of Women Engineers (SWE), but this has dropped off in recent years due to COVID. Although this event was not in collaboration with them this time around, one of the goals was to get it back up and going again and to set a precedent for future terms.
2. Items Needed: Activity: paint (got a big pack of small bottles), paint brushes, 6"x6" canvases, plates (for pouring and mixing paint) Decorations: streamers, hanging heart strings, heart garlands, paper fans Food: pizza, mini cupcakes, cookies, candy
3. Total Cost: \$260

VII. Problems Encountered: The room we reserved for the event was only available half an hour before the start time, and since we had a lot of decorations we wanted to put up, we ended up still finishing up decorating by the time people arrived. This wasn't too much of an issue though, we wrapped up quickly and were able to start roughly on time.

VIII. Recommendations: If this event (or something similar) is run in the future, there are lots of leftover painting supplies and decorations that can be used. There were also a lot of paintbrushes in the Bullpen, so I would be sure to take inventory before purchasing anything new.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Originally, the activity planned for the party was for people to be able to stuff their own teddy bears, but unfortunately, all the bears were either very overpriced or only sold in packs of hundreds. Instead, it was converted to a paint night, which ended up being super fun in its own right. We also provided pizza from Cottage Inn and had cookies, cupcakes, and candy.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: There were some great artists who made beautiful paintings, and there are now physical versions of some of our favorite Slack emotes.
4. Opportunity to improve: This event could be scaled up pretty easily and turned into an inter-org event. In the future, it could be turned back into the traditional collaboration with SWE.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

TBPals

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 04/04/23 (Planning started: 01/12/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 6 Electees: 12

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	1.00
Nathan Biggs	(biggsn)	Electee	1.00
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Electee	1.00
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	1.00
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	1.00
Nikki France	(nfrance)	Active	1.00
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	1.00
Peijing Li	(pejli)	Active	1.00
Amy Liu	(amyliu)	Electee	1.00
Meghna Mahesh	(meghnam)	Electee	1.00
Joshua Ning	(joshning)	Electee	1.00
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	1.00
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Active	1.00
Molly Rodgers	(merodger)	Electee	1.00
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	1.00
Nick Simon	(npsimon)	Electee	1.00
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	1.00

II. General Description: TBPals was a program where we pair electees with actives in groups to enjoy free food and friendship. These groups met twice throughout the semester, with different groups each time. This program encouraged new members to remain active in the chapter after electing.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: TBPals is the mentorship program that's required for electing. Electees who complete it also receive a social credit

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information
Name: Denise Schlautman
Title: Vice President
Email: dschlau@umich.edu
Phone#: 864-784-7151

2. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 0 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Membership officer organized the groups and sent out emails. This event is to give hours to electees
2. Items Needed: None
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: some participation was low for electees

VIII. Recommendations: good to start early, maybe have a couple of rounds. maybe should consider having a new mentorship program idk

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: good.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: free food
4. Opportunity to improve: might consider having a different mentorship program in the future, that could be difficult to plan unfortunately.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 13: A group of Tau Bates getting to know each other through the TBPals program.

Office Hours

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 01/20/23, 01/27/23, 02/03/23, 02/10/23, 02/17/23, 02/24/23, 03/03/23, 03/10/23, 03/17/23, 03/24/23, 03/31/23, 04/07/23, 04/14/23 (Planning started: 01/12/23)
2. Project was new?: No
3. Number of participants:
Active Members: 5 Electees: 0
4. Names of participants:
Project Leader(s) (unique name)
Daniel Falvo (dfalvo)
Sirawit Shimpalee (sirawits)
Hunter Muench (hmuench)
Alex de la Iglesia (alexdel)
Advika Jhingran (advikaj)

Name (unique name)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
--------------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: To better serve the Michigan Gamma chapter and provide transparency to the members and electees, the officer corps hosted weekly office hours. The office hours consisted of two hours per officer team, during business hours of 9am to 5pm, that do not overlap which each other. Thus, the weekly total of office hours are about 6 hours.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Officer office hours provides a chance for all members, especially Electees, to connect more with the officer corps and learn more about the chapter. As well, it provides an opportunity for officers to work on TBP related projects. The office hours consisted of two hours per officer team, during business hours of 9am to 5pm, that do not overlap which each other. thus, the weekly total of office hours are about 6 hours.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 0 Participating: 26.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Office hours typically went smoothly. Occasionally an officer would have to miss their office hour, but we were either able to schedule different hours in advance, or have another officer cover their officer hours.
2. Items Needed: none

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Few electees attended office hours. Some officers felt discouraged against holding regular office hours due to low turnout

VIII. Recommendations: Continue to keep posting the link to the live office hour calendar and continue to include the office hours in the electees' packets.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: The electees that did utilize office hours were helped effectively and many returned to future office hours during their free time. Overall, it was largely beneficial to hold regular office hours.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Helping electees and membership candidates navigate the electing process!
4. Opportunity to improve: Ensure people know what use they can get out of office hours. If there is not sufficient use people can get out of these, reduce the amount of time they take up.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

TBPhotocompetition

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 04/11/23 (Planning started: 01/16/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 15 Electees: 4

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniquename)

Hunter Muench (hmuench)

Name	(uniquename)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	0.25
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	1.50
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	0.25
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Active	0.25
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	0.75
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Electee	0.25
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	9.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	0.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	1.50
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.50
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	3.50
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	0.75
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.50
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	0.25
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	0.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	0.75
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	0.25
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.25
Zikun (ZEE-KWhen) Wang	(zikunw)	Active	0.25

II. General Description: Members were encouraged to take photos of fellow Tau Betes throughout the semester and submit them to the publicity officer. The best photos were then presented at the end of the semester banquet.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: The photo competition facilitates the documentation of TBP events through photos by encouraging members to take them and send them to us with incentives. Documentation is vital for TBP to preserve information for the future and share it with others, both within the chapter and beyond. This also helps increase chapter engagement among the members.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Hunter Muench
Title: Publicity Officer
Email: hmuench@umich.edu
Phone#: 757-813-5315

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: TBPhotocompetition went very well this semester. From the combined efforts and a lot of officer, we got 210 submissions! This is likely the largest number of submissions in the history of the event,

2. Items Needed: Device to access the internet

3. Total Cost: \$149

VII. Problems Encountered: There were some active and electee submissions, but it was dominated by the officers.

VIII. Recommendations: Push actives and electees to submit more by advertising the prizes more.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: 210 submissions is a surprisingly large number of submissions. We also had many qualities submissions.

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3

3. Best Part: Looking through all the submissions and picking the best ones

4. Opportunity to improve: Advertise as soon as possible and have fun with the prizes

5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Grad Electee Interviews (Electees) W23

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 02/01/23, 02/02/23, 02/03/23 (Planning started: 01/18/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 3 Electees: 8

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)

Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Joao Casares	(casares)	Electee	0.50
Girish Chandar Ganesan	(girishg)	Active	0.50
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	0.50
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Electee	0.50
Chun-Wei Kong	(chunwei)	Electee	0.50
Ruben Orsolle	(rorsolle)	Electee	0.50
Chris Tapia	(tapiac)	Electee	0.50
Fulei Wuchu	(fwuchu)	Electee	0.50
Frank Zlomek	(zlomekf)	Electee	0.50

II. General Description: Character Interviews were used to determine whether or not electees demonstrate exemplary character. Interviews consisted of one half hour interview consisting of an ethics case study and discussion of the electees' character essays.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Interviews for graduate student candidates. The goal is to judge the electees' exemplary character.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 3.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Went well. Two candidates didn't sign up to be interviewed. All interested candidates were recommended favorably by the interviewers. We had a special situation with one candidate, but no problems

2. Items Needed: None

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: One electee signed up for an interview slot which initially had one interviewer assigned. But the interviewer had to drop the time slot. We were unaware of this, so someone else had to take over at the time of interview. But because this was last moment, we couldn't assign the replacement in the system, leaving the comments uncaptured.

VIII. Recommendations: We can notify candidates when both interviewers unsign so they can choose a different time slot. There must be an option on the website to add comments from unassigned interviewers.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: All candidates passed the interviews. 2 electees chose not to sign up and will not be electing this semester.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Everything went well for those who were interviewed by their original interviewers. Interviewers included past GVPs and GSACs
4. Opportunity to improve: We can notify candidates when both interviewers unsign so they can choose a different time slot. There must be an option on the website to add comments from unassigned interviewers. A basket of muffins/ fruits in the interview room and maybe coffee would help.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Boba Social (W23)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 01/26/23, 03/09/23, 04/07/23 (Planning started: 01/19/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 26 Electees: 6

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Chirag Bangera (cbangera)

Karen Jin (karenjin)

Name	(unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Active	1.00
Chirag Bangera	(cbangera)	Active	2.00
Nathan Biggs	(biggsn)	Electee	1.00
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Active	1.00
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Electee	2.00
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	1.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Active	1.00
Girish Chandar Ganesan	(girishg)	Active	1.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	2.00
Niloy Gupta	(niloy)	Active	2.00
Xunbi Ji	(xunbij)	Active	1.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	3.00
Chun-Wei Kong	(chunwei)	Electee	1.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	1.00
Peijing Li	(peijli)	Active	1.00
Jason Liang	(jasonlia)	Electee	1.00
Amy Liu	(amyliu)	Electee	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	3.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	2.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	2.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.00
Emma Nigrelli	(enigrell)	Active	1.00
Ruben Orsolle	(rorsolle)	Electee	1.00
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	1.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	1.00
Jason Qian	(jaqian)	Active	1.00
Amy Ruan	(awruan)	Active	3.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.00
Anjali Sivakumar	(anjalids)	Active	1.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	1.00
Zikun (ZEE-KWhen) Wang	(zikunw)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: We hosted a social at Ding Tea for some free boba! Boba socials are a fun, casual way to meet people in the chapter, including officers, actives, and electees.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Provide a casual environment for actives and electees to get to know each other.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Karen Jin
 Title: Activities Officer
 Email: karenjin@umich.edu
 Phone#: 978-727-6695

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 0 Participating: 1.0 (Total Duration for 3 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This event is super low effort to organize and run, but still provides a fun, relaxed environment to get to know others within the chapter.

2. Items Needed: none

3. Total Cost: \$105

VII. Problems Encountered: Ordering was a little disorganized and took a while because there were so many people. Also, everybody showed up at different times but we wanted to get as many people as we could onto one order (since it's generally more convenient that way) so it was a bit of a wait at the beginning.

VIII. Recommendations: Make sure to pick a place that is big enough inside to accommodate us comfortably, especially when it isn't warm enough to hang out outside.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Event succeeded at what it aimed to accomplish, and the size of the social (15-20 people) was good in that people could chat in smaller, separate groups, but there were also few enough people that I was able to talk with most people there.

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2

3. Best Part: Free boba!

4. Opportunity to improve: Try to organize a more streamlined way of ordering: maybe have everyone give their orders to the event leader, so it's just one person ordering. This would help with some of the disorganization of figuring out who has ordered and who hasn't, as well as reduce the time it takes us to get through ordering.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Second General, Winter 2023

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 01/31/23, 02/01/23 (Planning started: 01/20/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 39 Electees: 26

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)
 Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
 Sirawit Shimpalee (sirawits)
 Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	1.50
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Active	1.50
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	1.50
Nathan Biggs	(biggsn)	Electee	1.50
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.50
Joao Casares	(casares)	Electee	1.50
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Electee	1.50
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	1.50
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	1.50
John Ciurla	(jciurla)	Electee	1.50
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	1.50
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.50
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Active	1.50
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	1.50
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	1.50
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.50
Girish Chandar Ganesan	(girishg)	Active	1.50
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.50
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	1.50
Kate Giammalvo	(kgiamm)	Active	1.50
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	1.50
Jack Gremel	(jagremel)	Electee	1.50
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	1.50
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Electee	1.50
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	3.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	1.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	1.50
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	1.50

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Chun-Wei Kong	(chunwei)	Electee	1.50
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	1.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	1.50
Peijing Li	(peijli)	Active	1.50
Jason Liang	(jasonlia)	Electee	1.50
Amy Liu	(amyzliu)	Electee	1.50
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	1.50
Meghna Mahesh	(meghnam)	Electee	1.50
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumd)	Active	1.50
Steven Mamolo	(smamolo)	Active	1.50
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	1.50
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.50
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	1.50
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.50
Joshua Ning	(joshning)	Electee	1.50
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	1.50
Ruben Orsolle	(rorsolle)	Electee	1.50
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	1.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.50
Jack Phillips	(jackjhp)	Electee	1.50
Molly Rodgers	(merodger)	Electee	1.50
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	1.50
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.50
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	1.50
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.50
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	1.50
Nick Simon	(npsimon)	Electee	1.50
Ben Spector	(spectorb)	Active	1.50
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	1.50
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	1.50
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	1.50
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Active	1.50
Chris Tapia	(tapiac)	Electee	1.50
Patrick White	(patwh)	Electee	1.50
Fulei Wuchu	(fwuchu)	Electee	1.50
Frank Zlomek	(zlomekf)	Electee	1.50

II. General Description: This meeting was mandatory for all prospective Tau Beta Pi members. The meeting opened with dinner and announcements. The electees were then introduced to their electee teams and participated in an activity to determine their team name. Dinner was provided.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Meeting for electees to hear about recent chapter announcements, see the officer core in a more virtual setting, and meet their electee teams, which are more important for electees further electing into the chapter.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 10 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The game we played at the end of the event was Gartic Phone, which is a classic game that helps the electees in the team meet each other, have some fun, and come up with a name for the team. Food came late, so the event started late, but we surprisingly still ended on time.
2. Items Needed: Food, phones/computers for the game
3. Total Cost: \$960

VII. Problems Encountered: Some confusion on how to best share electee team names, we settled on screen sharing on the zoom, which worked out well. Would recommend to have a plan for this before Second Gen starts.

VIII. Recommendations: I recommend to keep this event. Maybe try to make the name sharing portion of the event more fun, but it wasn't too much of an issue. I also recommend the VP to go around during the game and try to learn the electees names.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall a very successful event. Everyone seemed to have fun. The electee teams were able to meet and set up group chats for further communication.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Seeing all of the electees having fun!
4. Opportunity to improve: Maybe try to make the name sharing portion of the event more fun.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Graduate School Panel

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 02/13/23 (Planning started: 01/23/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 21 Electees: 7

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Ansh Patel (anshp)

Advika Jhingran (adwikaj)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Nick Carr	(nickcarr)	Active	1.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	1.00
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	1.00
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	1.00
Nikki France	(nfrance)	Active	1.00
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	1.00
Niloy Gupta	(niloy)	Active	1.00
Advika Jhingran	(adwikaj)	Active	1.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	1.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	1.00
Peijing Li	(pejili)	Active	1.00
Steven Mamolo	(smamolo)	Active	1.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.00
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Active	1.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.00
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	1.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	1.00
Jack Phillips	(jackjhp)	Electee	1.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.00
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	1.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.00
Nick Simon	(npsimon)	Electee	1.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	1.00
Zach Whalen	(whalenz)	Active	1.00
Fulei Wuchu	(fwuchu)	Electee	1.00
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: We had members hear from other TBP members in varying stages of graduate school about their experiences. Food was provided.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: To inform our undergraduate members (electees and actives alike) of different paths they have available to them in regards to graduate school.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The event was a very popular one, we have 7 panelists who spoke on their various program affiliations and took questions from the audience.

2. Items Needed: Food - Cottage Inn Pizza

3. Total Cost: \$80

VII. Problems Encountered: None - this event ran very smoothly.

VIII. Recommendations: This is an event for which you can opt for almost any food option. It's easy to have everyone grab food beforehand and the sit down to get the panel started. We did pizza this time but given the nature of this event, more substantial meals could be provided (budget permitting). As far as the event itself, see "opportunity to improve" for more recommendations.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Excellent event, this event was highly requested early in the semester and attendees were engaged so overall, this event was a great success. Definitely recommend running it in future semesters.

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1

3. Best Part: Lots of questions from the audience, everyone who attended was very engaged. I think one contributing factor was that the room we had was more conducive to conversation as opposed to some other lecture rooms that are structured differently.

4. Opportunity to improve: Try to get people to send in questions beforehand so we have something to start with that the panelists can prepare for. Also if we are able, it would be good for someone (maybe PD officer or chair) moderate and guide the conversation.

5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Winter 2023 Officer Social

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 02/17/23, 02/18/23 (Planning started: 01/29/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 12 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Riley Garliauskas (garliari)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	2.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	2.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	2.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	2.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	2.00

II. **General Description:** A semesterly bonding event to encourage teamwork between the officers of the chapter. It also acted as a reward for the good work that the officer corps accomplishes each semester.

III. **Target Audience:** Chapter

IV. **Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G:** Provided an opportunity for officers to bond and get to know each other in a more relaxed setting. Dinner was provided to the group afterwards.

V. **Organization and Administration**

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. **Cost and Personnel Requirements**

1. General Comments: Good event in principle, but a different location would be advisable in the future.

2. Items Needed: None
3. Total Cost: \$302

VII. **Problems Encountered:** Interactions between officers who hadn't typically been social with each other were limited by the arcade setting, since games were done in groups of no more than 4 typically. As a result, many (but not all) officers stayed with those who they were most comfortable with.

VIII. **Recommendations:** Continue to mention this far in advance in the future. We were able to get good attendance because it was brought up a few officer meetings prior to the event and people had time to plan for it, and we moved the date to one that would be ideal for the schedule of as many as possible.

IX. **Overall Evaluation:**

1. Comments: An overall satisfactory event that shouldn't be overshadowed by the social tendencies of some officers, but there is still room to improve in the future being mindful of this.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Dinner at No Thai afterwards went well and was close. Eating in East Hall worked fine for a Friday night and gave everyone a chance to talk.
4. Opportunity to improve: A better choice of venue is the only necessary change.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Mock Interviews

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 03/20/23, 03/21/23 (Planning started: 02/02/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 4 Electees: 2

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Ansh Patel (anshp)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	0.50
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	0.50
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Electee	0.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	0.50
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	0.50
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Active	0.50

II. General Description: Members were able to practice their interview skills and get valuable feedback. Interviews were 30 minutes and held virtually. 2 interviews were running simultaneously in separate calls.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Partnered with GEICO to provide the opportunity for Tau Bates to practice their interview skills and get feedback.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Michelle Berg

Title: HR/Recruiter

Email: mberg@geico.com

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 4.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Overall great event, those who participated expressed that it was helpful.

2. Items Needed: N/A

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Lack of sign-ups. Only 6 of 16 slots filled.

VIII. Recommendations: Gauge when a good time for such an event would be far in advance. Advertise early and offer additional incentives for participating. Also got feedback from some that they would rather do mock interviews with peers rather than corporate sponsors.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Good overall, need increased participation.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Participants expressed that feedback from GEICO reps was very helpful.
4. Opportunity to improve: Advertise early, get slots filled and people involved.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Grad Electee Interviews (Actives) W23

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 02/01/23, 02/02/23, 02/03/23 (Planning started: 02/02/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 11 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)

Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Active	0.50
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	0.50
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	1.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	1.00
Kate Giammalvo	(kgiamm)	Active	2.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	0.50
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumd)	Active	1.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	2.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	0.50
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	0.50

II. **General Description:** Character Interviews were used to determine whether or not electees demonstrate exemplary character. Interviews consisted of one half hour interview consisting of an ethics case study and discussion of the electees' character essays.

III. **Target Audience:** Chapter

IV. **Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G:** Interview process to evaluate the character of the candidate.

V. **Organization and Administration**

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 3.5 (Event Duration)

VI. **Cost and Personnel Requirements**

1. General Comments: It was a half an hour interview for each grad electee. The interview slots were separately scheduled for each candidate during the regular interview dates. Interview was taken in person in Duderstadt rooms 1120 A and D.

2. Items Needed: Interviewer sheet with questions and character evaluation case study. Candidate questionnaire responses. The interviewers were asked to dress in business casual.
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: None

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: The candidates showed no concerns regarding their character and were all recommended by the interviewer(s).
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Interaction with the candidates.
4. Opportunity to improve: None
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

TByogaParty

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 02/23/23 (Planning started: 02/05/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 6 Electees: 2

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Karen Jin (karenjin)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	1.00
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	1.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	1.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.00
Amy Ruan	(awruan)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: Members were able to relax and destress before break with a yoga class taught by Rebekah from Red Yoga!

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Relaxing before spring break with a yoga class after a long week of exams.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Karen Jin

Title: Activities Officer

Email: karenjin@umich.edu

Phone#: 978-727-6695

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: A social event where an instructor from Red Yoga came in to teach a yoga class.

2. Items Needed: yoga mats, instructor, open room
3. Total Cost: \$200

VII. **Problems Encountered:** Out of the 10 available spots, only 8 ended up being filled. Some people unsigned up on the day of the event, which limited the opportunity for others to take their place. In the future, consider blocking unsigned up on the website within a certain time frame.

VIII. **Recommendations:** Make sure people wear something comfortable that they can move in, and know that it will be a workout!

IX. **Overall Evaluation:**

1. Comments: Overall, super fun! It was a new experience for most of the people who attended. It was definitely more of a workout than we were expecting, but it was a good way to destress and get some exercise in regardless. The staff at Red Yoga were super accommodating and easy to work with and were able to bring yoga mats for everyone who didn't have their own.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Feeling accomplished after making it through all of the difficult parts and finally being able to rest at the end.
4. Opportunity to improve: This was originally planned to be a yoga class in their studio – Red Yoga is known for their infrared heated studios, so it would be a pretty unique experience. Unfortunately, they didn't have any open space so we ended up reserving a large open room in Pierpont, which worked decently. In the future, consider booking farther in advance or choosing a different time (that might have to be less convenient).
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Grad Social - Bar Crawl

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 03/10/23, 03/11/23 (Planning started: 02/05/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 6 Electees: 4

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Yash Cherivirala (yaswanth)

Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Joao Casares	(casares)	Electee	3.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	3.00
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	3.00
Chun-Wei Kong	(chunwei)	Electee	3.00
Anjali Mittal	(mittalan)	Active	3.00
Ruben Orsolle	(rorsolle)	Electee	3.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	3.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	3.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	3.00
Frank Zlomek	(zlomekf)	Electee	3.00

II. General Description: This event was an opportunity for Grad Electees and Actives to hang out at different bars and go dancing.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Chapter development. The event helped grad students meet in-person and socialize.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Yaswanth Kumar Cherivirala

Title: Grad Student Activities Chair

Email: yaswanth@umich.edu

Phone#: 832-480-3011

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Started out at Regents field, had appetizers/drinks and played some arcade games. Then we bar hopped to Pretzel Bell (Food/Drinks), 8 Ball (Drinks/Games), Circ (Karaoke/Drinks/Dancing) and Live (Drinks/Dancing).
2. Items Needed: Make a reservation and order food/appetizers at the first bar.
3. Total Cost: \$187

VII. **Problems Encountered:** Didn't really have any problems this time. We posted the event very early on in the semester and most of the people that signed up for the event showed up.

VIII. **Recommendations:** Fridays where we do not have home games that weekend are the best days to bar hop. Also, make sure to pick a Friday farthest from the exams week.

IX. **Overall Evaluation:**

1. Comments: The event was really good. All the attendees had a blast and we ended up staying out till 12:15AM.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Socializing in-person and in bars was super fun. We have done a lot of fun things - games/drinks/food/dancing. Maybe next time some one might sing at the karaoke.
4. Opportunity to improve: Plan this event for late March for Winter semesters if possible so weather is bit nicer. But it might be hard to get a reservation because of college football (March madness is real!).
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Third General, Winter 2023

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 02/21/23, 02/22/23 (Planning started: 02/05/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 30 Electees: 20

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)
 Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
 Sirawit Shimpalee (sirawits)
 Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Active	1.50
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	1.50
Nathan Biggs	(biggsn)	Electee	1.50
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.50
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Electee	1.50
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	3.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.50
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Active	1.50
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	1.50
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.50
Girish Chandar Ganesan	(girishg)	Active	1.50
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.50
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	1.50
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	1.50
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	1.50
Jack Gremel	(jagremel)	Electee	1.50
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	1.50
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Electee	1.50
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	1.50
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	1.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	3.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	1.50
Chun-Wei Kong	(chunwei)	Electee	1.50
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	1.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	1.50
Jason Liang	(jasonlia)	Electee	1.50
Amy Liu	(amyzliu)	Electee	1.50
Meghna Mahesh	(meghnam)	Electee	1.50

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.50
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	1.50
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.50
Joshua Ning	(joshning)	Electee	1.50
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	1.50
Ruben Orsolle	(rorsolle)	Electee	1.50
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	1.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.50
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	1.50
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.50
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	1.50
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.50
Nick Simon	(npsimon)	Electee	1.50
Anjali Sivakumar	(anjalids)	Active	1.50
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	1.50
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	1.50
Chris Tapia	(tapiac)	Electee	1.50
Fulei Wuchu	(fwuchu)	Electee	1.50
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	1.50
Frank Zlomek	(zlomekf)	Electee	1.50

II. General Description: This meeting was mandatory for all prospective Tau Beta Pi members. The meeting opened with dinner and announcements. The electees were then introduced to their electee teams and participated in a TBP-themed Jeopardy! game. Dinner was provided.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Electees are required to attend 5 general meetings, with Third General being one of them. It provided electees with information and the opportunity to get to know TBP. The electee game was Jeopardy.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Denise Schlautman
 Title: Vice President
 Email: dschlau@umich.edu

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 12 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Overall it was a successful meeting. It provided electees with information and the opportunity to get to know TBP. The electee game was Jeopardy. Food was Jamaican Jerk Pit.

2. Items Needed: jeopardylabs.com (there is now an account linked to VP email)
3. Total Cost: \$734

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Get started on Jeopardy set up early! Virtual attendants were put in breakout rooms for electee teams to interact with each other (if general meetings continue in a hybrid format)

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Good meeting overall. Everyone who attended seemed active and willing to play, seemed to have fun.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: TheBabyPhotos section of jeopardy
4. Opportunity to improve: not much, maybe push attendance more? there were a couple of electees who were absent that I thought would've attended.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Ice Skating

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 02/12/23 (Planning started: 02/05/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 4 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Matt Kukucka (mkukucka)
Karen Jin (karenjin)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Umair Ahmed (aumair)	Active	1.00
Matt Kukucka (mkukucka)	Active	1.00
Jacob Miller (millerjv)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: Members were invited to skate with their fellow Tau Bates at Yost Ice Arena! This free social event gave members the opportunity to have fun and chat together.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Chapter bonding and socializing.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: There was little turnout but I think that was because of timing (on a Sunday afternoon, also was Superbowl Sunday) and lack of publicity (not mentioned in emails until less than a week before the event). Overall, it was a fun event and everyone had a great time.

2. Items Needed: none

3. Total Cost: \$18

VII. Problems Encountered: Lack of turnout was the main issue, only 3 people ended up attending. Moreover, it was difficult to find people who didn't get there early.

VIII. Recommendations: Publicize the event well in advance, set the meeting time around 15 minutes before the event start due to the line.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Successful events in terms of enjoyment, but was lacking attendance
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Learning how to skate together and bonding/talking on the ice
4. Opportunity to improve: Try to publicize the event more well in advance
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Grad Electee Team meeting 1 - WN 2023

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 01/23/23 (Planning started: 02/08/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name (unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
----------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: This event was an opportunity for Grad Electees to meet each other and learn more about the electing process. They were also taught how to navigate through the website for important event sign-ups, track their progress of electing, and access other important documents and information.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Electing Process and team building for graduate students

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Ishaan Shetye

Email: ishetye@umich.edu

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: 9 attendees total. 6 in person, 3 virtual. Got food from Condado Tacos. We went over TBP history, the chapter, our org structure, the electing process, grad requirements, the website and how to create profiles (most electees had already done this) and finally sought idea for events.

2. Items Needed: Food (24 Tacos), silverware Personal laptop for presentation

3. Total Cost: \$198

VII. Problems Encountered: The food was only \$150 with tax. We got charged \$30 for delivery. I had asked on the Slack that morning for volunteers to pick up the food but no one responded.

VIII. Recommendations: Covered in the last section.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Not sure how to evaluate this.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: We had some very good ideas for events come from grad electees. Ice skating social, a PD panel from academia and industry and a laser tag/ bowling social were top 3
4. Opportunity to improve: The former grad VP can accompany the incoming grad VP to this first meet. It would help smoothen out the procedure. Food pickup may be arranged earlier. I did a very standard icebreaker but a more fun one can be substituted instead
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

TBPals

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 04/10/23 (Planning started: 02/08/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 19 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Sirawit Shimpalee (sirawits)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	0.12
Jon Chen	(asclkose)	Active	0.12
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	0.12
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	0.12
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Active	0.12
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	0.12
Aidan Goetttsch	(agoettsc)	Active	0.12
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Active	0.12
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	0.12
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	0.12
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	0.12
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	0.12
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	0.12
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	0.12
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	0.12
Abby Overbeck	(aoverbec)	Active	0.12
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	0.12
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	0.12

II. General Description: TBPals was a program where we pair electees with actives in groups to enjoy free food and friendship. These groups met twice throughout the semester, with different groups each time. This program encouraged new members to remain active in the chapter after electing.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Mentor-Mentee program designed to help electees get to personally know active members and learn more about the organization.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 12 Participating: 0.1 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Only undergrads participated this semester. Budget was also reduced as well as required meeting number.
2. Items Needed: Varies depending on group
3. Total Cost: \$900

VII. **Problems Encountered:** Budget was a little too low for this semester. There was also difficulty in making sure people actually met up with their groups.

VIII. **Recommendations:** Increase budget and increase reminders.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Event fulfilled its purpose despite the downgrades from previous semesters.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Food
4. Opportunity to improve: Revert back to system utilized in previous semesters.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 14: A group of Tau Bates getting to know each other through the TBPals program.

Exam Week Study Halls

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 02/20/23 (Planning started: 02/10/23)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 15 Electees: 2

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Ansh Patel (anshp)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	2.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Active	2.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	4.00
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	2.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	4.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	4.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	4.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	3.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	4.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	3.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	4.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	2.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	2.00
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	2.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: Members were able to study for their midterm exams in the company of fellow Tau Bates and some study snacks.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Opportunity for Tau Bates to get together and study (in groups if there is class overlap) during exam week.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 4.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Went well overall. Better location would be good, something more conducive to studying and groupwork.
2. Items Needed: Food - snacks
3. Total Cost: \$125

VII. Problems Encountered: The space (bullpen) was a little bit constricting. It also led to distractions as the bullpen is a common area and not a dedicated room for quiet.

VIII. Recommendations: Reserve one of the flex rooms such as DOW 1014 so that people can have space to spread out and study. This will mitigate distractions and unnecessary conversations.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Good overall, some more thorough planning and thought behind how to keep it from turning into a social hour would be good.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Most people got a lot of work done and studied for the most part. The food was also quite enjoyable. We had muffins, coffee, and some small snacks.
4. Opportunity to improve: Definitely would work better with a new location, and should enforce the quiet studying more.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Professional Headshots

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/24/23 (Planning started: 02/10/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 13 Electees: 3

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)
Ansh Patel (anshp)
Karen Jin (karenjin)

Name	(unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	0.08
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Active	0.08
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	0.08
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Active	0.08
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	0.08
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	0.08
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	0.08
Chun-Wei Kong	(chunwei)	Electee	0.08
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	0.08
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	0.08
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	0.08
Amy Ruan	(awruan)	Active	0.08
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	0.08
Zikun (ZEE-KWhen) Wang	(zikunw)	Active	0.08
John Yu	(johnzryu)	Active	0.08
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	0.08

II. General Description: Professional headshot photos sponsored by TBP. Each attendee received three lightly edited headshots to use however they wish.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Provide Tau Bates with professional quality headshots for use on LinkedIn and other digital platforms.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Popular event, we switched it up from the usual location and took these in the law quad this time around. It was also half the price of using Mark Bogarin's services as we have done in the past.
2. Items Needed: N/A
3. Total Cost: \$250

VII. Problems Encountered: Some of the pictures only took a couple minutes so there was a lot of waiting around.

VIII. Recommendations: Have group arrival times so that multiple people arrive at once and have their pictures taken one at a time so that not every person is assigned to a specific slot.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Look for cheap options similar to this - this was half the cost for pictures that our members were happier with than normal.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: The pictures turned out great and we saved quite a bit on this event than normal.
4. Opportunity to improve: Better system than signing up for slots. Makes for a lot of waiting around. Have everyone show up together.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Fourth General, Winter 2023

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 03/14/23, 03/15/23 (Planning started: 02/14/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 40 Electees: 21

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)
 Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
 Sirawit Shimpalee (sirawits)
 Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	1.50
Nathan Biggs	(biggsn)	Electee	1.50
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.50
Joao Casares	(casares)	Electee	1.50
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Electee	1.50
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	1.50
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	1.50
Toland Corum	(corumto)	Active	1.50
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.50
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Active	1.50
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	1.50
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Active	1.50
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	1.50
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.50
Girish Chandar Ganesan	(girishg)	Active	1.50
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.50
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	1.50
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	1.50
Jack Gremel	(jagremel)	Electee	1.50
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	1.50
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Electee	1.50
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Active	1.50
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	1.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	1.50
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	1.50
Chun-Wei Kong	(chunwei)	Electee	1.50
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	1.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	1.50

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Peijing Li	(peijli)	Active	1.50
Amy Liu	(amyzliu)	Electee	1.50
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	1.50
Meghna Mahesh	(meghnam)	Electee	1.50
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumd)	Active	1.50
Steven Mamolo	(smamolo)	Active	1.50
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	1.50
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.50
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	1.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.50
Emma Nigrelli	(enigrell)	Active	1.50
Joshua Ning	(joshning)	Electee	1.50
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	1.50
Ruben Orsolle	(rorsolle)	Electee	1.50
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	1.50
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	1.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.50
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	1.50
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	1.50
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	1.50
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.50
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	1.50
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.50
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	1.50
Nick Simon	(npsimon)	Electee	1.50
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	1.50
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	1.50
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	1.50
Chris Tapia	(tapiac)	Electee	1.50
Fulei Wuchu	(fwuchu)	Electee	1.50
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	1.50
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Active	1.50
Frank Zlomek	(zlomekf)	Electee	1.50

II. General Description: This meeting was mandatory for all prospective Tau Beta Pi members. The meeting opened with dinner and announcements. The electees teams presented the powerpoint that they had prepared. Dinner was provided.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: one of the 5 required meetings for electee attendance. These meetings allowed electees to interact in their teams, have some friendly competition, and learn more about the chapter.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Denise Schlautman
Title: Vice President
Email: dschlau@umich.edu

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 5 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Fourth General was powerpoint presentation party. Electee teams got together before the meeting to make powerpoints to present during the meeting
2. Items Needed: powerpoint
3. Total Cost: \$953

VII. Problems Encountered: letting the grad team know they needed to make a presentation

VIII. Recommendations: let the teams know that they should have fun with their presentations! the wackier the better. The officers made a very quick presentation for the meeting while the scores were being decided (which seemed like a good idea)

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: very successful. all of the presentations were entertaining and there were enough members present to present their powerpoints.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: seeing all of the different powerpoints!
4. Opportunity to improve: letting team leads know earlier to prepare for the meeting. maybe consider having 4th gen farther out from spring break so teams have enough time to prepare their presentations (some seemed very rushed)
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Fifth General, Winter 23

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 04/04/23, 04/05/23 (Planning started: 02/14/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 38 Electees: 19

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)
 Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
 Sirawit Shimpalee (sirawits)
 Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Active	1.50
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzif)	Active	1.50
Nathan Biggs	(biggsn)	Electee	3.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.50
Joao Casares	(casares)	Electee	1.50
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	1.50
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	1.50
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.50
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Active	1.50
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	1.50
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Active	1.50
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	1.50
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.50
Girish Chandar Ganesan	(girishg)	Active	1.50
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.50
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	1.50
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	1.50
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	1.50
Jack Gremel	(jagremel)	Electee	1.50
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	1.50
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Electee	1.50
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	1.50
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	1.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	1.50
Chun-Wei Kong	(chunwei)	Electee	1.50
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	1.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	1.50
Peijing Li	(peijli)	Active	3.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Amy Liu	(amyzliu)	Electee	1.50
Max Liu	(yinghal)	Active	1.50
Meghna Mahesh	(meghnam)	Electee	1.50
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	1.50
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.50
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	1.50
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.50
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Active	1.50
Emma Nigrelli	(enigrell)	Active	1.50
Joshua Ning	(joshning)	Electee	1.50
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	1.50
Ruben Orsolle	(rorsolle)	Electee	1.50
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	1.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.50
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	1.50
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.50
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	1.50
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.50
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	1.50
Nick Simon	(npsimon)	Electee	1.50
Anjali Sivakumar	(anjalids)	Active	1.50
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	1.50
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	1.50
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	1.50
Chris Tapia	(tapiac)	Electee	1.50
Fulei Wuchu	(fwuchu)	Electee	1.50
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Active	1.50
Frank Zlomek	(zlomekf)	Electee	1.50

II. General Description: This meeting was mandatory for all prospective Tau Beta Pi members. The meeting opened with dinner and announcements. The electee teams then played a game of Family Feud. Dinner was provided.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Final meeting for electees to play a game and receive updates about the chapter before initiation.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Denise Schlautman
 Title: Vice President
 Email: dschlau@umich.edu
 Phone#: 864-784-7151

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 24 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Overall a good meeting. The electee game was family feud and electee teams were pretty engaged.
2. Items Needed: Powerpoint.
3. Total Cost: \$456

VII. Problems Encountered: The powerpoint template that I got from the internet was a little buggy, so I was really stressed right before the game making sure everything looked good. we also didn't have a buzzer system, so we did a "high five" buzzer system

VIII. Recommendations: start preparation for family feud EARLY!! I started like a month early and was still rushed at the end (but I procrastinated making the actual game). I also gave service credit incentives to actives for filling out the form. also recommend a trial run if you do this.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall quite successful for being a game that isn't usually done at gen meetings.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Watching people laugh at the answers and questions.
4. Opportunity to improve: start earlier than i did if you want to survey new questions. look on the internet for templates so that you don't have to make your own, that would suck.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

TBP Feud Credit

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 04/04/23 (Planning started: 02/15/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 30 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dillon Agrawal	(dagra)	Active	1.00
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Active	1.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzifz)	Active	1.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.00
Nick Carr	(nickcarr)	Active	1.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	1.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	1.00
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Active	1.00
Niloy Gupta	(niloy)	Active	1.00
Delaney Hammond	(delhammo)	Active	1.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	1.00
Maddi Kelberman	(mkelb)	Active	1.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	1.00
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Active	1.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumdr)	Active	1.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.00
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Active	1.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Active	1.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	1.00
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	1.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	1.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	1.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	1.00
Jessy Simmer	(jessmer)	Active	1.00
Anjali Sivakumar	(anjalids)	Active	1.00
Ben Spector	(spectorb)	Active	1.00
☒ Pauline Wang	(wanpau)	Active	1.00
Fjorela Xhyliu	(fxhyliu)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: Service hours for filling out TBPhewd Form.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Helped out with a survey for a general meeting, which is required for electees

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Denise Schlautman
Email: dschlau@umich.edu
Phone#: 864-784-7151

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 4 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Helped out with a survey for a general meeting, which is required for electees
2. Items Needed: None
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: If wanting to do another family feud, consider new questions, but they can also reuse this question sheet if they want

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Helpful
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Reading responses
4. Opportunity to improve: get the form out sooner so that more people can add to it
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Euchre Tournament

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/23/23 (Planning started: 02/22/23)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 14 Electees: 4

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Colin Davidson (codavids)

Kevin Masel (kmasel)

Karen Jin (karenjin)

Name	(unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	2.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Nikki France	(nfrance)	Active	2.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	2.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	2.00
Meghna Mahesh	(meghnam)	Electee	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	2.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	2.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	2.00
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.00
Jack Phillips	(jackjhph)	Electee	2.00
Molly Rodgers	(merodger)	Electee	2.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	2.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Active	2.00
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	2.00

II. General Description: We hosted a euchre tournament. This was an open event – members could bring friends who are not in TBP :)

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Provide a friendly competition and opportunity to socialize with other members.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Karen Jin
Title: Activities Officer
Email: karenjin@umich.edu
Phone#: 978-727-6695

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 4 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: A generally fun event that was great for meeting new people. Especially for people who didn't sign up with a preferred partner, playing on a team was a good bonding experience.
2. Items Needed: packs of cards, prize of choice
3. Total Cost: \$250

VII. Problems Encountered: Some people signed up to participate in the tournament and didn't show up, or showed up late, so we started later than planned, which made us run overtime a good amount.

VIII. Recommendations: Announce the prize in advance so people have an incentive to participate. If there are enough teams that want to play, lower the number of points needed to win so games go faster and fit within the allotted time.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: There was lots of feedback from New Initiatives that social events would be more fun if people could bring friends from outside of TBP, so we planned this as an open event. However, only TBP members showed up – it was still a good time regardless.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Watching other euchre games going on, especially when the scores were close.
4. Opportunity to improve: Advertise more to community to increase non-TBP turnout.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Second Grad Electee Team Meeting

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/13/23 (Planning started: 03/02/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name (unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
------------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: The grad electee team (The Cantinos) will be doing a presentation in preparation of Fourth General. The presentation can be on a fun topic of our choosing and we can be as creative as we want. Food will be provided.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Team building among grad electees and preparation for 4th General

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: All but 4 electees attended. One virtually. As team lead, I was unaware of how 'fun' the presentation needs to be since this was my first time doing this as well. We just winged it and came up with our choice through a purely democratic process. Everyone contributed. Two electees helped out with the presentation the next day and have been given half credit.

2. Items Needed: Food from Jerusalem garden

3. Total Cost: \$214

VII. Problems Encountered: We were charged \$30 something for food delivery. This is an avoidable charge in my opinion. We didn't have an idea on how 'fun' the presentation needs to be. Also, grads are busy on Mondays and weren't as invested in making this presentation the best that it could be.

VIII. Recommendations: I organized this giving the electee team just 2 days notice. 1 week notice would be desirable. We can have someone pick up the food. 8 electees + so many officers;

I'm sure someone would volunteer. I've been paying for these events and come close to maxing out my card. We can have 2-3 GSACs share the billing burden.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Not a great presentation topic I feel. We could've done better.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 4
3. Best Part: Food was good and came on time. We wrapped up in an hour so people were happy.
4. Opportunity to improve: Make sure team lead has visibility on past presentations. Some people were quiet throughout and didn't contribute to the discussion. More incentive than food for those who speak up?
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

District 7 Conference

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 04/01/23 (Planning started: 03/06/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 14 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Riley Garliauskas (garliari)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	10.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	10.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	10.00
Mike Hand	(mikehand)	Active	1.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	10.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	10.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	10.00
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	4.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	10.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	10.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	10.00
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	10.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	10.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	10.00

II. General Description: Attendance at the District 7 Conference, hosted by Wayne State in Detroit. Members were able to learn more about the process and components of running a functional TBP chapter.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: The purpose of this event was holding the annual meeting of all chapters in District 7 to fulfill district requirements, to teach and increase awareness of National requirements for incoming leadership, to build relationships with attendees from other chapters, to gain ideas for handling situations that come up in your chapter and ideas for improvement in running your chapter.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 5 Participating: 10.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This was a highly engaged event that was mostly attended by current and prospective officers in the chapter. This allowed the officers to learn a lot about the national requirements. The delegation stayed after for an Engineering Futures session.
2. Items Needed: Ensuring that all attendees signed up with the form provided. Transportation needed to be organized. The convention organized the hotel rooms used, with the cost taken from the chapter endowment.
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. **Problems Encountered:** One attendee was an electee, so they had to leave the room during one of the sections. This was minimally disruptive.

VIII. **Recommendations:** Encourage attendance from outside the officer corps, especially if no extra cost is required.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Highly successful event
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Breaking up into groups involving delegations from other chapters.
4. Opportunity to improve: Make a bigger effort to coordinate with other local chapters, either before or after the convention.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 15: All delegates that came to the District 7 Chapter Conference.



Figure 16: The MI G delegates that came to the conference. Also includes two bonus delegates from Michigan Iota.

Video Game Night

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/17/23 (Planning started: 03/09/23)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 11 Electees: 2

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Jed Pienkny (jpienkny)
Karen Jin (karenjin)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.00
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	1.00
Jack Gremel	(jagremel)	Electee	1.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	1.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	1.00
Amy Liu	(amyzliu)	Electee	1.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	1.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Active	1.00
Yuhao Wang	(yuhaow)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: Members were invited to play Nintendo Switch games in the Bullpen!

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Foster friendly competition and provide a place for Tau Bates to have fun together

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Karen Jin

Title: Activities Officer

Email: karenjin@umich.edu

Phone#: 978-727-6695

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This event was relatively simple to organize and a lot of fun for those who came. We held it in the Bullpen and connected a Nintendo Switch to the TV so it would be easier for many people to play at once.
2. Items Needed: Nintendo Switch (or other gaming console), extra controllers, games
3. Total Cost: \$510

VII. **Problems Encountered:** We were only able to get 5 controllers (2 from the switch plus 3 other separate ones) and all of the games we bought had a limit on the number of players, so not everybody was able to play at the same time. We ended up switching players between rounds and that worked out relatively well.

VIII. **Recommendations:** In the future, this event can be much lower cost now that we have a Nintendo Switch and controllers, but it might be a good idea to set aside some money to get new games.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: There was a good mix of electees and actives and having a very laid-back event like this with lots of downtime provided some good opportunities for people to just talk and get to know each other.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Learning to play more video games and hanging out with other TBP members.
4. Opportunity to improve: If possible to get one, it would have been nice to have another console so more people could be playing at once. Otherwise, maybe set up another board game/card game going at the same time so everyone has something to do (a lot of people ended up just doing some work or chatting with others to kill time between rounds).
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

TheBracketPool

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/16/23 (Planning started: 03/09/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 18 Electees: 9

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Colin Davidson (codavids)
Kevin Masel (kmasel)
Karen Jin (karenjin)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Active	1.00
Nathan Biggs	(biggsn)	Electee	1.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.00
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Active	1.00
Nikki France	(nfrance)	Active	1.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.00
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	1.00
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	1.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	1.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	1.00
Peijing Li	(peijli)	Active	1.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.00
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Active	1.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	1.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.00
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	1.00
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	1.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.00
Jack Phillips	(jackjhp)	Electee	1.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.00
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	1.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	1.00
Nick Simon	(npsimon)	Electee	1.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	1.00
Chris Tapia	(tapiac)	Electee	1.00
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Active	1.00
Fulei Wuchu	(fwuchu)	Electee	1.00

II. General Description: To celebrate the NCAA Basketball Tournament, we held a TBP sponsored bracket pool. There was a \$50 prize awarded to the highest scoring bracket in addition to the immortal bragging rights. The winner was also granted the opportunity to PieThePresident at the end of the semester.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Foster an engaging and collaborative environment within the chapter

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Colin Davidson
Email: codavids@umich.edu
Phone#: 269-312-2389

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This event is easy to run and fun to participate in.

2. Items Needed: Prize of choice.

3. Total Cost: \$50

VII. Problems Encountered: None.

VIII. Recommendations: Decide on a prize before the event begins so participants know what they are fighting for. See W22 report for more detail.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: TBD.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: It's March Madness.
4. Opportunity to improve: More promotion.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Third Actives, Winter 2023

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 03/21/23, 03/22/23 (Planning started: 03/19/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 67 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)
 Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
 Sirawit Shimpalee (sirawits)
 Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dillon Agrawal	(dagra)	Active	2.00
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Active	2.00
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Active	2.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	2.00
Michael Benson	(mlbenson)	Active	2.00
Aakash Bharat	(aakashvb)	Active	2.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	2.00
Nick Carr	(nickcarr)	Active	2.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	2.00
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	2.00
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Active	2.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	2.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Active	2.00
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Active	2.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	2.00
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	2.00
Delaney Hammond	(delhammo)	Active	2.00
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Active	2.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	2.00
Xunbi Ji	(xunbij)	Active	2.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	2.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	2.00
Maddi Kelberman	(mkelb)	Active	2.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.00
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	2.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	2.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	2.00
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	2.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumdr)	Active	2.00
Steven Mamolo	(smamolo)	Active	2.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.00
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Active	2.00
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Active	2.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	2.00
Anjali Mittal	(mittalan)	Active	2.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	2.00
Dan Muccio	(drmuccio)	Active	2.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	2.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Active	2.00
Emma Nigrelli	(enigrell)	Active	2.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	2.00
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	2.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	2.00
Jason Qian	(jaqian)	Active	2.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	2.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	2.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	2.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	2.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Active	2.00
Ben Spector	(spectorb)	Active	2.00
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	2.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	2.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Active	2.00
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Active	2.00
Frank Wang	(frnkwang)	Active	2.00
✉ Pauline Wang	(wanpau)	Active	2.00
Yuhao Wang	(yuhaow)	Active	2.00
Zikun (ZEE-KWhen) Wang	(zikunw)	Active	2.00
Zach Whalen	(whalenz)	Active	2.00
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Active	2.00
John Yu	(johnzryu)	Active	2.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Active	2.00

II. General Description: Actives meetings were held in order to conduct chapter business that requires active members' votes. Electees were not allowed to attend these meetings until they have been inducted into membership. Third Actives was a voting meeting regarding electing each electee to membership. Dinner was provided to attendees.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Purpose of this required voting meeting for actives was to vote on Amendment 1 to Article 11 adding the position of Director of the District Program, Amendment 2 to Article 13 proposing private equity funds, and changes to the chapter's nondiscrimination clause. In addition, the status of electees was voted on to determine if they could proceed to initiation.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 48 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Meeting did not meet expectations in regards to timeliness and professionalism. Meeting encounter various issues from active participation to difficulties with food delivery.
2. Items Needed: 1 tray of chicken tikka masala 1 tray of paneer 1 tray of cholle 1 tray of rice
3. Total Cost: \$390

VII. Problems Encountered: Level of preparation and professionalism on voting topics was poorly understood by the officer corps. Officer corps was also disruptive to the meeting and were setting a less than ideal example to the rest of the actives. Food also arrived late and in significantly smaller portion sizes than promised. Sign-in issues with people both being unable to sign in and too many people joining were encountered throughout the meeting.

VIII. Recommendations: Officers should better prepare for voting meetings by trying to truly understand voting topics. Officers should also interact more with voting discussions. As for sign-in issues, possible solutions include increasing the seriousness of the sign-in by connecting it to food distribution and putting in an option to indicate whether people are in person or online.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: The meeting did have issues, but there were also some positives. Active participation was positive, food was still able to be distributed and problems were overcome, as well as a refund. Updates were also done quickly, allowing for voting to start earlier.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 4
3. Best Part: Food was good despite issues.
4. Opportunity to improve: Time should be reserved during officer meetings to discuss topics for voting meetings. Presentation of amendments should be more detailed, and debriefs should be given to everyone. Arrangements should also be made so that officers can present their opinions without being biased and hindering their duties.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

F22 Elections

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 11/29/22, 11/30/22 (Planning started: 03/19/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 93 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Ben King (kingbe)
Zack Goldston (zgold)
Varun Goyal (varungo)
Alex Kalams (akalams)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dillon Agrawal	(dagra)	Active	3.50
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Active	1.50
Obada Albaghdadi	(obada)	Active	2.50
Ibrahim Alnassar	(alnassar)	Active	2.50
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Active	2.50
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Active	3.50
Chirag Bangera	(cbangera)	Active	1.50
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzifz)	Active	5.00
Michael Benson	(mlbenson)	Active	3.50
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Active	1.50
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	5.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	5.00
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	2.50
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Active	5.00
Toland Corum	(corumto)	Active	5.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	5.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	5.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Active	5.00
✉ Angela Deng	(yuanqid)	Active	2.00
Changyu Deng	(dengcy)	Active	3.50
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Active	5.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	4.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	5.00
Kate Giammalvo	(kgiamm)	Active	5.00
Vishnu Giri	(vigiri)	Active	1.50
Aidan Goettsch	(agoettsc)	Active	5.00
Zack Goldston	(zgold)	Active	5.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	3.50

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Niloy Gupta	(niloy)	Active	1.50
Delaney Hammond	(delhammo)	Active	1.50
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Active	5.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	5.00
Xunbi Ji	(xunbij)	Active	1.50
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	5.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	5.00
Taeksang Kim	(stozy)	Active	5.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	5.00
Jennifer Kosek	(jkosek)	Active	1.50
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	1.50
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	5.00
Kyle Lady	(kylelady)	Active	1.50
Lydia Lee	(leelydia)	Active	1.50
Ben Levy	(balevy)	Active	1.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	5.00
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Active	5.00
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	5.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumdr)	Active	1.50
Steven Mamolo	(smamolo)	Active	1.50
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	5.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	5.00
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Active	5.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	5.00
Ranadeep Mitra	(ranadeep)	Active	4.50
Julia Mocny	(mocnyj)	Active	1.50
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	5.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	5.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Active	5.00
Kari Naga	(knga)	Active	3.00
Abby Overbeck	(aoverbec)	Active	1.50
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	3.50
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	1.50
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Active	2.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	3.50
Shweta Pati	(patis)	Active	1.50
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	4.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	2.50
John Pye	(jepye)	Active	5.00
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Active	2.50
Eli Rotman	(erotman)	Active	1.50
Amy Ruan	(awruan)	Active	2.50
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	3.50
Piush Sarkar	(sarkarp)	Active	1.50

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	3.50
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	2.50
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	2.50
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Active	1.50
Anjali Sivakumar	(anjalids)	Active	1.50
Hannah Soderstrom	(hsoder)	Active	1.50
Ben Spector	(spectorb)	Active	1.50
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	5.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	5.00
Agatha Ta-Goetz	(tata)	Active	3.50
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Active	3.50
Ethan Treihaft	(treihaft)	Active	1.50
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Active	5.00
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Active	1.50
Frank Wang	(frnkwang)	Active	2.50
✉ Pauline Wang	(wanpau)	Active	2.50
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	1.50
Richard Wang	(riwa)	Active	1.50
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Active	3.50
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Active	1.50
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Active	4.00

II. General Description: This session of Elections was dedicated primarily toward electing members of the Officer Corps and Advisory Board for the F23 semester. All actives and electees were allowed and invited to come and vote on candidates.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Purpose of this meeting was to elect the new officer corps to fill in positions that were up for election this semester. Meeting also covered voting on amending the term of the professional development officer.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 96 Participating: 1.5 (Total Duration for 4 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Elections lasted from 6:30 pm to 12:00 am. Elections proceeded normally in terms of processes. Meeting was long but expected. All electable positions were in the process of being filled. The event was split into parts for the purpose of assigning credit.

2. Items Needed: Aubrees Pizza

3. Total Cost: \$1100

VII. Problems Encountered: Timing issues causes some delays in meeting items, although everything did arrive. Meeting also extended till the early morning. Considering past meetings, expected but not desirable.

VIII. Recommendations: For the meeting length, a proposal would be to do multiple sessions for elections and shorten each session in manner different than the current system. However, issues with this recommendation are that bylaws decree that an election must happen between 3rd Actives and Initiation. Having sessions occur over consecutive days would also cause issues regarding team lead confidentiality and attendance.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Elections was completed successfully. All other comments are mentioned above.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Food and coordination of food was successful. People also liked ice cream.
4. Opportunity to improve: Improvements that could be made include sending an email to electees with requirements ahead of elections. Updates could also be done more quickly. Starting elections earlier and expanding discussion time are also options.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 17: The Officer Corps and Advisory Board for the W23 semester.

March Madness Final Watch Party

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 04/04/23 (Planning started: 03/21/23)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 12 Electees: 1

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Ansh Patel (anshp)

Riley Garliauskas (garliari)

Colin Davidson (codavids)

Kevin Masel (kmasel)

Karen Jin (karenjin)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	2.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	2.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	2.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	2.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	2.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	2.00
Joshua Ning	(joshning)	Electee	2.00
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	2.00

II. General Description: Members came to the Bullpen to watch the final game of the NCAA Tournament!

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Create a social environment for people to meet and interact with other members of the chapter.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Karen Jin
Title: Activities Officer
Email: karenjin@umich.edu
Phone#: 978-727-6695

2. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 1 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This was a very easy event to organize and everybody who attended enjoyed themselves.
2. Items Needed: TV and streaming service
3. Total Cost: \$5

VII. **Problems Encountered:** There were a few people who signed up but didn't attend, otherwise no major problems.

VIII. **Recommendations:** If there was a bigger group attending, it would be good to move it to a classroom or larger space with a projector/bigger screen.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Socials are typically on Thursdays or Fridays, so it was a nice change to have something on a different day.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Spending time with other Tau Bates.
4. Opportunity to improve: More publicity for the event to boost attendance.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Game Night

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 04/06/23 (Planning started: 03/22/23)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 14 Electees: 5

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Jess Dillon (dillonjg)

Karen Jin (karenjin)

Sam Hocher (shocher)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Electee	1.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	1.00
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	1.00
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Active	1.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.00
Jack Gremel	(jagremel)	Electee	1.00
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	1.00
Adviqa Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	1.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	1.00
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	1.00
Amy Liu	(amyzliu)	Electee	1.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	1.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.00
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	1.00
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	1.00
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Active	1.00
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: Members came to the bullpen for some fun playing nintendo switch video games (smash, mario kart, mario party, overcooked), board games (catan, codenames, exploding kittens, ticket to ride, betrayal at house on the hill, cards, etc.), or just to hang out!

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: A social event for Tau Bates to get to relax and connect with each other playing board games and video games before the stressful end of the semester.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Samuel Hocher
Title: Electee
Email: shocher@umich.edu
Phone#: 248-825-0678

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Many people attended the event, and it was enjoyable. The event was held in EECS 1226, and the room ended up pretty full. Only 2 board games were able to be played on the table at once, which left many people waiting for turns on the Nintendo Switch.
2. Items Needed: Nintendo Switch, extra controllers, Nintendo Switch games, board games
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: There were not enough controllers for everyone who wanted to play Nintendo Switch. Players were able to switch between rounds of Smash and there were many board games to play, but there was not enough space on the table for more than a couple of games to be played at one time. The table had garbage and miscellaneous items all over it taking up space, which did not help this problem. Many people ended up standing as well, because several of the chairs had been pushed up against the back wall.

VIII. Recommendations: In the future, an event of this size should probably be held in a larger room with more space.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Many people came to the event, including both actives and electees. Playing games with everyone was fun and even after games were put away, many people stuck around after just to converse which was a great opportunity for people to get to know each other.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: The best part was getting to have a relaxing time during the stressful end of the semester playing board games and video games with fun people.
4. Opportunity to improve: It was nice to have board games set up at the same time as the Switch so that more people had things to play, but there was still not enough space for everyone to get to play something without people waiting for turns on the Switch, so it would have been nice to have been able to play in a larger space. It would also have been nice to have more controllers for the Switch.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Welcome Spring Hike (Grads Only)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 04/08/23 (Planning started: 03/23/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 5 Electees: 2

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Hrishi Danawe (hgdanawe)
Kanishka Panda (pandak)
Ayan Majumder (aymajumdr)
harkirat Arora (hsarora)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Ruben Orsolle	(rorsolle)	Electee	2.50
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	2.50
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	2.50
Chris Tapia	(tapiac)	Electee	2.50

II. General Description: Graduate student members hiked the Maybury trail loop in Maybury State Park for 1 hr, appreciating the onset of Spring. A social lunch was then had.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Social for Grad electees to help meet initiation goals

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 2.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Event participation was less than anticipated. But we also didn't have enough carpools so it worked out in the end.

2. Items Needed: Food was provided. We also got snack bars for all participants and rented a Zipcar for transportation.

3. Total Cost: \$196

VII. Problems Encountered: Only one person available to arrange carpool. Two GSACs had a conference come up on short notice and had to stay away.

VIII. Recommendations: Hikes in MI are boring. We should do something more substantial in Upper Peninsula or other places close to our region.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Participation can be encouraged further by making this event a hike + picnic in better weather.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Food. We had lunch at Neehee's which was fabulous.
4. Opportunity to improve: Hikes in MI are boring. We should do something more substantial in Upper Peninsula or other places close to our region. Participation can be encouraged further by making this event a hike + picnic in better weather.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Initiation W23

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 04/15/23 (Planning started: 04/05/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 17 Electees: 20

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)

Riley Garliauskas (garliari)

Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	2.50
Nathan Biggs	(biggsn)	Electee	2.50
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	2.50
Joao Casares	(casares)	Electee	2.50
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Electee	2.50
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.50
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	2.50
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	2.50
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.50
Nikki France	(nfrance)	Active	2.50
Girish Chandar Ganesan	(girishg)	Active	2.50
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	2.50
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	2.50
Jack Gremel	(jagremel)	Electee	2.50
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Electee	2.50
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	2.50
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.50
Chun-Wei Kong	(chunwei)	Electee	2.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	2.50
Peijing Li	(peijli)	Active	2.50
Amy Liu	(amyzliu)	Electee	2.50
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	2.50
Meghna Mahesh	(meghnam)	Electee	2.50
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	2.50
Joshua Ning	(joshning)	Electee	2.50
Ruben Orsolle	(rorsolle)	Electee	2.50
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	2.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.50
Jack Phillips	(jackjhp)	Electee	2.50

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Molly Rodgers	(merodger)	Electee	2.50
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	2.50
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	2.50
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	2.50
Nick Simon	(npsimon)	Electee	2.50
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	2.50
Chris Tapia	(tapiac)	Electee	2.50
Frank Zlomek	(zlomekf)	Electee	2.50

II. General Description: Initiation ritual ceremony where successful candidates become new members of Tau Beta Pi. In-person attendance on this date was required. There was another event offered for those who could not attend in person.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Initiating the chapter's electees into active members

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 12 Participating: 2.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Went overall very smoothly. Had some trouble with tardiness and attendance before the event started but afterwards everything worked out.
2. Items Needed: All initiation supplies that are in boxes in the bullpen or FXB cages. electrical tape and new light bulbs were purchased day of.
3. Total Cost: \$50

VII. Problems Encountered: again, some electees cut it close on showing up, but once that was settled everything went smoothly.

VIII. Recommendations: prepare EARLY. heavily publicize to the electees so that they arrive on time. our district director was able to attend, which was nice as he helped with the procedure.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall very successful. We were able to get initiation completed quickly and efficiently.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: having new active members to the chapter!
4. Opportunity to improve: handing out the placards person by person took a long time, so one could decide to hand them out in a different way to save time.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Winter 2023 - Fall 2023 Officer Transition Meeting

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 04/16/23–04/16/23 (Planning started: 04/14/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Ansh Patel (anshp)

Name (unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
----------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: This meeting served as the transition meeting from the Winter 23 to Fall 23 officer corp. Only new and old officers were invited.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Gave outgoing and returning officers an opportunity to share knowledge with incoming officers, who had the opportunity to ask questions and clarify their goals for the next semester.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: N/A Varies by participant

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: In-person meeting this semester. There was a Zoom option used by only 4 or 5 attendees compared to over a dozen who attended in person.

2. Items Needed: Pizza for over a dozen in-person attendees.

3. Total Cost: \$80

VII. Problems Encountered: Zoom participation was somewhat limited, and the experience on Zoom was not great compared to what was gained from those who attended in person. However, there were no issues with communication in the hybrid format, just the quality of the communication.

VIII. Recommendations: Encourage full in-person involvement in the future. Have the K-12 officer position decided beforehand so this new officer can attend the meeting as well.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall very successful meeting. Everyone had ample opportunities to speak and be heard by a large group, and these thoughts were all collected in detail for future reference.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Meeting notes were taken the entire time by the secretary and these notes were made available to all officers. Many people referenced these notes after the meeting was over to review the insight that was shared during the meeting, and it can continue to be used as a resource throughout next semester and beyond.
4. Opportunity to improve: The meeting would benefit from slightly more structure to make sure everyone is aware of the goals and format going in, but people had no issues figuring anything out. It could just be even better if people were given more opportunities to prepare thoughtful statements.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Alternate Initiation

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 04/17/23, 04/18/23 (Planning started: 04/15/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 8 Electees: 1

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)
Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.50
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.50
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.50
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	1.50
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	1.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.50
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.50

II. General Description: Initiation ritual ceremony where successful candidates become new members of Tau Beta Pi. Virtual attendance on this date was required for those who could not make the in-person event.

III. Target Audience: Chapter

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Allows for the initiation of new members who could not attend the regular initiation ceremony in person on its scheduled date.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Ceremony ran smoothly as everyone was well-prepared. Virtual initiations can be awkward but this went just about as well as it could have.

2. Items Needed: Virtual initiation ritual script - 2020 online edition

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Some script items seem to be outdated as a product of the Covid era, which we will bring up at convention in the Fall.

VIII. Recommendations: Show up a half hour early to do a rehearsal if doing a speaking role; this worked well this year.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Successfully accomplished all stated goals of initiating all new members we had not yet initiated and staying true to the tradition of the ritual.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Being able to initiate all new members and our eminent engineer in a more flexible way.
4. Opportunity to improve: There could be more engagement in an in-person setting, but this is at the cost of flexibility.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Part II

Community

TBP/SWE Career Fair

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/11/22–09/13/22 (Planning started: 04/01/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 2 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Jon Chen (asclkose)

Riley Garliauskas (garliari)

Name (unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
----------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: TBP members volunteered at the massive fall Career Fair co-hosted by TBP and SWE. Volunteer jobs included: student registration, company registration, event set-up, event clean-up, hospitality station greeters, runners, helping with company lunches, receptions set-up, etc.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: TBP helps run the Fall Engineering Career Fair, along with SWE. The event is massive, the largest scale event that TBP conducts annually, with chairs and volunteers needed to help make the event possible.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 50 Participating: N/A Varies by participant

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Event was successful in terms of volunteers. Everybody was involved, and we had a large network to make sure the event ran smoothly. Volunteers did a variety of tasks, being versatile and changing tasks during their shift, if needed. Shoutout to the directors for planning an very well organized event. And shoutout to the chairs who managed all of the smaller planning objectives and for keeping the volunteers on task during the event.

2. Items Needed: Signup Genius Everything else was handled by CF itself

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Some volunteers signed up and didn't show up Lots of people cancelled signup close to their shift beginning, without communication Some volunteers did not seem to be very engaged during their shift

VIII. Recommendations: Work with the volunteers committee next year to discuss potential improvements, and utilize the CF Volunteers transition report

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall went very smoothly, but could always use more volunteers. See: CF Volunteers Transition Report
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: The event was a lot of fun to run. There was a lot of high level collaboration, the walkie-talkies were a blast to use, but were very effective in keeping everybody on the same page
4. Opportunity to improve: More volunteers is even better for running the event
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 18: The Chairs and Directors for the career fair are pictured in a Renaissance-esque form. Over half of the leaders are TBP members and we couldn't be more proud.



Figure 19: Pictured is one of the many atriums reserved for the career fair. Over five thousand students made their way through the career fair over the two days they went on.



Figure 20: Pictured is another building reserved for the career fair. Over 300 companies had booths over the course of the career fair.

Hughes Info Session

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 09/22/22 (Planning started: 08/22/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 7

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Ansh Patel (anshp)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Obada Albaghadi	(obada)	Electee	1.00
Taeksang Kim	(stozy)	Electee	1.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	1.00
Yahya Naveed	(yahyanav)	Electee	1.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	1.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Electee	1.00
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	1.00

II. General Description: Hughes is the world's leading provider of broadband satellite services and managed network solutions for communities, businesses and governments. Members learned more about the company and opportunities for students.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Professional Development

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Lisa Tievy

Email: lisa.tievy@echostar.com

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 3 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Good event, maintain relationship with the corporate contact. Follow up for winter semester events.

2. Items Needed: N/A

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Allow more time to gather signups for the event. Events held before first general tend to have low attendance (no electees)

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Event went well with the exception of low attendance. Organizers agree that a hybrid option would be good for future semesters.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Attendees were engaged in conversation with the presenters throughout the session.
4. Opportunity to improve: Better planning, provide hybrid option.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

One-on-One Group Tutoring F22

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 12/14/22 (Planning started: 08/22/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Kevin Masel (kmasel)

Name (unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
------------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: TBP members tutor students for many science, math, and engineering classes. There were options for 1-on-1 tutoring, drop-in group tutoring, and repeated tutoring sessions.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Provides free Tutoring to the Engineering Community at the University of Michigan

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 5 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Very successful, could always use more tutors

2. Items Needed: N/A

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Matching some select students/tutors, as well as an overall surplus of students requesting to be tutored over the number of tutors available

VIII. Recommendations: More advertising. More outreach to other student orgs.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Did not hear any complaints from tutors/students once they ended up being paired. Some complaints came in as students were having to wait to get paired with a tutor

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2

3. Best Part: Seeing the joyous emails from tutored students that they are happy that our service exists and is affordable.
4. Opportunity to improve: More overall participation from chapter members, including members
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Merit Badge Day

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 11/13/22 (Planning started: 09/05/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 9 Electees: 8

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)
Yash Cherivirala (yaswanth)
Jacob Miller (millerjv)
Jed Pienkny (jpienkny)
Jessy Simmer (jessmer)
Tara Radvand (tararad)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Electee	1.25
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	12.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	7.25
Vishnu Giri	(vigiri)	Electee	6.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	6.50
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Electee	7.50
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	10.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	12.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	7.50
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	1.25
Andrew Plotner	(aplotner)	Active	7.25
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	7.50
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	7.50
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Electee	1.25

II. General Description: TBP actives and electees worked closely with boy scouts from local troops, helping the scouts earn four STEM-focussed merit badges: engineering, computers, programming, and technology. We also organized a student panel composed of Tau Beta Pi Members to discuss what it means to be an engineering student at the UM CoE.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Outreach to Local K-12 community with an emphasis on spreading awareness of STEM opportunities

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Jacob V Miller
Title: Merit Badge Day Chair
Email: millerjv@umich.edu
Phone#: 989-430-5809

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 5 Participating: 7.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Great event, full house with 10 scouts attending, got several requests on information about the next one being held
2. Items Needed: Pizza, Arduino Kits, Computers, Paper and Pencil, Soldering Kit
3. Total Cost: \$50

VII. Problems Encountered: With new Arduino guide, Arduino activity is too short, doesn't fill time while scouts complete soldering activity, need to make Arduino activity more complicated. Also, need to adjust schedule for website activity, its much too long

VIII. Recommendations: See problems encountered section

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Scouts said they really enjoyed it and that they were really learning a lot through it, very successful event
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: ALL 10 SCOUTS FINISHED ALL 4 BADGES!!!! NO PARTIALS!!!!
4. Opportunity to improve: Adjust schedule per suggestions in problems encountered section
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:




Figure 21: Scouts learn about engineering in a modern engineering lab, what could be better?

Cub Scouts Day (F22)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 11/20/22 (Planning started: 09/05/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 14 Electees: 24

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)
 Jessy Simmer (jessmer)
 Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
 Colin Davidson (codavids)
 Tara Radvand (tararad)
 Kevin Masel (kmasel)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dillon Agrawal	(dagra)	Electee	7.50
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	6.50
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	7.50
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Electee	5.00
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	4.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	15.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Electee	4.00
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Electee	7.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.50
Vishnu Giri	(vigiri)	Electee	3.50
Aidan Goettsch	(agoettsc)	Electee	4.00
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Electee	7.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	7.50
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Electee	7.50
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	10.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Electee	2.50
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	7.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	20.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	15.00
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Electee	7.50
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	10.00
Ranadeep Mitra	(ranadeep)	Active	4.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	7.50
Kari Naga	(knnga)	Electee	7.50
Emma Nigrelli	(enigrell)	Active	3.50
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	4.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Electee	4.50
Swame Ramesh	(rswame)	Electee	6.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Electee	7.50
Anjali Sivakumar	(anjalids)	Active	3.50
Hannah Soderstrom	(hsoder)	Electee	7.00
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	7.00
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Electee	7.50
Richard Wang	(riwa)	Electee	7.50
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	4.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Electee	10.00

II. General Description: Cub Scouts Day is an activity hosted by TBP that allows local Cub Scouts to come to campus and earn their Engineering Adventure badge. It brings in scout packs from across the state of Michigan every semester. We teach the scouts about material related to the practice of engineering in a fun environment through numerous activities, including an electronics module, a bridge module, a catapult module, and the most popular part: designing a landing craft for an egg drop! We also had the Scouts fill out a questionnaire that obligated them to speak to several different types of engineers on what kind of things they do and projects they work on.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Promote engineering to the next generation of scouts.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Colin Davidson
 Email: codavids@umich.edu
 Phone#: 269-312-2389

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 35 Participating: 7.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The drive folder has all necessary details for running the event so specific directions will not be included in this report. Instead, this will be an evaluation of this specific event.
2. Items Needed: See the TBP drive for all necessary materials. This list is quite extensive.
3. Total Cost: \$624

VII. Problems Encountered: There are always a few headaches during the event that get handled without too much of a problem. We did encounter an over-involved parent that counteracted our mission for the event. More on this later. See drive.

VIII. Recommendations: Even though training was included for volunteers, I still found myself having to provide specific directions at every step of the way. On the day of the event, it is critical to provide concise directions in an organized manner. Must find a way to make the volunteers operate semi-autonomously. Smart leadership.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall, CSD is a lot of work and a lot of fun. There were a few logistical issues that could get solved from the admin standpoint. However, the modules went amazing. All four module leaders were great fits for the role.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: The value provided to local cub scouts is invaluable. The memories made and lesson learned have the ability to last for years beyond the event. Best part? Egg drop. Smiling faces.
4. Opportunity to improve: Communication with parents and volunteers. See drive for more.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 22: The egg drop volunteers are getting into the spirit of things as they put the scouts' designs to the test.



Figure 23: A crowd of excited scouts looking to see the results of the egg drop.

Grad Social - Bar Crawl

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 11/04/22, 11/05/22 (Planning started: 09/15/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 9 Electees: 3

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Yash Cherivirala (yaswanth)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	3.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	3.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	3.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	3.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumd)	Active	3.00
Anjali Mittal	(mittalan)	Active	3.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	3.00
Swame Ramesh	(rswame)	Electee	3.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	3.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	3.00
Anshul Singhal	(ansinghl)	Active	3.00
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	3.00

II. General Description: This event was an opportunity for Grad Electees and Actives to hang out at different bars and go for a bit of dancing.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Chapter development. The event helped grad students meet in-person and socialize.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Started out at Regents field, had appetizers/drinks and played some arcade games. Then we bar hopped to Pretzel Bell (Food/Drinks), 8 Ball (Drinks/Games), Circ (Karaoke/Drinks/Dancing) and Live (Drinks/Dancing).

2. Items Needed: Make a reservation and order food/appetizers at the first bar.
3. Total Cost: \$205

VII. Problems Encountered: Didn't really have any problems this time. We posted the event very early on in the semester and most of the people that signed up for the event showed up.

VIII. Recommendations: Fridays where we do not have home games that weekend are the best days to bar hop. Also, make sure to pick a Friday farthest from the exams week.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: The event was really good. All the attendees had a blast and we ended up staying out till 11:30PM.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Socializing in-person and in bars was super fun. We have done a lot of fun things - games/drinks/food/dancing. Maybe next time some one might sing at the karaoke.
4. Opportunity to improve: There are good number of actives that attended the event this semester but less electees (although we do have very few grad electees in total this semester). So it would be great if we can do something to get more electees participate in this event.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Grad Social: Bubble Tea Social

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 10/20/22 (Planning started: 10/01/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 11 Electees: 3

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Brittany Rupp (ruppb)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	1.00
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Electee	1.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	1.00
Xunbi Ji	(xunbij)	Active	1.00
Maddi Kelberman	(mkelb)	Active	1.00
Anjali Mittal	(mittalan)	Active	1.00
Syahidah Mohd Khairi	(syahidah)	Active	1.00
Rohit Rothe	(rohitrr)	Active	1.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	1.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.00
Anshul Singhal	(ansinghl)	Active	1.00
Anjali Sivakumar	(anjalids)	Active	1.00
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	1.00
Yuhao Wang	(yuhaoow)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: Members were invited to join others for some bubble tea. Boba socials were fun, relaxing ways to meet people within the chapter. Electees were especially welcome!

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Chapter development. This event helped grad students meet fellow active and electee grad students.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Brittany Rupp

Email: ruppb@umich.edu

Phone#: 845-421-3495

2. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Students met in a classroom on North Campus. Bubble tea was provided. Each member submitted their order in advanced and it was ordered/picked up by the project lead. Very calm event and well attended.
2. Items Needed: Bubble tea for each attendee
3. Total Cost: \$109

VII. Problems Encountered: N/A

VIII. Recommendations: Continuing doing in the future

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Members seemed to like the event and it was well attended. Very easy to organize
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Meeting so many new grad students
4. Opportunity to improve: Plan for topics to encourage discussions during awkward silences
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

MindSET Boat Maker

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 12/11/22 (Planning started: 10/01/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 5 Electees: 2

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Jessy Simmer (jessmer)

Mojtaba Abdolmaleki (mojtabaa)

Tara Radvand (tararad)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	5.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	5.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Electee	5.00
Tara Radvand	(tararad)	Active	5.00
Piush Sarkar	(sarkarp)	Electee	5.00
Jessy Simmer	(jessmer)	Active	5.00

II. General Description: The program is designed around learn-design-build-test modules. The modules cover topics in physics, chemistry, and mathematics, along with their engineering applications such as bridges, circuits, and water rockets. The design-build activities involve groups of 4–5 school students working together with 2–3 college students. This structure provides a fun-filled and creative learning experience for school students, and also creates opportunities for college students to share their experience with learning engineering. Students learned basic physics principles in a fun, hands-on activity. Students designed boats with their choice of shape, depth, etc. and raced them to determine which can carry more weight and move faster!

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: This was a mindSET event part of the K-12 outreach program to expose local elementary schoolers to engineering concepts.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 4 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This event was successful. The children were engaged the entire time.

2. Items Needed: Tubs for holding water Aluminum foil Popsicle sticks paper rocks
3. Total Cost: \$48

VII. Problems Encountered: Tubs were accidentally taken to banquet and had to be returned during the event.

VIII. Recommendations: Get a new method of weighing down the boats. Also use smaller sheets of aluminum foil, they were too good and one boat could hold most of our weights.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: This event was successful and can be held again in the future.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: The parents were highly engaged in the event and even created some boats of their own. It created a more playful air of friendly competition and there was a lot of mingling between people who hadn't met each other before.
4. Opportunity to improve: Get a new method of weighing down the boats. Also use smaller sheets of aluminum foil, large sheets created boats that could hold most of our weights, which slowed down the process.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Second Active, Fall 2022

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 10/25/22, 10/26/22 (Planning started: 10/02/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 78 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniquename)

Ben King (kingbe)
Zack Goldston (zwmgold)
Varun Goyal (varungo)
Alex Kalams (akalams)

Name	(uniquename)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Daphne Agapiou	(dagapiou)	Active	2.00
Sean Anderson	(seanaa)	Active	2.00
Aiden Ascioti	(aascioti)	Active	2.00
Chirag Bangera	(cbangera)	Active	2.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzifz)	Active	2.00
Michael Benson	(mlbenson)	Active	2.00
Aakash Bharat	(aakashvb)	Active	2.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	2.00
Nick Carr	(nickcarr)	Active	2.00
Jon Chen	(asclkose)	Active	2.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	2.00
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	2.00
Aron Choo	(atrchoo)	Active	2.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	2.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
✉ Angela Deng	(yuanqid)	Active	2.00
Changyu Deng	(dengcy)	Active	2.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Tom Gao	(zimingg)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	2.00
Kate Giammalvo	(kgiamm)	Active	2.00
Zack Goldston	(zwmgold)	Active	2.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	2.00
Camryn Graham	(camryng)	Active	2.00
Niloy Gupta	(niloy)	Active	2.00
Delaney Hammond	(delhammo)	Active	2.00
✉ Kritika Iyer	(kritiyer)	Active	2.00
Xunbi Ji	(xunbij)	Active	2.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Maddi Kelberman	(mkelb)	Active	2.00
Ethan Kennaugh	(eske)	Active	2.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.00
Jennifer Kosek	(jkosek)	Active	2.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	2.00
Kyle Lady	(kylelady)	Active	2.00
Sangwon Lee	(swrlee)	Active	2.00
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	2.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumd)	Active	2.00
Steven Mamolo	(smamolo)	Active	2.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	2.00
Anjali Mittal	(mittalan)	Active	2.00
Julia Mocny	(mocnyj)	Active	2.00
Syahidah Mohd Khairi	(syahidah)	Active	2.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	2.00
Emma Nigrelli	(enigrell)	Active	2.00
Abby Overbeck	(aoverbec)	Active	2.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	2.00
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	2.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	2.00
Andrew Plotner	(aplotner)	Active	2.00
John Pye	(jepye)	Active	2.00
Jason Qian	(jaqian)	Active	2.00
Rohit Rothe	(rohitrr)	Active	2.00
Eli Rotman	(erotman)	Active	2.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	2.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	2.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	2.00
Jessy Simmer	(jessmer)	Active	2.00
Anshul Singhal	(ansinghl)	Active	2.00
Anjali Sivakumar	(anjalids)	Active	2.00
Caleb Smith	(calsmith)	Active	2.00
Ben Spector	(spectorb)	Active	2.00
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	2.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	2.00
Agatha Ta-Goetz	(tata)	Active	2.00
Ethan Treihaft	(treihaft)	Active	2.00
✉ Pauline Wang	(wanpau)	Active	2.00
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	2.00
Yuhao Wang	(yuhaow)	Active	2.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Zikun (ZEE-KWhen) Wang	(zikunw)	Active	2.00
Zach Whalen	(whalenz)	Active	2.00
Kody Whisnant	(kgwhis)	Active	2.00
John Yu	(johnzryu)	Active	2.00
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	2.00

II. General Description: Actives meetings were held in order to conduct chapter business that requires active members' votes. Electees are not allowed to attend these meetings until they have been inducted into membership. Second Actives was a voting meeting regarding the character interviews that each electee had to participate in. Dinner was provided to attendees.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Second meeting for actives in the chapter to get updates from officers

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Alex Kalams
Email: akalams@umich.edu
Phone#: 615-522-4483

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Voting meeting!

2. Items Needed: General officer announcements Voting on bylaw amendments Voting on which electees can continue electing

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: None

IX. Overall Evaluation:

- Comments: This meeting was a success
- Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
- Best Part: Ben's speech was priceless
- Opportunity to improve: None
- Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Professional Headshots

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 11/11/22 (Planning started: 10/10/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 12 Electees: 8

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Ansh Patel (anshp)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Daphne Agapiou	(dagapiou)	Active	0.08
Ibrahim Alnassar	(alnassar)	Active	0.08
Aiden Ascioti	(aascioti)	Active	0.08
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	0.08
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	0.08
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Electee	0.08
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	0.08
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	0.08
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	0.08
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumdr)	Active	0.08
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	0.08
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	0.08
Emma Nigrelli	(enigrell)	Active	0.08
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	0.08
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	0.08
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	0.08
Sarah Schenck	(schencks)	Active	0.08
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	0.17
Caleb Smith	(calsmith)	Active	0.08
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	0.08

II. General Description: Professional headshot photos sponsored by TBP. Each attendee received three lightly edited headshots to use however they wish.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Professional Development

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Mark Bogarin

Email: mbogarin@comcast.net

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 3 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Opportunity for TBP members to get a professional headshot for use on LinkedIn and other platforms
2. Items Needed: N/A
3. Total Cost: \$500

VII. Problems Encountered: Had to postpone first decided date - no issues arised from this. On the new date a couple people were double signed-up and a couple people didn't show up to their session.

VIII. Recommendations: Find a way to require people to show up to their session

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Event went well, was a little slow. Get more signups early on next time.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: N/A
4. Opportunity to improve: Set up earlier so members have more time to sign up for a convenient slot.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Third Grad Electee Team Meeting

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 10/30/22 (Planning started: 10/22/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 3

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Obada Albaghadi (obada)	Electee	1.00
Swame Ramesh (rswame)	Electee	1.00
Aaron Villiger (avillige)	Electee	1.00

II. General Description: This event was an opportunity for Grad Electees to meet each other and learn more about the electing process. They also prepared for the Third General games.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Team Meeting

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: A team meeting before the general meeting to meet and discuss the plans for the general meeting.
2. Items Needed: None.
3. Total Cost: \$32

VII. Problems Encountered: None.

VIII. Recommendations: Everything went well.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Should be continued in the future semesters.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Good food and networking.

4. Opportunity to improve: If taking outside to eat, check restaurant menu first to account for dietary restrictions of the attendees.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Knitwits (Fleece Fest)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 11/02/22 (Planning started: 10/23/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 6 Electees: 5

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Kate Giammalvo (kgiamm)

Abby Overbeck (aoverbec)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Electee	1.50
Ibrahim Alnassar	(alnassar)	Active	1.50
Aiden Ascioti	(aasciotti)	Active	1.50
Aakash Bharat	(aakashvrb)	Active	1.50
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Electee	1.50
Kate Giammalvo	(kgiamm)	Active	1.50
Vishnu Giri	(vigiri)	Electee	1.50
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	1.50
Abby Overbeck	(aoverbec)	Active	1.50
Piush Sarkar	(sarkarp)	Electee	1.50
Chris Zhang	(chrzhzhang)	Electee	1.50

II. General Description: Volunteers helped make blankets for Knitwits. These blankets were locally distributed to domestic shelters, agencies serving the homeless, community centers, and others in need via the Ginsberg center. Snacks were provided!

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: A volunteer event where members make tie-blankets out of fleece for donation to homeless shelters, the children's hospital, or similar donation centers.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Abigail Overbeck

Title: Project Lead

Email: aoverbec@umich.edu

Phone#: 313-929-1061

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Smaller event, pretty productive. We had several members who had never attended Knitwits/Fleece Fest before, but everyone worked diligently in groups of 2-4.
2. Items Needed: Scissors, fleece, large tables to work on
3. Total Cost: \$50

VII. **Problems Encountered:** Difficulty locating the box of fabric scissors in the Bullpen. Location of the box is still unknown, scissors were borrowed from K-12 supplies.

VIII. **Recommendations:** Cost of this event was only food. Cloth will need to be purchased for the events following this one, potentially including buying material for the first event of similar nature of the subsequent semester. We will also need to redefine which specific charity we will be donating to, as the person who previously handled the donations has moved on to different priorities.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: 8 blankets in total were made, which is expected from the number of attendees.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Taking pictures of everyone who attended!
4. Opportunity to improve: Have a designated scissor box that must remain in the bullpen, and ensure that there is no loose, unmatched swatches of fabric. This leads to confusion for attendees and extra work that takes away from blanket-making time. It might also be good to continue the hybrid format that we used to do in COVID, allowing people to take blankets home and return them, doing them on their own time.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Rubber Band Car

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 11/06/22 (Planning started: 10/23/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 8 Electees: 6

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Jessy Simmer (jessmer)

Mojtaba Abdolmaleki (mojtabaa)

Tara Radvand (tararad)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Mojtaba Abdolmaleki	(mojtabaa)	Active	7.00
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	4.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	4.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	4.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	4.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumdr)	Active	4.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	4.00
Kari Naga	(knga)	Electee	4.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	4.00
Tara Radvand	(tararad)	Active	8.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	4.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	4.00
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Electee	4.00

II. General Description: Members helped elementary school students learn about engineering through hands-on activities. Students learned basic physics principles through a worksheet and a fun, hands-on activity. Students designed their rubber band-powered car for a race.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: This event allowed members to become leaders and teachers in the community for elementary school-aged children. As members led children, they promoted a well-rounded education and lifelong learning. Also, they got familiarized with active learning methods through experiments. This experience is particularly vital for graduate students applying for academic jobs. The engaging activities for lower-income students, emphasis on promoting the event for female students, and updating the taught materials/slides to depict the scientific achievements of underrepresented groups contributed to Michigan Gamma's diversity, equity, and inclusion initiative.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Tara Radvand
Title: K-12 Officer
Email: tararad@umich.edu
Phone#: 765-714-9792

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 18 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The program is designed around actively (through question and answer) learning the science behind phenomena such as physics rules and then implementing it in designing, building, re-building, and testing the built object. The hands-on activities in MindSet are designed for third-fifth graders, where they work in groups of 2-4 under the direct supervision of 1-2 college students. Project leaders and chairs train the college volunteers to help students understand the reasons for the failure/success of their testing and familiarize them with STEM and what each discipline does. This structure provides a fun-filled, active, and creative learning experience for school students and creates opportunities for college students to share their experience with learning to engineer.
2. Items Needed: wheels, rods, rubber bands, straws, popsicle sticks, tape, and scissors
3. Total Cost: \$94

VII. **Problems Encountered:** We only encountered a few problems with building materials, as follows: 1. After receiving the wheels from Amazon, we realized that the rods were not included in the package. So, we had to use wooden skewers instead, and that slightly impacted the quality of the final product. 2. We were unaware that there were many scissors in Bullpen and had a scissors shortage at this event.

VIII. **Recommendations:** We recommended changing the purchasing team and emphasizing the importance of materials quality. So, the problem was solved entirely in the next event.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: According to the feedback forms (one was the worst, and five was the best): the rating for this event was 4, and parents were quite satisfied with the experiment and testing. The only thing that could be better was the quality of the building materials.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: According to feedback forms: the challenge of building something, hanging out with other kids, and snacks.
4. Opportunity to improve: Improving the building material quality and using the available tools in Bullpen (e.g., scissors and tape).
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Third General, Fall 2022

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 11/01/22, 11/02/22 (Planning started: 10/30/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 28 Electees: 34

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Daniel Falvo (dfalvo)
Ben King (kingbe)
Zack Goldston (zwgold)
Varun Goyal (varungo)
Alex Kalams (akalams)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dillon Agrawal	(dagra)	Electee	2.00
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Electee	2.00
Obada Albaghda	(obada)	Electee	2.00
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	2.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzif)	Active	2.00
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Electee	2.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	2.00
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	2.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	2.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Electee	2.00
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Electee	2.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	2.00
Vishnu Giri	(vigiri)	Electee	2.00
Aidan Goetsch	(agoettsc)	Electee	2.00
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	2.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	2.00
Camryn Graham	(camryng)	Active	2.00
Delaney Hammond	(delhammo)	Active	2.00
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Electee	2.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	2.00
Adam Jones	(adamjnes)	Electee	2.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	2.00
Ethan Kennaugh	(eske)	Active	2.00
Taeksang Kim	(stozy)	Electee	2.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Electee	2.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	2.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Electee	2.00
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	2.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.00
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Electee	2.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	2.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	2.00
Kari Naga	(knga)	Electee	2.00
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	2.00
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Electee	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	2.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	2.00
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Electee	2.00
Swame Ramesh	(rswame)	Electee	2.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	2.00
Piush Sarkar	(sarkarp)	Electee	2.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	2.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Electee	2.00
Caleb Smith	(calsmith)	Active	2.00
Hannah Soderstrom	(hsoder)	Electee	2.00
Ben Spector	(spectorb)	Active	2.00
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	2.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	2.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	2.00
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Electee	2.00
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	2.00
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	2.00
Richard Wang	(riwa)	Electee	4.00
Kody Whisnant	(kgwhis)	Active	2.00
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Electee	2.00
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Electee	2.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Electee	2.00

II. General Description: This meeting was mandatory for all prospective Tau Beta Pi members. The meeting opened with dinner and announcements. The electee teams then participated in a fun activity. Dinner was provided.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: General announcements for members of the chapter to keep electees and actives up to date with chapter affairs.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Alex Kalams
Email: akalams@umich.edu
Phone#: 615-522-4483

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Officers made announcements regarding upcoming events and electee requirements Electee teams met to compete against each other at jeopardy
2. Items Needed: Electees were reminded of initiation dates and requirements EVP applications were opened Upcoming social and service events were announced Electee team activity - jeopardy
3. Total Cost: \$620

VII. Problems Encountered: No problems were encountered

VIII. Recommendations: Jerusalem Garden is an excellent catering option in the future with great value Ordering roughly 15% extra food based on sign ups seems to be the perfect amount

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Third gen was a total excess without any hiccups
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: One of the electee teams successfully guessing the final jeopardy
4. Opportunity to improve: No recommendations
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Jimu Robot Event for Derby Girls in STEM Club

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 12/03/22 (Planning started: 11/07/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 3 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Zahraa Bazzi (bazzizf)

Jessy Simmer (jessmer)

Tara Radvand (tararad)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Zahraa Bazzi (bazzizf)	Active	5.25

II. General Description: Derby Middle School in Birmingham, MI was looking for women engineers to serve as mentors to female students at their school for a robotics event. The event was for girls who are interested in building and coding robots.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Service opportunity to serve as mentors to female students at their middle school for a robotics event. The event is for girls who are interested in building and coding robots. The goal is to have one volunteer work with one student as they build and code a JIMU robot.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 5.2 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The event was organized by Derby Middle School in Birmingham, MI. The organizer of the event was seeking STEM volunteers from various universities and companies so I shared the event with TBP members.

2. Items Needed: N/A.

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Did not get any additional volunteers through TBP.

VIII. Recommendations: Advertise earlier.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: The event was very successful and it was a great time. The event wasn't organized by TBP, but it's a great service opportunity.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Building and coding a robot with my mentee!
4. Opportunity to improve: Get more volunteers to sign up for the event.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Nature Area Preservation Stewardship Day

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 11/19/22 (Planning started: 11/12/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 8 Electees: 4

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Brittany Rupp (ruppb)

Drew Boughton (drbought)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Obada Albaghadi	(obada)	Electee	3.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	3.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	3.00
Toland Corum	(corumto)	Electee	3.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	3.00
Taeksang Kim	(stozy)	Electee	3.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumdr)	Active	3.00
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Electee	3.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	3.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	3.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	3.00

II. General Description: Members helped maintain Ann Arbor's nature preserves and parks through the removal of invasive species. This event was run through the local parks service.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: To engage the TBP student base with environmental and sustainability volunteering opportunities.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Drew Boughton

Email: drbought@umich.edu

Phone#: 734-292-7663

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Day went great despite temperature.
2. Items Needed: None
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Those who drove separately had a difficult time finding where to park.

VIII. Recommendations: Make all drivers fully aware of parking and meeting locations.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Was colder than previous stewardship day, but everyone seemed to enjoy their time out in the woods.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Cutting down larger honeysuckle.
4. Opportunity to improve: Ensure proper dissemination of parking information.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Natural Area Preservation Day - Bird Hills

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 12/04/22 (Planning started: 11/12/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 3 Electees: 1

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Brittany Rupp (ruppb)

Drew Boughton (drbought)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Electee	3.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	3.00

II. General Description: Members helped maintain Ann Arbor's nature preserves and parks through the removal of invasive species. This event was run through the local parks service.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: This service event provided TBP members the opportunity to give back to the community and help the environment by removing invasive species from a local park.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Workday Coordinator

Email: outreach@a2gov.org

Phone#: 734-794-6627

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The project focused on taking down invasive shrubs/trees from the nature are and breaking them down into pieces to help the decompose.

2. Items Needed: All items needed (saws, gloves, water, etc...) were provided by the natural area preservation group.

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: N/A

VIII. Recommendations: Organize earlier in the semester. Attendance was poor, most likely due to weather and the event being at the end of a busy semester.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Continue in the future. We were able to clear a large area and have a great nature walk around the park.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Getting to cut down all the shrubs. It is good exercise and stress relieving.
4. Opportunity to improve: Start organizing earlier. NAP may take sometime to respond.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Third Actives, Fall 2022

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 11/15/22, 11/16/22 (Planning started: 11/13/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 70 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Ben King (kingbe)
Zack Goldston (zwmgold)
Varun Goyal (varungo)
Alex Kalams (akalams)

Name	(unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Daphne Agapiou	(dagapiou)	Active	2.00
Ibrahim Alnassar	(alnassar)	Active	2.00
Aiden Ascioti	(aasciotti)	Active	2.00
Chirag Bangera	(cbangera)	Active	2.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzifz)	Active	2.00
Michael Benson	(mlbenson)	Active	2.00
Aakash Bharat	(aakashvb)	Active	2.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	2.00
Nick Carr	(nickcarr)	Active	2.00
Jon Chen	(asclkose)	Active	2.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	2.00
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	2.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	2.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
✉️ Angela Deng	(yuanqid)	Active	2.00
Changyu Deng	(dengcy)	Active	2.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Tom Gao	(zimingg)	Active	2.00
Kate Giammalvo	(kgiamm)	Active	2.00
Zack Goldston	(zwmgold)	Active	2.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	2.00
Camryn Graham	(camryng)	Active	2.00
Niloy Gupta	(niloy)	Active	2.00
Delaney Hammond	(delhammo)	Active	2.00
Xunbi Ji	(xunbij)	Active	2.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	2.00
Maddi Kelberman	(mkelb)	Active	2.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Jennifer Kosek	(jkosek)	Active	2.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	2.00
Sangwon Lee	(swrlee)	Active	2.00
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	2.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumdr)	Active	2.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	2.00
Ranadeep Mitra	(ranadeep)	Active	2.00
Anjali Mittal	(mittalan)	Active	2.00
Julia Mocny	(mocnyj)	Active	2.00
Syahidah Mohd Khairi	(syahidah)	Active	2.00
Emma Nigrelli	(enigrell)	Active	2.00
Abby Overbeck	(aoverbec)	Active	2.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	2.00
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.00
Shweta Pati	(patis)	Active	2.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	2.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	2.00
Andrew Plotner	(aplotner)	Active	2.00
Jason Qian	(jaqian)	Active	2.00
Rohit Rothe	(rohitrr)	Active	2.00
Eli Rotman	(erotman)	Active	2.00
Amy Ruan	(awruan)	Active	2.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	2.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	2.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	2.00
Anshul Singhal	(ansinghl)	Active	2.00
Anjali Sivakumar	(anjalids)	Active	2.00
Ben Spector	(spectorb)	Active	2.00
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	2.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	2.00
Frank Wang	(frnkwang)	Active	2.00
✉ Pauline Wang	(wanpau)	Active	2.00
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	2.00
Yuhao Wang	(yuhaow)	Active	2.00
Zikun (ZEE-KWhen) Wang	(zikunw)	Active	2.00
Zach Whalen	(whalenz)	Active	2.00
Kody Whisnant	(kgwhis)	Active	2.00
John Yu	(johnzryu)	Active	2.00
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	2.00

II. General Description: Actives meetings were held in order to conduct chapter business that

requires active members' votes. Electees are not allowed to attend these meetings until they have been inducted into membership. Third Actives was a voting meeting regarding electing electees to membership. Dinner was provided to attendees.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Officer updates for members of the chapters Officers described their positions for those interested in electing Active members voted on which electees to allow to continue to elect

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Alex Kalams

Email: akalams@umich.edu

Phone#: 615-522-4483

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: All electees were allowed to continue electing

2. Items Needed: Officer role descriptions for upcoming elections Votes on electee progress

3. Total Cost: \$567

VII. Problems Encountered: There was some trouble with people voting without properly signing in using the google form. Fortunately, the vote overwhelmingly in one direction so this was not a concern for the outcome of the vote.

VIII. Recommendations: None

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Voting went smoothly and the meeting was able to finished in a reasonable amount of time thanks to the leadership of the officers.

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1

3. Best Part: It was great to see updates on all the electee's progress. I am happy to see that they were all allowed to continue electing

4. Opportunity to improve: None

5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Lunar Lander

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 12/04/22 (Planning started: 11/20/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 9 Electees: 11

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Jessy Simmer (jessmer)

Mojtaba Abdolmaleki (mojtabaa)

Tara Radvand (tararad)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Mojtaba Abdolmaleki	(mojtabaa)	Active	7.00
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Electee	4.00
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	4.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	4.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	5.00
Toland Corum	(corumto)	Electee	4.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	5.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	4.00
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Electee	4.00
Kari Naga	(knaga)	Electee	4.00
Shweta Pati	(patis)	Active	4.00
Andrew Plotner	(aplotner)	Active	0.00
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Electee	0.00
Tara Radvand	(tararad)	Active	12.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Electee	4.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	4.00
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Electee	4.00
Richard Wang	(riwa)	Electee	5.00
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Electee	4.00

II. General Description: The program is designed around learn-design-build-test modules. The modules cover topics in physics, chemistry, and mathematics, along with their engineering applications such as bridges, circuits, and water rockets. The design-build activities involve groups of 4–5 school students working together with 2–3 college students. This structure provides a fun-filled and creative learning experience for school students, and also creates opportunities for college students to share their experience with learning engineering. Students learned about the history of space exploration and built a lunar lander. The students aimed to make a lander that could survive a large drop competition.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: This event allowed members to become leaders and teachers in the community for elementary school-aged children. As members led children, they promoted a well-rounded education and lifelong learning. Also, they got familiarized with active learning methods through experiments. This experience is particularly vital for graduate students applying for academic jobs. The engaging activities for lower-income students, emphasis on promoting the event for female students, and updating the taught materials/slides to depict the scientific achievements of underrepresented groups contributed to Michigan Gamma's diversity, equity, and inclusion initiative.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Tara Radvand
Title: K-12 Officer
Email: tararad@umich.edu
Phone#: 765-714-9792

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 14 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

- 1. General Comments:** The program is designed around actively (through question and answer) learning the science behind phenomena such as physics rules and then implementing it in designing, building, re-building, and testing the built object. The hands-on activities in MindSet are designed for third-fifth graders, where they work in groups of 2-4 under the direct supervision of 1-2 college students. Project leaders and chairs train the college volunteers to help students understand the reasons for the failure/success of their testing and familiarize them with STEM and what each discipline does. This structure provides a fun-filled, active, and creative learning experience for school students and creates opportunities for college students to share their experience with learning to engineer.
- 2. Items Needed:** Marshmallows, cotton balls, popsicle sticks, straws, rubber bands, cardboard, plastic cup, paper, tape, and scissors.
- 3. Total Cost:** \$102

VII. Problems Encountered: We faced only a minor problem, as follows: The event location on the website was not updated for volunteers. So, some volunteers were slightly confused.

VIII. Recommendations: I recommend that only one chair take care of room reservations and that the same person updates the website to avoid confusion. On a separate note, I would update the slides to provide more discussion on ways to practically have a more stable landing at the end of the session. Having this discussion at the end of the session would help students get creative in building their lunar lander without being biased by the samples I show in the discussion and learn the science behind the experiment and how to do better at the end of the session.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: This event was a success because we observed that students had lots of fun (clapping, cheering, counting, and laughing) while building great samples. Also, according to the parents' feedback form, the rating for this event is 5. Additionally, we did not have any problems we previously encountered (lessons learned perfectly).
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: According to feedback, building, testing (dropping the lander from the 2nd to 4th floors), receiving the right help from the right people while doing hands-on activities creatively, and snacks were the best parts of this event.
4. Opportunity to improve: Setting clear objectives for the end project (e.g., how it should land) and a debrief at the end would be the best candidates for the next time we do this experiment.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Board Game Night

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 11/30/22, 12/01/22 (Planning started: 11/22/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 3 Electees: 14

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Jed Pienkny (jpienkny)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	1.50
Aakash Bharat	(aakashvb)	Active	1.50
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	1.50
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdelala)	Electee	1.50
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Electee	1.50
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Electee	1.50
Jennifer Kosek	(jkosek)	Active	1.50
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Electee	1.50
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Electee	1.50
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Electee	1.50
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Electee	1.50
Piush Sarkar	(sarkarp)	Electee	1.50
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Electee	1.50
Richard Wang	(riwa)	Electee	1.50
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Electee	1.50
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Electee	1.50

II. General Description: Members were able to play board games and card games with friends. Diner was provided.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Socials

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: FUN

2. Items Needed: GAMES

3. Total Cost: \$140

VII. Problems Encountered: NONE people don't have lives tho

VIII. Recommendations: MORE FOOD

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: GOOD

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1

3. Best Part: I WON IN CATAN

4. Opportunity to improve: PERFECT

5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

NAP Stewardship Event at Bandeemer Park

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 12/03/22 (Planning started: 11/24/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 3 Electees: 3

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Brittany Rupp (ruppb)

Drew Boughton (drbought)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	3.00
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Electee	3.00
Swame Ramesh	(rswame)	Electee	3.00
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	3.00

II. General Description: Members helped maintain Ann Arbor's nature preserves and parks through the removal of invasive species. This event was run through the local parks service.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Service hours

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 3 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: We all went to the Bandemeer Park and cut off the invasive plant species.

2. Items Needed: Carpool

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None.

VIII. Recommendations: Contacting the NAP coordinators as we do and scheduling more such service events over the semester.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Very good event for electees and actives to complete their service hour requirements.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Energy bars after 60-90 minutes of hard work.
4. Opportunity to improve: None.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

W23 Elections

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 03/28/23, 03/29/23 (Planning started: 11/27/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 65 Electees: 19

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)
 Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
 Sirawit Shimpalee (sirawits)
 Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Daphne Agapiou	(dagapiou)	Active	1.50
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Active	4.00
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	2.50
Aiden Ascioti	(aasciotti)	Active	1.00
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	1.50
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	5.50
Michael Benson	(mlbenson)	Active	5.50
Nathan Biggs	(biggsn)	Active	5.50
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	5.50
Joao Casares	(casares)	Active	1.50
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Active	4.50
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	3.50
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	5.50
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	1.50
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	4.50
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.50
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Electee	5.50
✉️ Angela Deng	(yuanqid)	Active	5.50
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Electee	4.50
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Electee	5.50
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Active	3.50
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	5.50
Nikki France	(nfrance)	Active	1.50
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	5.50
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Active	2.50
Aidan Goettsch	(agoettsc)	Electee	1.50
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	5.50
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	4.50

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Jack Gremel	(jagremel)	Active	5.50
Niloy Gupta	(niloy)	Active	5.50
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Active	1.50
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Electee	5.50
Xunbi Ji	(xunbij)	Active	2.50
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	5.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	5.50
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	4.50
Jennifer Kosek	(jkosek)	Active	3.50
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Electee	5.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Electee	5.50
Peijing Li	(peijli)	Active	5.50
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	1.50
Amy Liu	(amyzliu)	Active	6.50
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	5.50
Meghna Mahesh	(meghnam)	Active	5.50
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumd)	Active	2.50
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	3.50
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	5.50
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Electee	1.50
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	5.50
Ranadeep Mitra	(ranadeep)	Active	5.50
Anjali Mittal	(mittalan)	Active	1.50
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	5.50
Dan Muccio	(drmuccio)	Active	1.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	5.50
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	5.50
Joshua Ning	(joshning)	Active	1.50
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Active	1.50
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	5.50
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	2.50
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	1.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	5.50
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	1.50
Jack Phillips	(jackjhp)	Active	5.50
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	3.50
Molly Rodgers	(merodger)	Active	5.50
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	5.50
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	5.50
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Active	1.50
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	2.50
Nick Simon	(npsimon)	Active	1.50
Anjali Sivakumar	(anjalids)	Active	2.50
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	4.50

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Active	2.50
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	5.50
Agatha Ta-Goetz	(tata)	Active	4.50
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	2.50
Chris Tapia	(tapiac)	Active	1.50
Frank Wang	(frnkwang)	Active	2.50
☒ Pauline Wang	(wanpau)	Active	1.50
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	5.50
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Electee	2.50
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Electee	5.50
Frank Zlomek	(zlomekf)	Active	4.50

II. General Description: This session of Elections was dedicated primarily toward electing members of the Officer Corps and Advisor Board for the W23 semester. All actives and electees were allowed and invited to come and vote on candidates.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Elect officers and advisors for W23 Semester

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Alex Kalams
Email: akalams@umich.edu
Phone#: 615-522-4483

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.5 (Total Duration for 5 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Elections ran without a hitch

2. Items Needed: Officer and Advisor electionsDeadline reminders for electees

3. Total Cost: \$600

VII. Problems Encountered: There was some confusion over what was being voted on at times which slowed down procedures, but that confusion was quickly resolved

VIII. Recommendations: None

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: The first session went great

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1

3. Best Part: - Seeing all the candidates who ran and getting to hear their speeches was super exciting,

4. Opportunity to improve: None
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 24: The F22 and W23 Officer Corps and Advisory Board.

Fourth Grad Electee Team Meeting

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 12/04/22, 12/05/22 (Planning started: 11/28/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 3

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	1.00
Swame Ramesh	(rswame)	Electee	1.00
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	1.00

II. General Description: This event was an opportunity for Grad Electees to meet each other and learn more about the electing process. This was the last such event of the semester.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Grad electee team meeting to prepare for the general meetings.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: We met at Paneea Bread to prepare the TBP Yell for the fifth general meeting. 3 grad electees attended the meeting.

2. Items Needed: Laptop

3. Total Cost: \$58

VII. Problems Encountered: None at the meeting.

VIII. Recommendations: I scheduled the meeting during the officer meeting (forgetting about it during planning). Please keep in mind not to do that so that important issues can be discussed and GVP's opinions can also be accommodated.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: The grad meeting went well.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Preparing for the Yell.
4. Opportunity to improve: The clash with the officer meeting.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Fifth General, Fall 22

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 12/06/22, 12/07/22 (Planning started: 12/04/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 18 Electees: 33

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Ben King (kingbe)
 Zack Goldston (zgold)
 Varun Goyal (varungo)
 Alex Kalams (akalams)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dillon Agrawal	(dagra)	Electee	2.00
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Electee	2.00
Obada Albaghdadi	(obada)	Electee	2.00
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	2.00
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	2.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	2.00
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Electee	2.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	2.00
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	2.00
Toland Corum	(corumto)	Electee	2.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Electee	2.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	2.00
Vishnu Giri	(vigiri)	Electee	2.00
Aidan Goettsch	(agoettsc)	Electee	2.00
Zack Goldston	(zgold)	Active	2.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	2.00
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Electee	2.00
Adviqa Jhingran	(adviqa)	Electee	2.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	2.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	2.00
Taeksang Kim	(stozy)	Electee	2.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.00
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	2.00
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	2.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Brennen McManus	(mcmansb)	Electee	2.00
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Electee	2.00
Ranadeep Mitra	(ranadeep)	Active	2.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	2.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	2.00
Kari Naga	(knaga)	Electee	2.00
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Electee	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	2.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	2.00
Swame Ramesh	(rswame)	Electee	2.00
Piush Sarkar	(sarkarp)	Electee	2.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	2.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Electee	2.00
Hannah Soderstrom	(hsoder)	Electee	2.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	2.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	2.00
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	2.00
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	2.00
Richard Wang	(riwa)	Electee	2.00
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Electee	2.00
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Electee	2.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Electee	2.00

II. General Description: This meeting was mandatory for all prospective Tau Beta Pi members. The meeting opened with dinner and announcements. The electee teams then participated in a fun activity as part of the competition for Outstanding Electee Team. Dinner was provided.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Final general meeting for members of chapter to get updates from leadership.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Alex Kalams

Email: akalams@umich.edu

Phone#: 615-522-4483

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This meeting was the final chance for officers to give updates about upcoming events, along with reminders about upcoming deadlines.

2. Items Needed: Officer Updates Banquet sign up reminder Election deadline reminder
Electee team yells/presentations
3. Total Cost: \$600

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: None

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: This meeting was a success. The electee teams really went all out with this year and had some great presentations.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: The electee teams did a great job on their presentations. I was a really big fan of one of the team's presentation on hot takes.
4. Opportunity to improve: None
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

First Actives, Winter 2023

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 01/10/23, 01/11/23 (Planning started: 12/18/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 71 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)
 Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
 Sirawit Shimpalee (sirawits)
 Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Daphne Agapiou	(dagapiou)	Active	2.00
Dillon Agrawal	(dagra)	Active	2.00
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Active	2.00
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Active	2.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	2.00
Aakash Bharat	(aakashvb)	Active	2.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	2.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	2.00
Toland Corum	(corumto)	Active	2.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	2.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Active	2.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Tom Gao	(zimingg)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	2.00
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	2.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	2.00
Niloy Gupta	(niloy)	Active	2.00
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Active	2.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	2.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	2.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	2.00
Maddi Kelberman	(mkelb)	Active	2.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.00
Jennifer Kosek	(jkosek)	Active	2.00
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	2.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	2.00
Ben Levy	(balevy)	Active	2.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	2.00
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Active	2.00
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	2.00
Steven Mamolo	(smamolo)	Active	2.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.00
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Active	2.00
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Active	2.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	2.00
Ranadeep Mitra	(ranadeep)	Active	2.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	2.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	2.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Active	2.00
Emma Nigrelli	(enigrell)	Active	2.00
Abby Overbeck	(aoverbec)	Active	2.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	2.00
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	2.00
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Active	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	2.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	2.00
Andrew Plotner	(aplotner)	Active	2.00
Jason Qian	(jaqian)	Active	2.00
Eli Rotman	(erotman)	Active	2.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	2.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	2.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	2.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	2.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Active	2.00
Ben Spector	(spectorb)	Active	2.00
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	2.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	2.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Active	2.00
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Active	2.00
Frank Wang	(frnkwang)	Active	2.00
✉ Pauline Wang	(wanpau)	Active	2.00
Richard Wang	(riwa)	Active	2.00
Yuhao Wang	(yuhaow)	Active	2.00
Zikun (ZEE-KWhen) Wang	(zikunw)	Active	2.00
Zach Whalen	(whalenz)	Active	2.00
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Active	2.00
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	2.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Active	2.00

II. General Description: First Actives was the first voting meeting of the semester. Electees were not permitted to attend as only active members are allowed to conduct and vote on chapter business. Dinner was provided.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: This meeting related to TBP objectives in that it addressed member engagement, provided chapter leadership, improved organization of the chapter, and improved chapter operations. Member engagement was fulfilled in that all active members were called upon to attend this meeting. Leadership, organization, operations, and engagement were all fulfilled in that this meeting fully introduced the officer corps to all active members, where each officer addressed their respective activities and conveyed their individual expectations to the actives in regards to the actives' role in the organization. In particular, actives were made aware of the requirements needed to maintain active status in the organization and were given instructions on how to conduct the upcoming interviews on electees.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 26 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Meeting ran smoothly. All officers were able to convey the information they wanted to. Actives behaved and participated in a manner expected of them during the presentation given in the meeting. Food provided was enough for anyone who wanted seconds to receive them, and the process from distributing food via organized buffet was mostly smooth.
2. Items Needed: Hummus, fatoush, cucumbers, carrots, shish kabob sandwiches, chicken kabob sandwiches, falafel patties
3. Total Cost: \$914

VII. Problems Encountered: Food did not arrive in a sufficiently timely manner, resulting in a slightly cluttered distribution space as people were lining up for food.

VIII. Recommendations: Order and pickup food in a manner to give plenty of time to setup up food for distribution, depending on the vendor providing food.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Meeting itself went as smoothly overall. Presentation was effectively conveyed and organization of food distribution was effective aside from a few issues. Improvements are mentioned in recommendations.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1

3. Best Part: Announcements were efficient as all officers were ready to present. Transportation of food was easy to move into meeting room. Food break also gave everyone the chance to socialize.
4. Opportunity to improve: There were plenty of forms for people to fill out by scanning QR codes. However, those codes were not on for long enough for everyone to scan them in order to preserve flow of presentation. As a result, links to forms could be given to members through the website, email, or Slack channels.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

One-on-One Tutoring W23

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 01/05/23, 05/01/23 (Planning started: 12/23/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Alex Li (alexjli)

Name (unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
------------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: TBP members tutor students for many science, math, and engineering classes. There were options for 1-on-1 tutoring, drop-in group tutoring, and repeated tutoring sessions.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Our chapter provides free 1-on-1 tutoring for Michigan Engineering students in the courses they need help with. Required component of our service hours in order to initiate at Mi-G.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Alexander Li

Email: alexjli@umich.edu

Phone#: 859-587-2467

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 2770.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Helped over 50 students this semester with courses ranging from introductory ones like calculus 1/2 to high level CS classes.

2. Items Needed: No monetary costs. Tutors should review the course's material beforehand before helping the student.

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: As has been the case for many semesters, we have a shortage in tutors available, most of which are our electees. I tried reaching out to a couple other honors societies this semester, but only one responded (with one extra student from them signing up

to tutor). Perhaps this could be solved with better initial engagement to potential electees at the start of each semester, but it's definitely something that doesn't have a simple solution.

VIII. Recommendations: Improve electee engagement + investigate other potential sources of tutors. Initial engagement with electees was already mentioned above, but we should also follow-up with other engineering orgs to see if anyone from there would be willing to help us out.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Students sometimes were left without a tutor, which was unfortunate, but those who were paired did not express any major concerns.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Electees feeling proud of their service to the community and our students receiving the help they require to succeed.
4. Opportunity to improve: More tutor signups!
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Cub Scouts Day (W23)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/19/23 (Planning started: 01/01/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 14 Electees: 7

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)
Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
Drew Boughton (drbought)
Colin Davidson (codavids)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	15.00
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Electee	4.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	8.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	15.00
Nikki France	(nfrance)	Active	6.50
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	15.00
Jack Gremel	(jagremel)	Electee	7.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	8.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	7.00
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	4.00
Amy Liu	(amyzliu)	Electee	4.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	15.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	15.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	8.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	10.00
Jack Phillips	(jackjhp)	Electee	8.00
Molly Rodgers	(merodger)	Electee	7.50
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	7.00
Nick Simon	(npsimon)	Electee	8.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Active	7.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Active	8.00

II. General Description: Cub Scouts Day is an activity hosted by TBP that allows local Cub Scouts to come to campus and earn their Engineering Adventure badge. It brings in scout packs from across the state of Michigan every semester. We teach the scouts about material related to the practice of engineering in a fun environment through numerous activities, including an electronics module, a bridge module, a catapult module, and the most popular

part: designing a landing craft for an egg drop! We also had the Scouts fill out a questionnaire that obligated them to speak to several different types of engineers on what kind of things they do and projects they work on.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Inspire the next generation of engineers within the community.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Colin Davidson
Email: codavids@umich.edu
Phone#: 269-312-2389

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 30 Participating: 7.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This semester's event was unique in a few ways. With much of the CSD team at Honors Brunch, we had to put extra effort into preparation to ensure everything was ready to go. There were 24 scouts in attendance this semester. This is lower than typical events, but allowed us to work more with each scout and personalize the experience.
2. Items Needed: There are numerous required items to run the event. The drive folder, transition report, etc will provide much greater detail here. In general 1. Module supplies
2. Logistic materials - signs, tape, etc
3. Snacks
4. Plenty of other items
3. Total Cost: \$800

VII. Problems Encountered: We were late for making the event live on the BSA website which resulted in lower attendance.

VIII. Recommendations: 1. Read the transition report 2. Start preparing early 3. Recruit a great leadership team Get on BSA to put the event up early ASAP

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Based on parent feedback, this was a very successful event. The module leaders and volunteers all did an amazing job and it was awesome to see the engagement throughout the day.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Watching the scouts get excited about the activities. We also had great participation from volunteers this year.

4. Opportunity to improve: Communication to both the parents and volunteers can always be a little bit better. Marketing to parents has a large area to expand and grow the event. This should be a focus moving forward. We may also look into a few module updates such as new kits for the circuits module.

5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 25: Scouts and Tau Bates enjoy our Bridges! activity. Surprisingly, it was a good time despite being about statics!

MindSET: Water Bottle Rockets

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 02/19/23 (Planning started: 01/02/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 9 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Jessy Simmer (jessmer)
Matt Kukucka (mkukucka)
Mojtaba Abdolmaleki (mojtabaa)
Tara Radvand (tararad)
Yicheng Tang (tangyc)

Name (unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
harkirat Arora (hsarora)	Active	0.00
Yash Cherivirala (yaswanth)	Active	4.00
Alex de la Iglesia (alexdel)	Active	5.00
Tara Radvand (tararad)	Active	4.00
Shiyu Sun (shiyusun)	Active	4.00
Yicheng Tang (tangyc)	Active	4.00

II. General Description: The program is designed around learn-design-build-test modules. The modules cover topics in physics, chemistry, and mathematics, along with their engineering applications such as bridges, circuits, and water rockets. The design-build activities involve groups of 4–5 school students working together with 2–3 college students. This structure provides a fun-filled and creative learning experience for school students, and also creates opportunities for college students to share their experience with learning engineering. Students learned Newton's Laws, energy conservation, and forces that move a rocket to design a water bottle rocket. They then competed for launch distance.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Providing service to the community through hands-on activity for 3rd-5th graders to learn physics rules via active learning. Learning objectives: Newton's Laws, energy conservation, and forces that move a rocket. Challenge: students design and build a water bottle rocket that will land farther from the starting point under the supervision of volunteers. Focus: the main focus is promoting active learning, meaning that volunteers and leaders walk children through finding the correct answer by asking questions.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Tara Radvand
Title: K-12 Officer
Email: tararad@umich.edu
Phone#: 765-714-9792

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 10 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: In this event, 17 students learned and reviewed some basic physics rules related to rockets launch. Then, they answered the questions in the worksheets to reinforce what they learned under the supervision of volunteers and their parents/guardians. Next, they designed and built a water-bottle rocket in groups of 3 to 4. Afterward, rockets were tested outside the building by volunteers (for safety reasons). Lastly, students returned to have a brief reflection session to share what they learned from their experiment, what went well, what could be improved, and what they learned from other groups. Students also helped with the after-session cleaning to exercise discipline. After the session, two feedback forms were sent to volunteers and parents/guardians. Responses were very positive.
2. Items Needed: Per rocket: 1 Plastic bottle 1 Cork Baking soda Vinegar 1 Napkin 1 sheet of cardstock (for nosecone) 1 sheet of cardboard (for fins) Scissors Duct Tape
3. Total Cost: \$160

VII. **Problems Encountered:** According to the feedback forms, parking was a problem for some families. Additionally, some parents felt that children were distracted by snacks. Accordingly, we dedicated a specific time for snacking in the following events and gave more detailed instructions for finding parking.

VIII. **Recommendations:** The event's timing was close to midterm exams, which resulted in fewer volunteers. It would be nice to ensure we do not put events close to exam deadlines to have more volunteers. Additionally, I recommend that the timing for this event change to 3 hours so students can have a second round of building and learn from their mistakes.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall, the event went perfectly. The launch of the water bottle rockets went excellent, and the students had tons of fun.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Launch went great, with one of them moving about 10 meters! Also, worksheets were beneficial for the students to understand force and energy concepts.
4. Opportunity to improve: Picking event dates more carefully so that we have enough volunteers.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Merit Badge Day

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/25/23 (Planning started: 01/04/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 17 Electees: 4

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)
Yash Cherivirala (yaswanth)
Jacob Miller (millerjv)
Drew Boughton (drbought)
Tara Radvand (tararad)
Hunter Muench (hmuench)
Yicheng Tang (tangyc)
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman (iamr)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzifz)	Active	7.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	15.00
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Active	1.50
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	1.50
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	8.00
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	8.00
Jack Gremel	(jagremel)	Electee	8.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	8.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	7.00
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Active	8.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	15.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	15.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	15.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Active	10.00
Joshua Ning	(joshning)	Electee	5.00
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	8.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	1.50
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	8.00

II. General Description: TBP actives and electees worked closely with boy scouts from local troops, helping the scouts earn four STEM-focussed merit badges: engineering, computers, programming, and technology. We also organized a student panel composed of Tau Beta Pi Members to discuss what it means to be an engineering student at the UM CoE.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Outreach to community to promote STEM in K-12

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Jacob Miller

Title: Merit Badge Day Chair

Email: millerjv@umich.edu

Phone#: 989-430-5809

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 10 Participating: 7.5 (Event Duration)

3. Other Organizations Participating: tbp.meritbadge@umich.edu

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Scouts thoroughly enjoyed the event and seemed to learn a lot. Feedback was primarily positive.
2. Items Needed: Arduino kits, a couple PCs, enough pizza to feed a dozen scouts, some wiring for soldering
3. Total Cost: \$100

VII. Problems Encountered: Pizza delivery not on time. Technical difficulties with Zoom.

VIII. Recommendations: Order lunch earlier (like 11:00am), and send a chair to go pick up directly. Cottage Inn has shown a trend of being late. Update IP discussion to more recent events. Include more info on scoutbook in parent email.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Excellent event, every scout completed all the requirements and showed growth in their understanding of the topics.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Each scout finding an activity that thoroughly engaged them and really got them interested in STEM moving forward.
4. Opportunity to improve: Work on timing of modules to prevent scouts from getting bored.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

NROTC Group Tutoring W23

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 01/23/23, 01/24/23, 01/25/23, 01/26/23, 01/30/23, 01/31/23, 02/01/23, 02/02/23, 02/06/23, 02/07/23, 02/08/23, 02/09/23, 02/13/23, 02/14/23, 02/15/23, 02/16/23, 02/20/23, 02/21/23, 02/22/23, 02/23/23, 03/06/23, 03/07/23, 03/08/23, 03/09/23, 03/13/23, 03/14/23, 03/15/23, 03/16/23, 03/20/23, 03/21/23, 03/22/23, 03/23/23, 03/27/23, 03/28/23, 03/29/23, 03/30/23, 04/03/23, 04/04/23, 04/05/23, 04/06/23, 04/10/23, 04/11/23, 04/12/23, 04/13/23
(Planning started: 01/08/23)
2. Project was new?: No
3. Number of participants:
Active Members: 7 Electees: 9
4. Names of participants:
Project Leader(s) (uniquname)
Alex Li (alexjli)

Name	(uniquname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Nick Carr	(nickcarr)	Active	2.00
Joao Casares	(casares)	Electee	2.00
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	9.00
Nikki France	(nfrance)	Active	2.00
Chun-Wei Kong	(chunwei)	Electee	8.00
Peijing Li	(peijli)	Active	3.00
Meghna Mahesh	(meghnam)	Electee	3.00
Emma Nigrelli	(enigrell)	Active	1.00
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	1.00
Ruben Orsolle	(rorsolle)	Electee	6.00
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	1.00
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	5.00
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	2.00
Chris Tapia	(tapiac)	Electee	1.00
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: TBP members tutored Navy Reserve Officers' Training Corps students in Math and Physics courses. These sessions were mostly group tutoring.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: This event provides electees/actives of our chapter an opportunity to serve students of the Naval ROTC battalion through group tutoring sessions during their study hours on Mondays and Wednesdays.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Alexander Li

Email: alexjli@umich.edu

Phone#: 859-587-2467

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 88.0 (Total Duration for 11 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Tutors from TBP answer students' questions and assist with solving problems for mainly introductory courses like Calculus I, II and Physics I, II (MATH 115, 116, PHYSICS 140, 240). Tutors also answer questions related to other courses to the best of their ability.
2. Items Needed: Tutors bring respectful behavior to each session and provide assistance as needed. No material items needed.
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. **Problems Encountered:** I had some email communications issues with the NROTC's academic officer, Hope Peck (mostly a lack of responses to my emails), that were later resolved with Liam Power's help (the previous academic officer) and through texting with her. No one signed up for slots on Monday (although that may just be a result of our first general meeting being the previous week and lack of promotion to members).

VIII. **Recommendations:** The wardroom didn't seem too busy when I stopped by from around 5-5:30PM on Wednesday. Perhaps reduce to one tutor during less busy hours.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Although there was a lot of snow Wednesday, all tutors who signed up showed up. Session went well overall — did not hear of any problems.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Helping fellow students academically and getting to know them better.
4. Opportunity to improve: Figure out ways to encourage battalion student turnout with their academic officer so our tutors are more useful to more people.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Tuesday Breakfast Parties W23

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 02/07/23, 03/07/23, 03/21/23, 04/04/23, 04/11/23 (Planning started: 01/08/23)
2. Project was new?: No
3. Number of participants:
Active Members: 17 Electees: 3
4. Names of participants:
Project Leader(s) (uniqname)
Alex Li (alexjli)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	5.00
Aiden Ascioti	(aascioti)	Active	1.00
Aakash Bharat	(aakashvb)	Active	1.00
Joao Casares	(casares)	Electee	2.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Active	0.50
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Active	2.50
Girish Chandar Ganesan	(girishg)	Active	4.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	3.00
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	4.50
Peijing Li	(peijli)	Active	5.00
Dan Muccio	(drmuccio)	Active	1.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	2.50
Ruben Orsolle	(rorsolle)	Electee	1.50
Jason Qian	(jaqian)	Active	0.50
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Active	1.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	0.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Active	1.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	2.00
Zikun (ZEE-KWhen) Wang	(zikunw)	Active	1.50

II. General Description: The Breakfast Party is an event series where TBP gives out free bagels and coffee to the UM engineering community. These events are held on a semi-weekly basis, generally on Tuesday morning. There were a total of 16 Breakfast Parties held throughout the school year. Generally, we ordered between 25 and 37 dozen bagels for each event, and only had one or two events where there were leftovers.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Advertise our chapter, especially our tutoring services (encouraging students to sign up if they would like help). Provide free bagels to students who walk by to help brighten their day.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Alexander Li

Email: alexjli@umich.edu

Phone#: 859-587-2467

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 3 Participating: 12.5 (Total Duration for 5 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Event went well overall — volunteers showed up, got a lot of participation from students (especially during the 10-10:30 AM rush). The Aero chair walked by as well to give us encouragement and recommendations.
2. Items Needed: Bought: 192 bagels, two boxes of cream cheese, 384 plates (will use more for future parties) Brought: tablecloth, knives, more plates.
3. Total Cost: \$184

VII. Problems Encountered: Could not find our banner — probably went missing. Had trouble finding the tablecloth as well, but Vance (one of my chairs) found it in one of our initiation boxes.

VIII. Recommendations: Tablecloth needs to be washed. Ran out of bagels rather early (around 11:25) — maybe buy more for the next event.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Event went well. No problems encountered during the event other than missing the banner.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Getting to know the volunteers I worked with and making the day go better for students.
4. Opportunity to improve: Probably don't need five volunteers for each hour, especially the 9:30-10AM portion (could cut down to 3 or 4).
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 26: Tau Bates enjoying an early morning handing out snacks to students.

TBP Honors Brunch Committee

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 02/06/23, 02/07/23, 02/08/23, 02/09/23, 02/10/23 (Planning started: 01/09/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 15 Electees: 1

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Colin Davidson (codavids)

Kevin Masel (kmasel)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Active	9.50
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	5.50
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	6.50
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.50
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	6.50
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	5.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	6.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.50
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	6.50
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	5.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	6.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	5.50
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	6.50
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	5.50
Chris Tapia	(tapiac)	Electee	5.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Active	6.00

II. General Description: Tau Beta Pi assisted the Office of Student Affairs to host the annual College of Engineering Leaders and Honors Brunch. This brunch recognized students of outstanding academic and character within the university. During this event, volunteers took part in the applicant selection process by serving on Honors Brunch Committees. Volunteers reviewed the applicants resume's and interviewed them over Zoom with two other committee members. Following the interview, the committee recommended the award winner(s).

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: To honor the achievements of students within the College of Engineering. Highlighting the academic achievements and the exemplary character of students nominated by their respective departments.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 20 Participating: 31.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Everything went smoothly since planning started early.
2. Items Needed: Zoom/google calendar rooms/invites
3. Total Cost: \$275

VII. Problems Encountered: N/A

VIII. Recommendations: Follow updated transition report. Start planning early and don't hesitate to reach out to OSA for help.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Everything went smoothly the week of
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Seeing all of the planning come together to achieve a well-polished finished product
4. Opportunity to improve: Reach out to more people earlier so there is no sense of scrambling for committee members
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

New Initiatives W23

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 01/24/23, 01/25/23, 02/07/23, 02/08/23, 03/07/23, 03/08/23, 04/11/23 (Planning started: 01/12/23)
2. Project was new?: No
3. Number of participants:
Active Members: 44 Electees: 12
4. Names of participants:
Project Leader(s) (uniqname)
Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
Hunter Muench (hmuench)
Advika Jhingran (advikaj)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Daphne Agapiou	(dagapiou)	Active	2.00
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Active	1.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	2.00
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Active	2.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	4.00
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Electee	2.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	3.00
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	4.00
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Active	1.00
John Ciurla	(jciurla)	Electee	1.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	4.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Active	3.00
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	3.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	4.00
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	2.00
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	3.00
Niloy Gupta	(niloy)	Active	1.00
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	4.00
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Electee	2.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	3.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	4.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	3.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	1.00
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	3.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	1.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	3.00
Amy Liu	(amyzliu)	Electee	3.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	1.00
Steven Mamolo	(smamolo)	Active	4.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	4.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	4.00
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Active	1.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	3.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	4.00
Dan Muccio	(drmuccio)	Active	1.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	4.00
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	2.00
Ruben Orsolle	(rorsolle)	Electee	1.00
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	3.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	4.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	1.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	1.00
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Active	1.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	3.00
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	2.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	3.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Active	1.00
Ben Spector	(spectorb)	Active	3.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	4.00
Chris Tapia	(tapiac)	Electee	2.00
Frank Wang	(frnkwang)	Active	1.00
Yuhao Wang	(yuhaow)	Active	2.00
Fulei Wuchu	(fwuchu)	Electee	2.00
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	4.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Active	3.00

II. General Description: Meetings held throughout the semester that were used to discuss various areas of the chapter that require discussion or improvement. Members voiced opinions on what they see for the future of MI-Gamma as well as how the chapter can start making changes now. Topics covered by this New Initiatives meeting: the Website

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: At this meeting, we brainstormed ways to improve the chapter by discussing the modality of meetings as well as types of service and professional development events members would like to see.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Advika Jhingran
 Email: advikaj@umich.edu
 Phone#: 703-459-0508

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 4 Participating: 4.0 (Total Duration for 4 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: It was a pretty successful meeting; many ideas were pitched for service and pd events. Additionally, the hybrid and in person modalities were thoroughly discussed.
2. Items Needed: Food from Jerusalem Gardens
3. Total Cost: \$524

VII. **Problems Encountered:** There were a couple of issues regarding the mic and people talking while others were presenting (only for a couple of minutes though).

VIII. **Recommendations:** Have multiple sources of audio in case one goes wrong.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: A lot of really great points were made about hybrid vs. virtual as well as nuanced suggestions like requiring a certain number of meetings attended by a member to be in person. Many new ideas for service and professional development events were also given.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Hearing everyone present their ideas!
4. Opportunity to improve: A slightly smaller room might help people hear each other better.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

University of Michigan Science Olympiad Volunteers

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 02/18/23, 02/19/23 (Planning started: 01/23/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 20 Electees: 11

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Daniel Pert (dpert)

Drew Boughton (drbought)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	4.50
Chirag Bangera	(cbangera)	Active	12.50
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzif)	Active	4.50
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	13.00
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Electee	11.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	9.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Active	9.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	9.00
Girish Chandar Ganesan	(girishg)	Active	4.50
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	4.50
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	10.00
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Electee	4.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	9.00
Maddi Kelberman	(mkelb)	Active	4.50
Amy Liu	(amyzliu)	Electee	10.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumd)	Active	8.00
Brennen McManus	(mcmanusb)	Active	9.00
Syahidah Mohd Khairi	(syahidah)	Active	4.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	9.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Active	5.00
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	4.50
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	8.00
Jack Phillips	(jackjhp)	Electee	4.50
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Active	9.00
Molly Rodgers	(merodger)	Electee	4.50
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	8.00
Anjali Sivakumar	(anjalids)	Active	9.00
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	4.50
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	11.00
Frank Zlomek	(zlomekf)	Electee	9.00

II. General Description: University of Michigan Science Olympiad is an annual science olympiad invitational that attracts hundreds of students from across the country. They require over 400+ volunteers on average and TBP has traditionally been a big help to their operations. Typical jobs included registrations, grading presentations, running awards, and, of course, seeing students excited about STEM!

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: University of Michigan Science Olympiad's largest event of the academic year brings over one thousand middle and high students from across the country to compete in STEM-related activities. We have traditionally been a sponsor of UMSO through volunteers and have continued that partnership with this event.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: UMSO Human Resources
Email: hr.umichsciolyst@umich.edu

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 4 Participating: 12.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The event was very well organized and all eligible TBP volunteers received a position for multiple hours. Even those that technically missed the official registration deadline were accommodated and able to volunteer. Volunteers generally enjoyed the events that they helped run.
2. Items Needed: A background check filed with the university and Children on Campus training were required for all volunteers to participate.
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: During the lead-up to the event, some people wanted to volunteer that hadn't officially registered for the event by the formal deadline (January 30th). Since this deadline is in place to perform background checks and all TBP members have a background check with the university, this was resolved and they were able to volunteer.

VIII. Recommendations: Using dynamic sign-in methods, like taking a selfie, to help keep track of hours across multiple shifts.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: The event was very beneficial to TBP volunteers in terms of hours and engagement with the activities they helped run.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Getting to volunteer for the awards ceremony.
4. Opportunity to improve: Sooner notice of registration deadlines would've saved a lot of time spent getting those that didn't register in time cleared to volunteer.

5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Merit Badge Day/Banquet Planning Activities

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/11/23 (Planning started: 01/29/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 9 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)
Yash Cherivirala (yaswanth)
Jacob Miller (millerjv)
Drew Boughton (drbought)
Tara Radvand (tararad)
Hunter Muench (hmuench)
Yicheng Tang (tangyc)
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman (iamr)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	5.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	5.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	5.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	5.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	5.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Active	5.00

II. General Description: In this event, members discussed the merit badge day event modules, improved the slides/activities to better fit the updated badge requirements and give the scouts an enhanced experience.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Outreach to Local K-12 community with an emphasis on spreading awareness of STEM opportunities

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Yaswanth Kumar Cherivirala

Title: Merit Badge Day Chair

Email: yaswanth@umich.edu

Phone#: 832-480-3011

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 5.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: We refined our slides and activities to fit the requirements of Merit Badges more aptly. Also, we have assigned further action items on the chairs.
2. Items Needed: Food, Arduino Kits, Computers.
3. Total Cost: \$75

VII. Problems Encountered: It was only MBD chairs who signed up for the event and we didn't get any participation from other electees/actives of the chapter.

VIII. Recommendations: This is the first time we did this event with the intention of developing MBD. Try to make the agenda more specific, put up the event on the website and advertise as early as you can.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall, event went well since it is just the MBD chairs. We were able to figure out a lot of stuff to fix the the slides and some additional stuff to work on more.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Working in DFM's man cave !!
4. Opportunity to improve: This is the first time we did this event with the intention of developing MBD. Try to make the agenda more specific, put up the event on the website and advertise as early as you can.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Humane Society of Huron Valley TNR Cat Shelters

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/30/23 (Planning started: 02/02/23)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 4 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Matt Kukucka (mkukucka)

Drew Boughton (drbought)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.50
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	1.50
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	1.50
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	1.50

II. General Description: The Huron Valley Humane Society uses TNR (trap, neuter, rescue) shelters to maintain the local feral cat population. At this event, members helped make these shelters out of readily-available materials to be donated to the HSHV.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: TNR (trap, neuter, or release) shelters help the Humane Society of Huron Valley maintain the local feral cat population. This event seeks to produce these shelters out of readily-available materials for donation to HSHV.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Karen Patterson

Title: Vice President of Volunteers and Humane Education

Email: KAREN.PATTERSON@hshv.org

Phone#: 734-661-3575

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 5 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: While collecting the materials for the event involved going to multiple hardware stores, the event was successful in terms of timing and merit of cause.

2. Items Needed: Each shelter consists of an 18 gallon tote, a styrofoam cooler, and hay. Other necessary tools/supplies include tape and a box cutter. Instructions can be found

here: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1tQuZ5v9eB0kpoHV721PDeJwJLq5RIYuo/view?usp=share_link

3. Total Cost: \$280

VII. Problems Encountered: The 18 gallon totes were more difficult to cut than expected, so sharper cutters may be necessary in the future. Finding enough styrofoam coolers was also an issue (plus styrofoam isn't great for the environment).

VIII. Recommendations: Doing the event outside would reduce the mess factor that comes with handling large amounts of straw.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: A total of eight TNR shelters were produced in about an hour with the other half hour devoted to cleaning. As such, the event was largely successful.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Finding creative solutions to remove straw from the carpet.
4. Opportunity to improve: Doing this event outside and with more environmentally friendly materials (to be discussed with HSHV) would make the event easier to run.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Career Fair Logo Submissions

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 02/24/23 (Planning started: 02/03/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 4 Electees: 1

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Colin Davidson (codavids)

Kevin Masel (kmasel)

Name (unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Alex de la Iglesia (alexdelala)	Active	0.50
Nadine El Ghaffir (nghaffir)	Electee	2.00
Jacob Miller (millerjv)	Active	0.50

II. General Description: Members were able to submit t-shirt designs to the officer corps for the semesterly member t-shirt. The final design was selected using a tournament vote.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: TBP MI-G helps run career fair. Not everyone is creative, so we solicit slogan and logo ideas.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 0 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: We got a lot of good submissions, and a lot of not so good submissions, as well as some repeated submissions from last year.

2. Items Needed: Google Form

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Continue the same strategy

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: We combined multiple slogans to decide on our final one

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Assigning hours
4. Opportunity to improve: Start earlier
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Knitwits I

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 02/15/23 (Planning started: 02/07/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 17 Electees: 2

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)

Brittany Rupp (ruppb)

Abby Overbeck (aoverbec)

Drew Boughton (drbought)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Active	1.50
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.50
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.50
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Active	1.50
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	1.50
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.50
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.50
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	1.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	1.50
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.50
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.50
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.50
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	1.50
☒ Pauline Wang	(wanpau)	Active	0.50
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	1.50
Frank Zlomek	(zlomekf)	Electee	1.50

II. General Description: Volunteers helped make blankets for Knitwits. These blankets were locally distributed to domestic shelters, agencies serving the homeless, community centers, and others in need via the Ginsberg center. Snacks were provided!

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Knitwits serves to further TBP's objective of serving the community through the donation of hand-made blankets to local charities.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information
Name: Drew Boughton
Email: drbought@umich.edu
Phone#: 734-926-7663

2. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 3 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: All though there weren't many electees that attended, we got through all of the fleece thanks to the hard work of the officers.
2. Items Needed: Two sheets of fleece measuring 6' X 3' for each blanket, scissors.
3. Total Cost: \$385

VII. Problems Encountered: N/A

VIII. Recommendations: Ensure the event doesn't take place too late at night to foster more electee participation.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Event was both productive and fun for everyone that participated. We ended up using almost all of the fleece on reserve, which has never happened before.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Watching Riley, Colin, and Ansh speedrun a blanket in 9 minutes.
4. Opportunity to improve: More advertising and clarification that knitting skills aren't needed for the event.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Second Actives, WInter 2023

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 02/14/23, 02/15/23 (Planning started: 02/12/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 67 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)
 Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
 Sirawit Shimpalee (sirawits)
 Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Daphne Agapiou	(dagapiou)	Active	2.00
Dillon Agrawal	(dagra)	Active	2.00
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Active	2.00
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Active	2.00
Aiden Ascioti	(aascioti)	Active	2.00
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Active	2.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzif)	Active	2.00
Michael Benson	(mlbenson)	Active	2.00
Aakash Bharat	(aakashvb)	Active	2.00
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Active	2.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	2.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	2.00
Yunseok Choi	(yunseokc)	Active	2.00
Toland Corum	(corumto)	Active	2.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	2.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	2.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Active	2.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	2.00
Aidan Goetsch	(agoettsc)	Active	2.00
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	2.00
Niloy Gupta	(niloy)	Active	2.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	2.00
Xunbi Ji	(xunbij)	Active	2.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	2.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	2.00
Maddi Kelberman	(mkelb)	Active	2.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	2.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	2.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	2.00
Pritpaul Mahal	(pritpaul)	Active	2.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumdr)	Active	2.00
Steven Mamolo	(smamolo)	Active	2.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.00
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Active	2.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	2.00
Ranadeep Mitra	(ranadeep)	Active	2.00
Syahidah Mohd Khairi	(syahidah)	Active	2.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	2.00
Dan Muccio	(drmuccio)	Active	2.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	2.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Active	2.00
Emma Nigrelli	(enigrell)	Active	2.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	2.00
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	2.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	2.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	2.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	2.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	2.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	2.00
Cary Shu	(caryshu)	Active	2.00
Ben Spector	(spectorb)	Active	2.00
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	2.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	2.00
Agatha Ta-Goetz	(tata)	Active	2.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Active	2.00
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Active	4.00
✉ Pauline Wang	(wanpau)	Active	2.00
Yuhao Wang	(yuhaow)	Active	2.00
Zikun (ZEE-KWhen) Wang	(zikunw)	Active	2.00
Zach Whalen	(whalenz)	Active	2.00
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Active	2.00
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Active	2.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Active	2.00

II. General Description: Actives meetings were held in order to conduct chapter business that requires active members' votes. Electees are not allowed to attend these meetings until they have been inducted into membership. Second Actives was a voting meeting regarding the character interviews that each electee had to participate in. Dinner was provided to

attendees.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Required voting meeting for active members. Purpose of this meeting was to update actives on organization plan and actions of the officers. Voting also took place to decide whether or not we recommend electees to proceed in the electing process.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 72 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Meeting was overall efficient, thus being short due to preparation of officers and amount of voting required.
2. Items Needed: Food from No Thai -11 Sweet and Spicy Chicken -12 Drunken Chicken Noodles -12 Drunken Beef Noddle -11 Chicken Pad Thai -3 Tofu Pad Thai -3 Green Curry with Tofu
3. Total Cost: \$749

VII. Problems Encountered: Eligibility code was not provided in time to people who attended meeting through Zoom. Once they received the code, the prominence of the code was somewhat bothersome to some of the attendees.

VIII. Recommendations: Members who attend through zoom should be sent the eligibility code earlier and in a format more suited to the virtual format.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Meeting went much better and expected. Only some minor improvements could be suggested. Most electees were also approved to continue electing based on completing requirements and displaying exemplary character.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Meeting was very efficient. Meeting was finished earlier than anticipated, and having attendees read the eligibility code instead of the VP reading was better. Food delivery and distribution also went well.
4. Opportunity to improve: Continue serving food based on recommendation of advisors.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

FIRST Robotics Volunteering - Belleville

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 03/16/23, 03/17/23, 03/18/23, 03/19/23 (Planning started: 02/16/23)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Drew Boughton (drbought)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
-----------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: TBP worked with FAMNM, the FIRST Alumni and Mentors Network at Michigan, to increase volunteering counts at the FIRST Robotics competition in Belleville.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: This semester, the First Alumni Mentors Network at Michigan reached out to TBP with volunteering opportunities in the area with FIRST Robotics. The core goal of these volunteering opportunities is to support FIRST competitions in all facets, including refereeing, robot inspection, and set-up/take-down, so that they run smoothly and fairly. This, in turn, provides the best environment for high school students to engage with engineering, problem solving, and teamwork.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: FAMNM President

Email: famnm.pres@umich.edu

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 6 Participating: 29.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The registration process, if not previously acquainted, can be a lot to take in at first. Also, because there are so many unique volunteering roles at each event (30), a form is necessary to keep track of which roles TBP members signed up for. Such a form, which also includes registration steps, can be found here: https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSf_0sq6L35eEA8jC0zZ9_87V1fHYLZnTF0RYJXY6xzstXq6Wg/viewform?usp=sharing

2. Items Needed: None

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Advertise the different types of volunteering opportunities, particularly the half-day commitment ones, so that would-be volunteers don't get scared away by the bigger roles with multi-day commitments.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: N/A
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: N/A
4. Opportunity to improve: Ensure that more people sign up and are in contact with the event volunteer coordinator as soon as possible.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

FIRST Robotics Volunteering - Saline

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 03/30/23, 03/31/23, 04/01/23, 04/02/23 (Planning started: 02/16/23)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 2 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Drew Boughton (drbought)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Jess Dillon (dillonjg)	Active	5.00

II. General Description: TBP is working with FAMNM, the FIRST Alumni and Mentors Network at Michigan, to increase volunteering counts at the FIRST Robotics competition in Saline.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: This semester, the First Alumni Mentors Network at Michigan reached out to TBP with volunteering opportunities in the area with FIRST Robotics. The core goal of these volunteering opportunities is to support FIRST competitions in all facets, including refereeing, robot inspection, and set-up/take-down, so that they run smoothly and fairly. This, in turn, provides the best environment for high school students to engage with engineering, problem solving, and teamwork.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: FAMNM President

Email: famnm.pres@umich.edu

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 29.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The registration process, if not previously acquainted, can be a lot to take in at first. Also, because there are so many unique volunteering roles at each event (30), a form is necessary to keep track of which roles TBP members signed up for. Such a form, which also includes registration steps, can be found here: https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSf_0sq6L35eEA8jC0zz9_87V1fHYLZnTF0RYJXY6xzstXq6Wg/viewform?usp=sharing

2. Items Needed: None
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. **Problems Encountered:** The volunteer coordinator for the event was contradictory with her timeline of events and wasn't fast to reply, but confusions got cleared and we were able to volunteer.

VIII. **Recommendations:** Advertise the different types of volunteering opportunities, particularly the half-day commitment ones, so that would-be volunteers don't get scared away by the bigger roles with multi-day commitments.

IX. **Overall Evaluation:**

1. Comments: The event, at least to the extent that we were involved, went well and we were able to help kids with their robots.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: N/A
4. Opportunity to improve: Ensure that more people sign up and are in contact with the event volunteer coordinator as soon as possible.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Knitwits II

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/15/23 (Planning started: 02/18/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 10 Electees: 1

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)

Brittany Rupp (ruppb)

Abby Overbeck (aoverbec)

Drew Boughton (drbought)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.50
Xunbi Ji	(xunbij)	Active	1.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	1.50
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	1.50
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.50
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	1.50
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.50
✉ Pauline Wang	(wanpau)	Active	1.50
Frank Zlomek	(zlomekf)	Electee	1.50

II. General Description: Volunteers helped make blankets for Knitwits. These blankets were locally distributed to domestic shelters, agencies serving the homeless, community centers, and others in need via the Ginsberg center. Snacks were provided!

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Knitwits serves to further TBP's objective of serving the community through the donation of hand-made blankets to local charities.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Drew Boughton

Email: drbought@umich.edu

Phone#: 734-926-7663

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Event was successful and the EECS atrium was a great place to do everything. Getting fleece on sale was big for getting the materials necessary for multiple events.
2. Items Needed: Two sheets of fleece measuring 6' X 3' for each blanket, scissors.
3. Total Cost: \$404

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: None

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: We around six blankets and had fun while doing it.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Seeing David squeeze everything he could from the food budget.
4. Opportunity to improve: Get better scissors and measuring devices.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Tau Beta Pi(e) Day!

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/14/23 (Planning started: 02/19/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 11 Electees: 1

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Arjun Sundararajan (arjunsun)
Alex Li (alexjli)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Daphne Agapiou	(dagapiou)	Active	1.00
Joao Casares	(casares)	Electee	2.00
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Active	2.00
Girish Chandar Ganesan	(girishg)	Active	1.00
Lynn Jegal	(lynjeg)	Active	2.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	3.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	3.00
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	1.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.50
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.50

II. General Description: As a celebration of the best day each year for STEM students, we offered free pies on March 14 (3/14)! Members helped hand out pies to students in the Grove and got to know fellow electees and actives.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Advertise our chapter, especially our tutoring services (encouraging students to sign up if they would like help) and other public events (like our upcoming Euchre tournament). Provide free pie to students who walk by to celebrate Pi Day.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Alexander Li

Email: alexjli@umich.edu

Phone#: 859-587-2467

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 4 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Event went well overall — we ran out of pies very quickly (only went until 3PM instead of 5PM as scheduled). Volunteers were helpful and students were enthusiastic.
2. Items Needed: Bought
772.20 worth of pie from Grand Traverse and
52.93 of pie servers and plates that we ended up not needing (since the pie slices were individually packaged).
3. Total Cost: \$825

VII. **Problems Encountered:** Ran out of pies too quickly — we should raise the budget for Pi Day next year. Tablecloth probably got moved somewhere else without my knowledge.

VIII. **Recommendations:** More money for Pi Day.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Event went well. No problems encountered during the event other than missing the tablecloth and running out of pies.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Getting to know the volunteers I worked with and celebrating Pi Day with students.
4. Opportunity to improve: A larger budget for buying pies would help.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Zooniverse I

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 02/28/23 (Planning started: 02/19/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 5 Electees: 6

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Daniel Pert (dpert)

Drew Boughton (drbought)

Andy Zaloudek (zaloudan)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dillon Agrawal	(dagra)	Active	1.50
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	2.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	2.00
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	2.00
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	2.00
Meghna Mahesh	(meghnam)	Electee	2.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	2.00
Jack Phillips	(jackjhp)	Electee	2.00
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	2.00
Fulei Wuchu	(fwuchu)	Electee	2.00
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	2.00

II. **General Description:** Zooniverse is a public platform for contributing to real-world research data sets in areas such as cosmology, oceanography, and zoology. Members spent an hour on a zoom call with each other going through some of these data sets. Some examples of the service completes are identifying animals in tracking images, identifying sounds in recordings, highlighting notable sections of astronomy images, etc.

III. **Target Audience:** Community

IV. **Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G:** Zooniverse provides a platform for the public to contribute to large research databases through classification of raw data. Compiling these databases make it easier for researchers to make progress on their projects.

V. **Organization and Administration**

1. Contact Information

Name: Drew Boughton

Email: drbought@umich.edu

Phone#: 734-926-7663

2. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 0 Participating: 2.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: It was a great way for members to earn service hours during spring break when classes are not so busy but in-person events are not feasible due to travel plans.
2. Items Needed: None
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. **Problems Encountered:** Sign-up retention was lower than expected, especially synchronously.

VIII. **Recommendations:** Either having everyone focus on one project or curating a list of projects to work on would both increase the number of classifications and increase engagement.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Those that participated either synchronously or asynchronously did many classifications and successfully earned service credit.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Getting the hang of an identification workflow and classifying things quickly.
4. Opportunity to improve: Increasing engagement through presentation of the classifications be done.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

CSD Information/Training Session (W23)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/16/23 (Planning started: 02/28/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 15 Electees: 7

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)
Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
Drew Boughton (drbought)
Colin Davidson (codavids)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	5.00
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Electee	1.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	1.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	5.00
Nikki France	(nfrance)	Active	1.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	5.00
Jack Gremel	(jagremel)	Electee	1.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	3.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	1.00
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	1.00
Amy Liu	(amyzliu)	Electee	1.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	5.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	1.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	3.00
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	1.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	3.00
Jack Phillips	(jackjhp)	Electee	1.00
Molly Rodgers	(merodger)	Electee	1.00
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	1.00
Nick Simon	(npsimon)	Electee	1.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Active	1.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Active	1.00

II. General Description: This meeting provided general information about CSD: schedules, expectations, activities, etc. We outlined best practices on dealing with younger scouts and advice to make the volunteering responsibilities as straightforward as possible.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Expand the quality and scope of K-12 outreach for the chapter

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 5 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: I would generally suggest doing this before CSD. See drive for more details, presentation slides, etc.
2. Items Needed: It is useful to bring sample kit contents to display to volunteers with visual aid. You will also need a training presentation.
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: N/A

VIII. Recommendations: There are recorded videos available on drive that will provide better context for the format and delivery.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Although we went slightly over on time, the content was rather solid and conveyed the desired message.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Stewie.
4. Opportunity to improve: There are ways to fine tune the content and format. We will evaluate after the actual CSD event.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Natural Area Preservation Day - Furstenberg

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 02/04/23 (Planning started: 03/03/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 8 Electees: 3

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Drew Boughton (drbought)
Varun Goyal (varungo)
Andy Zaloudek (zaloudan)
Vance Kreider (vkreider)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Nathan Biggs (biggsn)	Electee	3.00
Drew Boughton (drbought)	Active	3.00
Joao Casares (casares)	Electee	3.00
Yash Cherivirala (yaswanth)	Active	3.00
Daniel Falvo (dfalvo)	Active	3.00
Chun-Wei Kong (chunwei)	Electee	3.00
Peijing Li (peijli)	Active	3.00
Abby Overbeck (aoverbec)	Active	3.00
Andy Zaloudek (zaloudan)	Active	3.00

II. General Description: Members helped maintain Ann Arbor's nature preserves and parks through the removal of invasive species. This event was run through the local parks service.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: NAP helps with the betterment of the surrounding Ann Arbor parks and nature preserves through the removal of invasive species.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Dana Novak

Title: Workday Coordinator

Email: NAPWorkdays@a2gov.org

Phone#: 734-794-6627

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 4 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: NAP is always open to groups of volunteers since the work that needs to be done removing invasive species is ever growing. They are also receptive to setting up private events.
2. Items Needed: All items (i.e. gloves, loppers, hand saws, safety glasses) are provided by NAP.
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. **Problems Encountered:** Some people didn't wear idea footwear for the snow.

VIII. **Recommendations:** Ensure everyone wears boots, especially in the snow.

IX. **Overall Evaluation:**

1. Comments: As always, the event went very well and everyone seemed to really enjoy their time working, despite the initial cold.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Seeing all the pesky buckthorn trees removed and cut up.
4. Opportunity to improve: Make sure everyone is wearing appropriate footwear for working in wooded areas.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Natural Area Preservation Day - Braun Nature Area

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/25/23 (Planning started: 03/03/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 8 Electees: 2

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Brittany Rupp (ruppb)
Drew Boughton (drbought)
Varun Goyal (varungo)
Andy Zaloudek (zaloudan)
Vance Kreider (vkreider)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Aiden Ascioti (aasciotti)	Active	3.00
Drew Boughton (drbought)	Active	3.00
Nikki France (nfrance)	Active	3.00
Peijing Li (pejili)	Active	3.00
Ruben Orsolle (rorsolle)	Electee	3.00
Fulei Wuchu (fwuchu)	Electee	3.00

II. General Description: Members helped maintain Ann Arbor's nature preserves and parks through the removal of invasive species. This event was run through the local parks service.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: NAP helps with the betterment of the surrounding Ann Arbor parks and nature preserves through the removal of invasive species.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Dana Novak

Title: Workday Coordinator

Email: NAPWorkdays@a2gov.org

Phone#: 734-794-6627

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: As always, NAP events are very welcoming to groups and provide fun service opportunities.

2. Items Needed: All items (i.e. gloves, loppers, hand saws, safety glasses) are provided by NAP.
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Ensure that people sign up separately on VolunteerHub in a timely manner.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Event was successful and we got a lot of work done.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Seeing previously dense areas of foliage clear.
4. Opportunity to improve: Can never advertise enough.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

MindSET: Boat Maker

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/12/23 (Planning started: 03/05/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 7 Electees: 4

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Tara Radvand (tararad)

Yicheng Tang (tangyc)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Nathan Biggs	(biggsn)	Electee	4.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	0.00
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	0.00
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	0.00
Nikki France	(nfrance)	Active	4.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	4.00
Meghna Mahesh	(meghnam)	Electee	4.00
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	4.50
Tara Radvand	(tararad)	Active	4.00
Jessy Simmer	(jessmer)	Active	0.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Active	4.50

II. General Description: The program is designed around learn-design-build-test modules. The modules cover topics in physics, chemistry, and mathematics, along with their engineering applications such as bridges, circuits, and water rockets. The design-build activities involve groups of 4–5 school students working together with 2–3 college students. This structure provides a fun-filled and creative learning experience for school students, and also creates opportunities for college students to share their experience with learning engineering. Students were taught to construct a boat with the materials given to hold the most weight before sinking. They learned about buoyancy, density, and forces that keep the boat on the water.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Hands-on activity for 3rd-5th graders to learn physics rules via active learning. Challenge: construct a boat with the materials given to hold the most weight before sinking. Learning objectives: buoyancy, density, and forces that keep the boat on the water. Focus: the main focus is on promoting active learning, meaning that volunteers and leaders walk children through finding the correct answer by asking questions.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Yicheng Tang
Title: K-12 Outreach Officer
Email: tangyc@umich.edu

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 8 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This event is overall successful. The feedback is positive and the children are having a great time!
2. Items Needed: Materials (per boat) 1 sheet of aluminum foil (10x13 cm) 10 popsicle sticks 10 plastic straws Sheets of paper Scissors Tape
3. Total Cost: \$100

VII. Problems Encountered: N/A

VIII. Recommendations: We recommend continuing this MindSET program in the future.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: This event is overall successful, although the start of the event is a little bit disorganized.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 4
3. Best Part: Some kids carried out 4 testings. Their designs were fantastic, and they were really enthusiastic.
4. Opportunity to improve: Better instructions to volunteers. Supplies should be grouped before the event starts.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Natural Area Preservation Day - Hansen Nature Area

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/18/23 (Planning started: 03/06/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 7 Electees: 2

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Brittany Rupp (ruppb)
Drew Boughton (drbought)
Varun Goyal (varungo)
Andy Zaloudek (zaloudan)
Vance Kreider (vkreider)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Nathan Biggs (biggsn)	Electee	3.00
Vance Kreider (vkreider)	Active	3.00
Peijing Li (peijli)	Active	3.00
Brittany Rupp (ruppb)	Active	3.00
Chris Tapia (tapiac)	Electee	3.00
John Yu (johnzryu)	Active	3.00

II. General Description: Members helped maintain Ann Arbor's nature preserves and parks through the removal of invasive species. This event was run through the local parks service.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: NAP helps with the betterment of the surrounding Ann Arbor parks and nature preserves through the removal of invasive species.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Dana Novak

Title: Workday Coordinator

Email: NAPWorkdays@a2gov.org

Phone#: 734-794-6627

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Event went great as always.

2. Items Needed: All items (i.e. gloves, loppers, hand saws, safety glasses) are provided by NAP.
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Participation tends to decrease towards the end of the semester, so advertise more.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: We were able to clear a lot of honeysuckle and make the paths easier to walk.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Cutting down the larger trees.
4. Opportunity to improve: Increase advertising to get more people involved.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

University of Michigan Science Olympiad Region 9 Tournament Volunteers

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 03/18/23, 03/19/23 (Planning started: 03/08/23)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 5 Electees: 3

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Drew Boughton (drbought)
Anjali Sivakumar (anjalids)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Aakash Bharat	(aakashvb)	Active	3.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	4.00
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	8.00
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Electee	4.00
Amy Liu	(amyzliu)	Electee	12.50
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Active	9.50
Anjali Sivakumar	(anjalids)	Active	9.50
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	9.50

II. General Description: University of Michigan Science Olympiad is an annual science olympiad invitational that attracts hundreds of students from across the country. They require over 400+ volunteers on average and TBP has traditionally been a big help to their operations. Typical jobs included registrations, grading presentations, running awards, and, of course, seeing students excited about STEM!

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: University of Michigan Science Olympiad's second event of the academic year, this event focusses on students in nearby counties instead of across the US. We have traditionally been a sponsor of UMSO through volunteers and have continued that partnership with this event.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: UMSO Human Resources

Email: hr.umichscioly@umich.edu

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 12.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The event was very well organized and all eligible TBP volunteers received a position for multiple hours. Volunteers generally enjoyed the events that they helped run.
2. Items Needed: A background check filed with the university and Children on Campus training were required for all volunteers to participate.
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Using dynamic sign-in methods, like taking a selfie, to help keep track of hours across multiple shifts.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: The event was very beneficial to TBP volunteers in terms of hours and engagement with the activities they helped run.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Helping clean up.
4. Opportunity to improve: Advertising the opportunity more since turnout was less than the previous UMSO event.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Knitwits III

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 04/05/23 (Planning started: 03/18/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 7 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)

Brittany Rupp (ruppb)

Abby Overbeck (aoverbec)

Drew Boughton (drbought)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Active	1.50
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.50
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	1.50

II. General Description: Volunteers helped make blankets for Knitwits while watching Netflix. These blankets were locally distributed to domestic shelters, agencies serving the homeless, community centers, and others in need via the Ginsberg center. Snacks were provided!

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Knitwits serves to further TBP's objective of serving the community through the donation of hand-made blankets to local charities.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Drew Boughton

Email: drbought@umich.edu

Phone#: 734-926-7663

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Event went well, lower volunteer count meant that we could relax while working.

2. Items Needed: Two sheets of fleece measuring 6' X 3' for each blanket, scissors.

3. Total Cost: \$39

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: While this event ran on fleece reserves from the last two events, getting fleece on sale is a big advantage for the event.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: We only produced two blankets, but the event was great otherwise.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Working on one blanket together.
4. Opportunity to improve: Especially at the end of the semester, look for ways to increase participation.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Natural Area Preservation Day - Folkstone

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 04/01/23 (Planning started: 03/21/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 5 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Brittany Rupp (ruppb)
Drew Boughton (drbought)
Varun Goyal (varungo)
Andy Zaloudek (zaloudan)
Vance Kreider (vkreider)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
-----------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: Members helped maintain Ann Arbor's nature preserves and parks through the removal of invasive species. This event was run through the local parks service.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: NAP helps with the betterment of the surrounding Ann Arbor parks and nature preserves through the removal of invasive species.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Dana Novak

Title: Workday Coordinator

Email: NAPWorkdays@a2gov.org

Phone#: 734-794-6627

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: N/A

2. Items Needed: All items (i.e. gloves, loppers, hand saws, safety glasses) are provided by NAP.

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: N/A

VIII. Recommendations: Ensure that enough advertising takes place.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: No one signed up for the event, but history with the event ensures that it would've been successful.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: N/A
4. Opportunity to improve: Always keep advertising.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Natural Area Preservation Day - Lakewood

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 04/02/23 (Planning started: 03/21/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 6 Electees: 1

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Brittany Rupp (ruppb)
Drew Boughton (drbought)
Varun Goyal (varungo)
Andy Zaloudek (zaloudan)
Vance Kreider (vkreider)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Vance Kreider (vkreider)	Active	3.00
Ruben Orsolle (rorsolle)	Electee	3.00
Brittany Rupp (ruppb)	Active	3.00
Tony Zheng (tozheng)	Active	3.00

II. General Description: Members helped maintain Ann Arbor's nature preserves and parks through the removal of invasive species. This event was run through the local parks service.

III. Target Audience: Community

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: NAP helps with the betterment of the surrounding Ann Arbor parks and nature preserves through the removal of invasive species.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Dana Novak

Title: Workday Coordinator

Email: NAPWorkdays@a2gov.org

Phone#: 734-794-6627

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Was rainy, but otherwise event went well as always.

2. Items Needed: All items (i.e. gloves, loppers, hand saws, safety glasses) are provided by NAP.

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Ensure everyone wears appropriate footwear for the weather.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Event was very successful in terms of the amount of area cleared.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Seeing the desired plants and trees better afterwards.
4. Opportunity to improve: Find ways to increase participation at the end of the semester.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Part III

Honors/Awards

The Fall 2022 Semesterly Banquet

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 12/10/22, 12/11/22 (Planning started: 05/01/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 7 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)
Ranadeep Mitra (ranadeep)
Ben King (kingbe)
Zack Goldston (zgold)
Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
Colin Davidson (codavids)
Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name (unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
----------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: Every semester, the outstanding members of the chapter are recognized at a diner/awards ceremony hosted after initiation. The best electees, electee teams, and project leads are recognized. Superlatives are exchanged, and a design challenge is given to the members. This friendly competition ends the night after recognizing the achievements of the past semester. Every semester, the outstanding members of the chapter are recognized at a diner/awards ceremony hosted after initiation. The best electees, electee teams, and project leads are recognized. Superlatives are exchanged, and a design challenge is given to the members. This friendly competition ends the night after recognizing the achievements of the past semester.

III. Target Audience: Honors/Awards

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: At this event, the chapter celebrated the semester, recognized electees, DA/PA, and award-winners. This serves as an opportunity to present awards to outstanding members and stimulate the chapter community through social interaction.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 50 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Outstanding event with one of the most involved agendas of any event the chapter has run, but well-executed by a large team of leaders. This agenda

included opening remarks from the President, serving of dinner, a semester in review presentation, a design challenge, a presentation of honors and awards from many officers, the TBP yell, and closing remarks.

2. Items Needed: Venue, food, design challenge supplies, and work van rental.
3. Total Cost: \$9500

VII. Problems Encountered: Crowd control during design challenge was difficult; we couldn't find a good place to lead/speak from and time was wasted coordinating/looking for groups. Space seemed a bit on the small side, especially once we set up for the design challenge. The podium placement on one side of the room was less ideal for tables in the back. We included three separate screens but one table in particular was unable to clearly see the presenter. Semester in review brevity was an issue, and awards and recognition didn't sufficiently describe what was being awarded.

VIII. Recommendations: More of Banquet should be devoted to recognition of accomplishments, rather than design challenges (though the DC is fun). Banquet leaders should ensure there's still time for them to have dinner. Post semester in review slides somewhere if they haven't been - we saw lots of people taking pictures of the slides so they might want the full ones. The content was funny and entertaining but generally best understood by those most involved in the chapter; try to engage less involved members with future activities. Media and data capture needs to be better. More diversity in award recipients, especially paper plate awards; most were jokes but a lot of repeat recipients, also try to include more electees if possible.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Food was a success with a good diversity of options. Changes made for this semester, including brisket and eggplant lasagna, were well-received. Venue staff were kind and professional and went above and beyond to help us even with unusual requests. This venue will be used again in future semesters with the space constraint kept in mind.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Design challenge was highly entertaining, well-received, and created an extraordinarily large amount of media for use in future semesters and publicity.
4. Opportunity to improve: Hold a banquet meeting after general/officer meeting to align the entire officer corps on banquet and distribute the work among more people. This event is at its best when there are as many contributors as possible.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Outstanding Electee

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 12/10/22–12/10/22 (Planning started: 12/09/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Ben King (kingbe)

Name (unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
------------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: The outstanding electee award is given to an electee who went above and beyond during their electing semester.

III. Target Audience: Honors/Awards

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: The outstanding electee award is given to an electee who went above and beyond during their electing semester.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: N/A Varies by participant

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Similar to the Outstanding Electee Team, this award is designed to award active new members and to encourage more to be active.

2. Items Needed: None

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Continue this practice - it is fun during banquet

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Good award

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1

3. Best Part: Similar to the Outstanding Electee Team, this award is designed to award active new members and to encourage more to be active.

4. Opportunity to improve: Tell electee team leads to tell their electees about this

5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Electee of the Week (F22)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 12/10/22–12/10/22 (Planning started: 12/09/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 2 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Ben King (kingbe)

Name (unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
------------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: The Electee of the Week distinction was given to electees that went above and beyond the joining requirements each week. This award was meant to recognize electees that contributed to many service projects, took on leadership positions, or who were otherwise outstanding in what they did, encouraging other electees to become more invested in Tau Beta Pi.

III. Target Audience: Honors/Awards

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: The Electee of the Week distinction was given to electees that went above and beyond the joining requirements each week. This award was meant to recognize electees that contributed to many service projects, took on leadership positions, or who were otherwise outstanding in what they did, encouraging other electees to become more invested in Tau Beta Pi.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: N/A Varies by participant

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This award is provided at every general meeting to continuously encourage exceptional electees on their path towards becoming full members

2. Items Needed: None

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Continue

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Good
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Highlighting both an undergrad and grad electee.
4. Opportunity to improve: Make sure to get this info in the slides ahead of time!
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

The W23 Semesterly Banquet

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 04/15/23, 04/16/23 (Planning started: 12/16/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 14 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)
Ike Smith (ikesmith)
Ranadeep Mitra (ranadeep)
Denise Schlautman (dschlau)
Ben King (kingbe)
Jacob Miller (millerjv)
Ansh Patel (anshp)
Mitra Mokhlesi (mmokh)
Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
Colin Davidson (codavids)
Kevin Masel (kmasel)
Karen Jin (karenjin)
Hunter Muench (hmuench)
Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
-----------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: Every semester, the outstanding members of the chapter are recognized at a dinner/awards ceremony hosted after initiation. The best electees, electee teams, and project leads are recognized. Superlatives are exchanged, and a design challenge is given to the members. This friendly competition ends the night after recognizing the achievements of the past semester.

III. Target Audience: Honors/Awards

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Celebrate the semester and recognize the efforts and achievement of electees, actives (especially those who earned DA/PA), and college-wide award winners. The semesterly banquet is an opportunity for members to engage with each other and socialize with others in the community.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 150 Participating: 4.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Held at the same venue as last semester, with fantastic staff who were able to accommodate everything we needed and helped with planning, as well as a delicious menu for dinner. The event followed the same program, including opening remarks from the president, a presentation for semester in review (along with some “fun” slides – meeting feedback, out of context Slack messages, Spot the Scam, etc), design challenge, awards and recognition, electee recognition, TBP yell, and officer yell.
2. Items Needed: Venue, food, design challenge supplies, and work van rental
3. Total Cost: \$7500

VII. Problems Encountered: Presentation - since we had a huge banquet team, we wanted to give more people speaking roles during the presentation. While it was agreed that it was overall a good idea to bring fresh voices and energy, it was not the best in terms of execution. It took a long time to transition speakers and there was significant variation in terms of how prepared the speakers were, which made people in the audience lose interest relatively quickly. To improve: it’s good to have multiple speakers so that it’s not just one person talking the whole time, but be more selective as to who will be speaking. Design Challenge - do not overcomplicate design challenge! We spent a lot of time with design challenge set up this semester, but due to a lack of thorough testing and not considering how others would approach the challenge, the results were a little underwhelming. People still had fun, but not as successful as we had hoped given the effort put into it. Overall - Although it was nice to have a large banquet team, we needed more leadership within the team and clarity on people’s roles. Often, it felt like there were “too many cooks in the kitchen”, which led to disorganization. Towards the end of the semester, we didn’t meet as much – having more detailed and regular check-ins on responsibilities would have helped. Also, we assigned some seating for the banquet team to help distribute the energy around the room, but this was over-optimized and would have been better off letting people sit where they want, just suggesting that more active people spread out a bit.

VIII. Recommendations: Always keep in mind the target audience of banquet - as more involved members of the chapter, it’s easy to forget that not everyone knows about the inside jokes and everything that happens throughout the semester. Keep all the content understandable and be aware of any unintentional exclusion. Organize a follow up meeting shortly after banquet to come up with plus/minus/deltas and note these down for next semester while the event is still fresh in everyone’s memory, and start thinking of venues and booking for next semester soon after as well. Have one person be in charge of all sign up coordination (i.e. handling fees, check-ins, head counts, etc) – this worked very well this semester. See other sections for more comments, but in general, don’t overcomplicate design challenge and have someone who can be in charge of everyone else (leadership within the banquet team).

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: We tried to incorporate a lot of the feedback from last semester regarding the intended audience of banquet, and making sure that everyone felt included and engaged.

We placed extra emphasis on the awards section and tried to make sure that pictures on the slides, paper plates, etc were more representative of the chapter as a whole instead of just the most engaged members. While we definitely did well with achieving the goals we set last semester, we now have more that can be improved on.

2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Great turnout! Out of the 70 people who signed up, only one person didn't come and they had a valid reason as to why they couldn't be there. We also elected an Eminent Engineer this semester, and he was able to attend. We brought the TBP/HKN TV from the Bullpen to the venue to play a slideshow of pictures that were collected during the semester, including pictures from Photo Competition, as well as general media capture. The album also included submissions to the live banquet photo submission contest – this helped keep the slideshow updated with new pictures every time around. We definitely could have started with more photos in the album so it was less repetitive, and the process of running a live photo comp needs some refining, but it was great to have people taking lots of pictures and having a place for all of them.
4. Opportunity to improve: While we probably don't want to reinstate the "banquet overlord" position in its fullest, it would definitely help to have 1 or 2 people that are responsible for coordinating everyone on the banquet team. This semester, we had more of a peer-to-peer structure, which, while it had its merits, lacked top-down leadership and clarity of specific roles.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 27: Tau Bates enjoy a celebratory dinner after a long semester of service and fun.

Thomas S. Rice Award Interviews

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 02/04/23 (Planning started: 01/01/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 3 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Colin Davidson (codavids)

Kevin Masel (kmasel)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	10.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	10.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	10.00

II. General Description: Members interviewed the applicants for the Thomas S. Rice Award and determining the most fitting candidates for the awards.

III. Target Audience: Honors/Awards

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Recognize outstanding actives with the TBP MI-G chapter

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Colin Davidson

Email: codavids@umich.edu

Phone#: 269-312-2389

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 10 Participating: 6.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Committee: Colin Davidson - External Vice President Kevin Masel - External Vice President David Martel - Advisor Conducted interviews over the course of two days.

2. Items Needed: Zoom Meeting

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: N/A

VIII. Recommendations: Consider how long you would like the interviews to be. We did 30 minutes per candidate. With 10 candidates, it becomes cumbersome to interview everyone as a group.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Interviews were successful.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Hearing the unique perspective that each candidate had to offer. It was great to see how they viewed the chapter and the action we can take to improve TBP MI-G.
4. Opportunity to improve: Perhaps trim the interviews down to 20 minutes.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Electee of the Week (W23)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 01/17/23–04/11/23 (Planning started: 01/17/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)

Name (uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
-----------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: The Electee of the Week distinction was given to electees that went above and beyond the joining requirements each week. This award was meant to recognize electees that contributed to many service projects, took on leadership positions, or who were otherwise outstanding in what they did, encouraging other electees to become more invested in Tau Beta Pi.

III. Target Audience: Honors/Awards

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Recognizes outstanding electee who have shown a greater effort joining TBP.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 1 Participating: N/A Varies by participant

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Every week the vice president picks one electee to be recognized for this honor. Its purpose is to recognize electees that have done well in regards to completing their requirements and attending chapter events

2. Items Needed: None

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: No Problems Encountered

VIII. Recommendations: Get recommendations for electees from the team leaders and recent project event leaders to find the electee instead of just hours

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall went well. Every electee that was chosen was deserving of recognition.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Finding fun facts about the electee
4. Opportunity to improve: Get recommendations from other people interacting with the electees.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Outstanding Electee and Electee Team

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 04/15/23–04/15/23 (Planning started: 04/03/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 4 Electees: 6

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Karen Jin (karenjin)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	0.00
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	0.00
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	0.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	0.00
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Active	0.00
Amy Liu	(amyliu)	Electee	0.00
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	0.00
Molly Rodgers	(merodger)	Electee	0.00
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	0.00

II. General Description: Throughout the course of the semester, electee teams compete against one another in friendly competitions during the general meetings that included Family Feud, Jeopardy, performances, and a game of telephone. Each team was awarded points for working as a team for each of these events. At the end of year, the points were totaled. The winning electee team this semester was the “Cow Pirates” led by Alex Kalams and Vance Kreider. The team was awarded this title and given a trophy at banquet. The outstanding electee award is given to the undergraduate and graduate electee who went above and beyond during their electing semester.

III. Target Audience: Honors/Awards

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: To recognize the best electee and electee team and their efforts while joining TBP.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 0.0

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: To recognize the efforts of the best electee team and individual electee. we this award based on chapter involvement through meeting participation and attending events.
2. Items Needed: Electee Team Trophy
3. Total Cost: \$100

VII. Problems Encountered: There were no problems encountered

VIII. Recommendations: Ask electee team leaders for candidates for most outstanding electee. Currently based off of hours completed, but could be better to get personal recommendations.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall went well. We had a very good electee and Team
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Seeing the electee team hold the trophy
4. Opportunity to improve: Get recommendations from team leaders
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 28: The winning electee team, named “The Cow Pirates.”

Part IV

University

Fall Engineering Career Fair Volunteering

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/11/22, 09/13/22 (Planning started: 04/26/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 29 Electees: 11

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Brittany Rupp (ruppb)
Jed Pienkny (jpienkny)
Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
Kevin Masel (kmasel)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Daphne Agapiou	(dagapiou)	Active	15.00
Obada Albaghdadi	(obada)	Electee	3.75
Chirag Bangera	(cbangera)	Active	15.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	1.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	15.00
Jon Chen	(asclkose)	Active	15.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	15.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Electee	2.00
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Electee	4.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	6.50
Tom Gao	(zimingg)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	14.99
Kate Giammalvo	(kgiamm)	Active	15.00
Delaney Hammond	(delhammo)	Active	15.00
Xunbi Ji	(xunbij)	Active	2.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	3.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	0.00
Judy Liu	(lyuzhou)	Active	3.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	15.00
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Electee	1.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	15.00
Julia Mocny	(mocnyj)	Active	15.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	5.25
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	15.00
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	4.50
ANGELA Peterson	(alpeter)	Active	2.25
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	15.00
Jason Qian	(jaqian)	Active	3.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	15.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	5.75
Hannah Soderstrom	(hsoder)	Electee	4.25
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	15.00
Tess von Rueden	(tessvonr)	Active	2.00
✉ Pauline Wang	(wanpau)	Active	3.25
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	3.50
Zikun (ZEE-KWhen) Wang	(zikunw)	Active	15.00
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Electee	2.00
John Yu	(johnzryu)	Active	15.00
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Electee	1.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Electee	9.00

II. General Description: TBP members volunteered at the massive fall Career Fair co-hosted by TBP and SWE. Volunteer jobs included: student registration, company registration, event set-up, event clean-up, hospitality station greeters, runners, helping with company lunches, receptions set-up, etc.

III. Target Audience: University

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: TBP helps run the Fall Engineering Career Fair, along with SWE. The event is massive, the largest scale event that TBP conducts annually, with chairs and volunteers needed to help make the event possible. The hours of the volunteers, chairs, and directors are logged here.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 0 Participating: 55.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Event was successful in terms of volunteers. Everybody was involved, and we had a large network to make sure the event ran smoothly. Volunteers did a variety of tasks, being versatile and changing tasks during their shift, if needed. Shoutout to the directors for planning an very well organized event. And shoutout to the chairs who managed all of the smaller planning objectives and for keeping the volunteers on task during the event.
2. Items Needed: Signup Genius Everything else was handled by CF itself
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Some volunteers signed up and didn't show up Lots of people cancelled signup close to their shift beginning, without communication Some volunteers did not seem to be very engaged during their shift

VIII. Recommendations: Work with the volunteers committee next year to discuss potential improvements, and utilize the CF Volunteers transition report

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall went very smoothly, but could always use more volunteers. See: CF Volunteers Transition Report
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: The event was a lot of fun to run. There was a lot of high level collaboration, the walkie-talkies were a blast to use, but were very effective in keeping everybody on the same page
4. Opportunity to improve: More volunteers is even better for running the event
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

First General, Fall 22

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/09/22, 09/10/22 (Planning started: 08/22/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 17 Electees: 36

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)
Ben King (kingbe)
Zack Goldston (zwgold)
Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Obada Albaghdadi	(obada)	Electee	1.50
Ibrahim Alnassar	(alnassar)	Active	1.50
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	1.50
Haimiti Atila	(hatila)	Electee	1.50
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	1.50
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzizf)	Active	1.50
Michael Benson	(mlbenson)	Active	1.50
Ryan Boring	(rboring)	Electee	1.50
Alden Cheung	(aldenc)	Electee	1.50
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	1.50
Alex de la Iglesia	(alexdel)	Electee	1.50
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Electee	1.50
Jess Dillon	(dillonjg)	Electee	1.50
Zach Eichenberger	(zeichen)	Electee	1.50
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.50
Aidan Goetsch	(agoettsc)	Electee	1.50
Zack Goldston	(zwgold)	Active	1.50
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	1.50
Brian Haimowitz	(bhairow)	Electee	1.50
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Electee	1.50
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Electee	1.50
Adam Jones	(adamjnes)	Electee	1.50
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	1.50
Maddi Kelberman	(mkelb)	Active	1.50
Jongha Kim	(jonghak)	Electee	1.50
Ryan Kim	(kimry)	Electee	1.50
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	1.50
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Electee	1.50

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	1.50
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Electee	1.50
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	1.50
Judy Liu	(lyuzhou)	Active	1.50
Sami Lukpat	(lukpats)	Electee	1.50
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	1.50
Peyton Martin	(pmedrie)	Electee	1.50
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.50
Jonathan Meng	(mengjc)	Electee	1.50
Nathan Montgomery	(montgomn)	Active	1.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	1.50
Kari Naga	(knnga)	Electee	1.50
Suyash Naik	(sunaik)	Electee	1.50
Yahya Naveed	(yahyanav)	Electee	1.50
Trisha Pal	(tpal)	Electee	1.50
Daniel Pert	(dpert)	Active	1.50
Piush Sarkar	(sarkarp)	Electee	1.50
Satya Shettigar	(satyaps)	Active	1.50
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Electee	1.50
Hannah Soderstrom	(hsoder)	Electee	1.50
Oliver Van Note	(ovannote)	Electee	1.50
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	1.50
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	1.50
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Electee	1.50
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Electee	1.50

II. General Description: At First General, all of the prospective members were invited to come hear about opportunities made available by joining Tau Beta Pi. This meeting was mandatory for those interested in joining the organization and dinner was provided.

III. Target Audience: University

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Outreach and Recruitment for the Fall 2022 semester

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 4 Participating: 1.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Despite changing the format, we had a successful “relaxed” First General Meeting where we got to meet all the new electees and inform them about TBP. We hope this will allow us to have a successful semester.

2. Items Needed: N/A

3. Total Cost: \$200

VII. Problems Encountered: N/A

VIII. Recommendations: N/A

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall, went well, but hoped to get 10-20 more people to come in-person or remotely. We are dealing with that issue outside of our normal chapter events.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Getting to meet new, prospective members.
4. Opportunity to improve: Have mailout sooner to ensure more people come, though this is an issue we are dealing with our registrar and OSA issue.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Cantina Night (F22)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 09/23/22, 09/24/22, 11/11/22, 11/12/22 (Planning started: 09/12/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 23 Electees: 12

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Colin Davidson (codavids)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Dev Bajla	(devbajla)	Electee	2.00
Chirag Bangera	(cbangera)	Active	4.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	2.00
Alden Cheung	(aldenc)	Electee	2.00
Aron Choo	(atrchoo)	Active	2.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	4.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	4.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	4.00
Zack Goldston	(zgold)	Active	2.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	2.00
Ryan Gudal	(rgudal)	Active	2.00
Niloy Gupta	(niloy)	Active	2.00
Taeksang Kim	(stozy)	Electee	2.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	2.00
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Electee	2.00
Matt Kukucka	(mkukucka)	Active	2.00
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	2.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumd)	Active	2.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	2.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	2.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	2.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	4.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	2.00
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Electee	2.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	4.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	2.00
Hannah Soderstrom	(hsoder)	Electee	2.00
Ben Spector	(spectorb)	Active	2.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Electee	2.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Aaron Villiger	(avillige)	Electee	4.00
Richard Wang	(wangrh)	Active	2.00
Richard Wang	(riwa)	Electee	2.00
Yichu Xie	(xieyichu)	Electee	2.00
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	2.00

II. General Description: Members met at BTB Cantina for an all-you-can-eat Nacho bar while making new friends with Eta Kappa Nu (HKN) members! This event provided a fun environment to interact with another engineering organization over food and music. There was be nachos, refreshments, and also a dance floor. Everyone was welcome!

III. Target Audience: University

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Foster collaboration of students outside the chapter of Tau Beta Pi-Michigan Gamma and into the engineering community at the university.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Colin Davidson
 Email: codavids@umich.edu
 Phone#: 269-312-2389

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 5 Participating: 2.0 (Total Duration for 2 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Mark is the current manager at Cantina. The initial communication will be limited, but he will be easy to work with once you get into contact with him. The menu is always open to change. We pre ordered different kinds of Nachos. In the future, a mix of nachos and chips + salsa/guac would be a good combination. Maybe ask for lightly salted. The event is designed as a meet and greet conversational social rather than a sit down restaurant style event. Also, see the google drive for more information on the drink ticket process. We compiled a list of music requests and put together a Spotify playlist that could be played during the time that we reserved the space. Would recommend having one person in charge of music (i.e. queuing requests that come up during the event) and not giving free access to the computer that is connected to the sound system, as things can get off track quickly.

2. Items Needed: Cantina does not require any unique outstanding items.

3. Total Cost: \$812

VII. Problems Encountered: The main challenges with organizing Cantina comes down to communication. As the TBP representative, you will need to work with both HKN and the manager at Cantina Taqueria + Bar. Depending on the semester, both entities may be less punctual than desired.

VIII. Recommendations: Contact HKN within the first 1-2 weeks of the semester. Establishing a consistent line of communication will go a long way. Pick a date early on to lock in the reservation (you can figure out details later). Typically, Friday nights from 6-8 are a preferred option, although space fees are more expensive. There is an event registration on the website but Cantina struggles with email, so I would suggest calling them the day after you register for an event. As a general rule of thumb, TBP can do most of the work ourselves. When meeting with HKN, come to them with a concrete plan of menu, logistics, budget and ask for suggestions instead of starting from scratch. HKN will likely agree with our plan with a few minor tweaks. Same goes for Cantina. This approach will streamline the process. Meetings can be conducted over zoom or in person. Once the date, time, and menu are settled, the last major task is the payment and reimbursement process. This should be completed one week before the event date. Contact David Martel to help with paying for the event. In the past, David has paid in full for the event up front and the cost is later split between TBP and HKN. Make sure to received itemized receipts. Finally, on the day of the event, I would suggest showing up early to help Mark setup the reserved section of the restaurant in the desired manner. Dedicating a table to hold all of the food seemed to work well. Make sure to try to pace the handing out of tickets (i.e. avoid giving them all out at the beginning). It also helped to limit tickets to buying larger/more expensive and sharing those.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: With proper organization, the event will run successfully. The goal of this social is to relax and talk with other engineers both within TBP and HKN. As long as there will be enough food and drinks, the people will be happy.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: The best part of the event is the ability to provide entertainment to a large group of people. There is an opportunity for everyone to enjoy the event.
4. Opportunity to improve: Once the event starts, the place will be loud for the rest of the night. If a sign in code is used, provide it at the beginning of the event to make it easier on everyone. If you have a specific Spotify playlist in mind, Mark will let you play it over the speakers.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

NROTC Group Tutoring F22

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 10/26/22, 10/27/22, 10/28/22, 11/02/22, 11/03/22, 11/04/22, 11/09/22, 11/10/22, 11/11/22, 11/16/22, 11/17/22, 11/18/22, 11/30/22, 12/01/22, 12/02/22, 12/07/22, 12/08/22, 12/09/22 (Planning started: 10/04/22)
2. Project was new?: Yes
3. Number of participants:
Active Members: 3 Electees: 10
4. Names of participants:
Project Leader(s) (unqname)
Kevin Masel (kmasel)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Nick Carr	(nickcarr)	Active	3.00
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	1.50
Changyu Deng	(dengcy)	Active	1.50
Aidan Goettsch	(agoettsc)	Electee	3.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Electee	6.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	0.50
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	1.50
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	4.50
Kari Naga	(knga)	Electee	1.50
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Electee	3.00
Piush Sarkar	(sarkarp)	Electee	7.50
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Electee	3.00
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Electee	1.50

II. General Description: TBP members tutored Navy Reserve Officers' Training Corps students in Math and Physics courses. These sessions were mostly group tutoring.

III. Target Audience: University

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: The Navy Reserve Officers' Training Corps (NROTC) at the University of Michigan has academic standards. Branching out our tutoring service and using our resources in order to help the greater academic community of the university.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 3 Participating: 36.0 (Total Duration for 12 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: NROTC Academics Officer was pleased with the support that was sent.
2. Items Needed: N/A
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: N/A

VIII. Recommendations: N/A

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall went well. NROTC was happy with the assistance that was provided at no cost through volunteer tutoring.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: Providing assistance to another organization on campus.
4. Opportunity to improve: The change from 2 to 1 tutors did not pose a problem for NROTC students, and allowed the tutor to be better utilized
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

The Breakfast Party

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 10/27/22, 11/10/22, 12/08/22 (Planning started: 10/10/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 6 Electees: 10

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Kevin Masel (kmasel)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Electee	1.00
Aakash Bharat	(akashvb)	Active	1.00
xhulja biraku	(xhulja)	Electee	2.00
Julia Choppin	(jchoppin)	Electee	1.00
✉️ Angela Deng	(yuanqid)	Active	2.00
Vishnu Giri	(vigiri)	Electee	0.50
Taeksang Kim	(stozy)	Electee	3.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Electee	3.50
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	7.50
Anjali Mittal	(mittalan)	Active	2.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Electee	1.00
Ethan Parham	(ejparham)	Active	0.50
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Electee	2.50
Piush Sarkar	(sarkarp)	Electee	2.00
Penny Springel	(pennyspr)	Active	1.50
Tony Zheng	(tozheng)	Electee	2.00

II. General Description: The Breakfast Party is an event series where TBP gives out free bagels and coffee to the UM engineering community. These events are held on a semi-weekly basis, generally on Tuesday morning. There were a total of 16 Breakfast Parties held throughout the school year. Generally, we ordered between 25 and 37 dozen bagels for each event, and only had one or two events where there were leftovers.

III. Target Audience: University

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Make TBP known to the general community of students and faculty on North Campus. Distribution of Free Bagels helps ensure success by providing breakfast for those who did not have time to eat before rushing to class. We also hand out small flyers advertising future breakfast parties and the TBP tutoring service that is available for free to all students.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 7.5 (Total Duration for 3 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Second time running event. It went well. Ordered 16 dozen bagels. Ran out with 5 minutes left in the event. Everyone who received a bagel seemed grateful for our generosity. We also saw an influx of students requesting to be tutored later in the day, potentially a result of the flyers at the Breakfast Party.
2. Items Needed: Plates Napkins Knives Bagels Cream Cheese Packets 1/4 Sheet Flyers Tablecloth Banner/Sign
3. Total Cost: \$160

VII. Problems Encountered: N/A. Delivery Problem from last time was resolved by having a volunteer wait outside Pierpont for the bagel delivery, ensuring the delivery driver knows where to go regardless of the directions they are given from Barry Bagels.

VIII. Recommendations: Have a volunteer stand by the Pierpont circle when we are expecting delivery to notify others when the bagel delivery has arrived. We could bring back coffee in the future, but running the event with just bagels was successful and it went over well with passerbys. Not having coffee also makes operations much smoother.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Volunteers enjoyed there time (and access to a free bagel). The event ran very smoothly. Keep track of left over cream cheese packets for future ordering purposes. We used up the remaining cream cheese packets from last time and only ordered one box this time, saving \$40 on the total cost for the event. 2 boxes will have to be ordered next time as we ran out of cream cheese
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Knowing that the correct amount of bagels were ordered. And the conversations that were had with some of the people who stopped by for a bagel.
4. Opportunity to improve: Make sure that the delivery person knows where they are going. More enthusiasm from volunteers, especially since pictures for banquet will be taken at the next event.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 29: Tau Bates hand out bagels to interested students, and tell them about the chapter at the same time.

Nature Area Preservation Day

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 11/12/22 (Planning started: 10/25/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 8 Electees: 10

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Brittany Rupp (ruppb)

Drew Boughton (drbought)

Varun Goyal (varungo)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Umair Ahmed	(aumair)	Electee	3.00
Obada Albaghdadi	(obada)	Electee	3.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	3.00
Toland Corum	(corumto)	Electee	3.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	3.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	3.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	3.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Electee	3.00
Jennifer Kosek	(jkosek)	Active	3.00
Vance Kreider	(vkreider)	Electee	3.00
Amanda Liss	(lissama)	Electee	3.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumdr)	Active	3.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Electee	3.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	3.00
Danny Park	(dannyjp)	Electee	3.00
Swame Ramesh	(rswame)	Electee	3.00
Brittany Rupp	(ruppb)	Active	3.00
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Electee	3.00

II. General Description: Members helped maintain Ann Arbor's nature preserves and parks through the removal of invasive species. This event was run through the local parks service.

III. Target Audience: University

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: To engage the TBP student base with environmental and sustainability service.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Drew Boughton
Email: drbought@umich.edu
Phone#: 734-926-7663

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 5 Participating: 3.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Happy to see TBP people back in the woods helping clear invasive species! Weather was cold and overcast, perfect for outdoor labor.
2. Items Needed: None
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: Organization of carpooling took a while, but other than that nothing.

VIII. Recommendations: Plan more events sooner

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: All that signed up and were there and had a great time. We cleared over a dozen large invasive buckthorn trees made the trail path much more scenic.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Seeing how much fun people were having.
4. Opportunity to improve: Starting carpool assignments earlier.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Leaders and Honors Awards Brunch

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 03/19/23 (Planning started: 12/05/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 11 Electees: 1

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Riley Garliauskas (garliari)

Colin Davidson (codavids)

Kevin Masel (kmasel)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	4.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	4.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	4.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	4.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	4.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	4.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	4.00
Anjali Mittal	(mittalan)	Active	4.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	4.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Active	4.00
Samuel Nolan	(sammolan)	Electee	4.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	4.00

II. General Description: The annual College of Engineering Leaders and Honors Awards brought together outstanding engineers at the University of Michigan to recognize student academic achievement. The Honors Brunch provided a platform to commemorate the awardees as part of a formal event. Volunteers assisted the winners during the ceremony and helped set up the brunch. Brunch was also provided.

III. Target Audience: University

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Recognize outstanding academic achievement to promote integrity and excellence in engineering

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 30 Participating: 3.5 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: The brunch is a collaboration between TBP and OSA. Our main role is to bring volunteers to help setup the event before awardees arrive. We work closely with April Hays to accomplish this goal. Date: March 19th, 2023 Time (volunteers): 7:30am-11:30am Time (event): 9am-11:30am
2. Items Needed: TBP doesn't need to bring any items. Coordinate with OSA to confirm everything is in order.
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: People arrived slightly late, but no major issues.

VIII. Recommendations: Try to start on time exactly at 9. There is no reason to drag on the event any longer than it needs to. See the honors brunch transition report.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Overall, this event was a success. Try to start on time exactly at 9. There is no reason to drag on the event any longer than it needs to. OSA liked having around 10 volunteers
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: The opportunity to recognize some of UofM's brightest engineers
4. Opportunity to improve: Have a few empty folders on the table to hand out if we cannot locate the actual folder. This is mainly for the photograph. Afterwards, we will give them the actual certificate.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Winter 2023 Mailout

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Date: 01/07/23 (Planning started: 12/21/22)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 19 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

DFM Martel (damartel)

Riley Garliauskas (garliari)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	4.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	10.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Active	4.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	4.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	4.00
Zach Gdowski	(zmgdo)	Active	4.00
Kate Giammalvo	(kgiamm)	Active	4.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	4.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	4.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	4.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	12.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	7.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	4.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	4.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	4.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	4.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	4.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	4.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Active	4.00

II. General Description: The incoming officer corps created brochures containing informational materials about Tau Beta Pi. These letters were then mailed to eligible undergraduate and graduate students as well as eligible undergraduate students' parents. These letters also contained a personal invitation from the president inviting eligible students to join the Michigan Gamma chapter of Tau Beta Pi.

III. Target Audience: University

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Enables letters to be sent to eligible candidates (and parents of those who are undergraduates) inviting them to attend First General and consider

joining the chapter.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 10 Participating: 4.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Very fast mailout. With all officers but one, 968 letters were packaged and labeled from scratch in 78 minutes.
2. Items Needed: TBP branded wallets, informational pamphlets, labels, 1300 letters, food for attendees
3. Total Cost: \$1500

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Work with the university as early as possible to get eligibility lists. Get letters pre-folded from FedEx.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Huge success. The desired goal was accomplished perfectly in near-record time and nearly all officers attended.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Social opportunity for officers to get to know each other and build a sense of community. They all had a lot of fun during and after getting the work done.
4. Opportunity to improve: Consider finding a more spacious venue, but everything worked well enough.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

First General, Winter 23

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 01/17/23, 01/18/23 (Planning started: 01/03/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 23 Electees: 23

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)
 Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
 Sirawit Shimpalee (sirawits)
 Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	1.00
Zahraa Bazzi	(bazzif)	Active	1.00
Nathan Biggs	(biggsn)	Electee	1.00
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.00
Joao Casares	(casares)	Electee	1.00
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Electee	1.00
John Ciurla	(jciurla)	Electee	1.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.00
Alex de la Iglesia	(alex dela)	Active	1.00
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	1.00
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	1.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.00
Nikki France	(nfrance)	Active	1.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.00
Max Genthe	(mgenthe)	Electee	1.00
Nate Giessner	(nategies)	Electee	1.00
Zack Goldston	(zgold)	Active	1.00
Jack Gremel	(jagremel)	Electee	1.00
Sam Hocher	(shocher)	Electee	1.00
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Electee	1.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	1.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	1.00
Peijing Li	(peijli)	Active	1.00
Jason Liang	(jasonlia)	Electee	1.00
Amy Liu	(amyliu)	Electee	1.00
Meghna Mahesh	(meghnam)	Electee	1.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	1.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	1.00
Joshua Ning	(joshning)	Electee	1.00
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	1.00
Namit Padgaonkar	(namitdp)	Active	1.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.00
Jack Phillips	(jackjhp)	Electee	1.00
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Active	1.00
Molly Rodgers	(merodger)	Electee	1.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.00
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	1.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	1.00
Nick Simon	(npsimon)	Electee	1.00
Frank Sun	(franksun)	Electee	1.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Active	1.00
Chris Tapia	(tapiac)	Electee	1.00
Run Yu	(runy)	Electee	1.00
TH Wei	(thwei)	Non-Member	1.00

II. General Description: At first general, prospective members were invited to attend a meeting to learn more about the honors and benefits of joining Tau Beta Pi. At the meeting, officers introduced themselves to the attendees, the vice presidents went over the joining requirements, and then attendees broke for dinner to better meet actives and officers in attendance. This meeting was mandatory for those interested in joining Tau Beta Pi.

III. Target Audience: University

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Interest meeting for potential new members of TBP. We want to keep bringing in new members to keep the chapter running.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 8 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: President lead the first 30 minutes, introducing the chapter to the interested students. Grad VP took the graduate interested students to another room to go over graduate requirements, and VP went over undergraduate requirements.
2. Items Needed: Food, electee packets, cornerstones
3. Total Cost: \$800

VII. Problems Encountered: some distractions were had due to food arrive late

VIII. Recommendations: Continue with this meeting

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: overall pretty successful event, we got 32 interested undergraduate students and 23 interested graduate students
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: meeting the new people at dinner after!
4. Opportunity to improve: get better food, maybe allow more time for mingling during the meeting before people leave (I suspect a couple of people didn't attend the dinner after)
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Alternative First General

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 01/20/23, 01/21/23 (Planning started: 01/04/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 13 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unqname)

Denise Schlautman (dschlau)
Riley Garliauskas (garliari)
Sirawit Shimpalee (sirawits)
Ishaan Shetye (ishetye)

Name	(unqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	1.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	1.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	1.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	1.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	1.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	1.00
DFM Martel	(damartel)	Active	1.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	1.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	1.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	1.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	1.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	1.00

II. **General Description:** At alternate first general, prospective members who were unable to attend the first general meeting were invited to attend to learn more about the honors and benefits of joining Tau Beta Pi. At the meeting, officers introduced themselves to the attendees, the vice presidents went over the joining requirements. Dinner was provided at the event. This meeting was mandatory for those interested in joining Tau Beta Pi who could not make the scheduled first general meeting.

III. **Target Audience:** University

IV. **Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G:** Attracting new members to TBP. This meeting was held because some couldn't make it to First General. Didn't want schedule conflicts to deter people from joining.

V. **Organization and Administration**

1. Hours spent on the project:
Organizing: 5 Participating: 1.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: All students were in one room, with information about the organization, undergrad requirements, and grad requirements explained after the other. Then steps to making a profile on the website were shown.
2. Items Needed: electee packets, food, cornerstones
3. Total Cost: \$300

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: continue hosting this event

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: 10 undergrad students showed up and 5 graduate students showed up (in person and virtually). this was pretty successful for an alternative meeting.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 2
3. Best Part: Meeting some of the new people!
4. Opportunity to improve: maybe have breaks in the meeting, it's a lot of information to throw at the students without breaks
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Engineering Games (hosted by SWE)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 02/03/23, 02/04/23 (Planning started: 01/04/23)

2. Project was new?: Yes

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 1 Electees: 0

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (unickname)

Karen Jin (karenjin)

Name (unickname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
------------------	---------------------------	-----------------

II. General Description: The Society for Women Engineers hosted their annual Engineering Games, including dinner and games. Student organization teams competed against each other in a game show, trivia show, and design challenge for a chance to win prizes!

III. Target Audience: University

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Support other engineering student organizations.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Karen Jin

Title: Activities Officer

Email: karenjin@umich.edu

Phone#: 978-727-6695

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 0 Participating: 4.0 (Event Duration)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: SWE hosted their annual Engineering Games as a fundraiser for the Detroit Area Pre-College Engineering Program (DAPCEP).

2. Items Needed: None

3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: SWE invited TBP to participate in the Engineering Games, which would involve competing against other student orgs in a talent show, trivia, and design competition to win prizes. However, the date on the original email they sent out was incorrect. Thinking it was on a different day, we scheduled other events at the actual time of the event so we were unable to send a team to participate, but offered the information to the chapter for anyone interested in attending.

VIII. Recommendations: As a general rule of thumb for inter-org events, make sure communication is clear and confirm any dates/times so that we can attend.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: n/a
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 3
3. Best Part: n/a
4. Opportunity to improve: n/a
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Maize And Blue Cupboard Food Distribution

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 02/01/23, 03/08/23 (Planning started: 01/06/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 4 Electees: 6

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Drew Boughton (drbought)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abigail Ahn	(amahn)	Electee	0.50
Drew Boughton	(drbought)	Active	2.75
Joao Casares	(casares)	Electee	2.25
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	2.25
Nadine El Ghaffir	(nghaffir)	Electee	2.25
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	2.25
Samuel Nolan	(samnolan)	Electee	2.25
Sergio Quispe Sanchez	(sergioq)	Active	2.25
Molly Rodgers	(merodger)	Electee	2.25
Jared Sharnowski	(sharnoja)	Electee	2.25

II. General Description: In an effort to combat food scarcity on North Campus, Maize and Blue Cupboard hosts food distribution events to provide supplemental packaged goods and produce to students who need them. Members helped with the unloading, supplying, and distribution of food items at this event.

III. Target Audience: University

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Maize and Blue Cupboard is a food bank on campus that seeks to combat food insecurity amongst the student body through providing fresh produce and goods. The North Campus distribution event served to distribute over 1000 lbs of free produce to students who would've benefitted from it.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Kelly O'Mara

Title: Program Manager

Email: kaomara@umich.edu

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 2 Participating: 4.5 (Total Duration for 2 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: Event went very well! We distributed almost all of the produce and spread the word about future distribution events.
2. Items Needed: None
3. Total Cost: \$0

VII. Problems Encountered: None

VIII. Recommendations: Be sure to reach out early since distribution events garner interest from other groups as the semester progresses.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Very positive and enjoyable experience.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: Yash pulling in a ton of people to get food.
4. Opportunity to improve: Advertise earlier
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

Cantina Night (W23)

I. Basic Information:

1. Project Dates: 02/03/23, 02/04/23, 03/31/23, 04/01/23 (Planning started: 01/13/23)

2. Project was new?: No

3. Number of participants:

Active Members: 39 Electees: 8

4. Names of participants:

Project Leader(s) (uniqname)

Colin Davidson (codavids)

Karen Jin (karenjin)

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Daphne Agapiou	(dagapiou)	Active	2.00
harkirat Arora	(hsarora)	Active	2.00
Joao Casares	(casares)	Electee	2.00
Adam Cheng	(adamnyc)	Electee	2.00
Yash Cherivirala	(yaswanth)	Active	2.00
Hrishi Danawe	(hgdanawe)	Active	4.00
Colin Davidson	(codavids)	Active	4.00
Ishita Deshmukh	(ideshmuk)	Active	2.00
Daniel Falvo	(dfalvo)	Active	2.00
Girish Chandar Ganesan	(girishg)	Active	2.00
Riley Garliauskas	(garliari)	Active	4.00
Varun Goyal	(varungo)	Active	4.00
Niloy Gupta	(niloy)	Active	4.00
Kexin Huang	(cathyhkx)	Electee	2.00
Advika Jhingran	(advikaj)	Active	4.00
Karen Jin	(karenjin)	Active	4.00
Alex Kalams	(akalams)	Active	4.00
Ben King	(kingbe)	Active	4.00
Chun-Wei Kong	(chunwei)	Electee	2.00
Lydia Lee	(leelydia)	Active	4.00
Alex Li	(alexjli)	Active	2.00
Jason Liang	(jasonlia)	Electee	2.00
Ayan Majumder	(aymajumdr)	Active	4.00
Kevin Masel	(kmasel)	Active	4.00
Jacob Miller	(millerjv)	Active	2.00
Mitra Mokhlesi	(mmokh)	Active	2.00
Dan Muccio	(drmuccio)	Active	2.00
Hunter Muench	(hmuench)	Active	2.00
Ibrahim Musaddequr Rahman	(iamr)	Active	2.00
Joshua Ning	(joshning)	Electee	2.00

Name	(uniqname)	Active/Electee/Non-Member	Number of Hours
Abby Overbeck	(aoverbec)	Active	2.00
Kanishka Panda	(pandak)	Active	4.00
Ansh Patel	(anshp)	Active	4.00
Jed Pienkny	(jpienkny)	Active	2.00
Jason Qian	(jaqian)	Active	2.00
Amy Ruan	(awruan)	Active	2.00
Piush Sarkar	(sarkarp)	Active	2.00
Denise Schlautman	(dschlau)	Active	4.00
Ishaan Shetye	(ishetye)	Active	4.00
Sirawit Shimpalee	(sirawits)	Active	2.00
Ben Spector	(spectorb)	Active	2.00
Arjun Sundararajan	(arjunsun)	Active	4.00
Yicheng Tang	(tangyc)	Active	2.00
Chris Tapia	(tapiac)	Electee	2.00
Andy Zaloudek	(zaloudan)	Active	4.00
Chris Zhang	(chrzhang)	Active	2.00
Frank Zlomek	(zlomekf)	Electee	4.00

II. General Description: Members met at BTB Cantina for an all-you-can-eat Nacho bar while making new friends with Eta Kappa Nu (HKN) members! This event provided a fun environment to interact with another engineering organization over food and music. There was nachos, refreshments, and also a dance floor. Everyone was welcome!

III. Target Audience: University

IV. Relationship to the Objectives of MI-G: Provide a space for TBP members to socialize with each other, as well as foster collaboration with other students in the College of Engineering.

V. Organization and Administration

1. Contact Information

Name: Karen Jin

Title: Activities Officer

Email: karenjin@umich.edu

Phone#: 978-727-6695

2. Hours spent on the project:

Organizing: 3 Participating: 2.0 (Total Duration for 2 Events)

VI. Cost and Personnel Requirements

1. General Comments: This semester's Cantina followed the same general format as last year's, so having former Activities Officers involved will be helpful for planning and general advice. The menu was changed slightly from last time: it was the same amount of food, but we added some more variety for the nachos. A copy of the menu is available on the Google Drive, but is always open to change. Having chips and dip come out as an

“appetizer” was good for when not everybody had arrived yet, then bring out everything else when most people were there. For drinks (paid for by HKN), we purchased 50 tickets ahead of time and added 15 more at the event. We compiled a list of music requests and put together a Spotify playlist that could be played during the time that we reserved the space. Would recommend having one person in charge of music (i.e. queuing requests that come up during the event) and not giving free access to the computer that is connected to the sound system, as things can get off track quickly.

2. Items Needed: N/A

3. Total Cost: \$660

VII. Problems Encountered: The last few Cantina Nights have been super popular, so I decided to raise the attendance limit to accommodate for more people, but we ended up not having enough drink tickets for everybody who wanted one.

VIII. Recommendations: Since this is a joint event and we split attendance with HKN, keep the TBP attendance to 25-30 people. For drink tickets, since some drinks are larger/require more tickets to purchase, try to only give these to people who will be sharing drinks, or limit the number of tickets per person if possible. Also, be sure that everybody who wants a ticket receives one before handing out seconds to others.

IX. Overall Evaluation:

1. Comments: Cantina takes more planning than most other events, but the results are definitely worth it. The event is always a lot of fun and gets people to engage with people who they normally might not interact much with.
2. Overall Rating (1 is best; 5 is worst): 1
3. Best Part: The best part was seeing how much everyone enjoyed themselves at the event – I had a grad student tell me that it was the best event they had been to, which was great to hear :)
4. Opportunity to improve: Since there were so many people signed up, it was hard to find a way to keep track of everyone who actually attended, especially because not everybody there was with TBP. I sent out an email before the event asking people to check in with me when they arrived, but not everybody was able to do so, so I ended up asking around to crowdsource an attendance list. A sign in code could also work, but be sure to give it out early since it can get pretty loud.
5. Do you recommend continuing?: Yes

X. Pictures:



Figure 30: Tau Bates spends a Friday night enjoying the camaraderie, and the chance to avoid studying.